

Chapter 17.30
R-3 –RURAL-3 ZONE

Sections

- 17.30.010 Purpose and intent.
- 17.30.020 Uses permitted.
- 17.30.030 Conditional uses.
- 17.30.040 Lot size required.
- 17.30.045 Development Standards. 17.30.050 Yard requirements.
- 17.30.055 Yard requirements- Zones Adjacent to Commercial Forest Zone.
- 17.30.060 Sale or conveyance of lot portion.
- 17.30.070 Nonconforming uses.
- 17.30.080 Shoreline setbacks.
- 17.30.090 Administrative uses.

17.30.010 Purpose and intent.

The purpose and intent of the Rural-3 zone is to provide areas where residential development may occur on a low density basis. A primary goal and intent in siting R-3 zones will be to minimize adverse effects on adjacent natural resource lands. (Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.020 Uses permitted.

Uses permitted. The following uses are permitted:

1. Single-family homes, mobile homes, cabins;
2. Lodges and community clubhouses;
3. Agriculture, livestock, poultry or hog raising, and other customary agricultural uses traditionally found in Kittitas County, provided that such operations shall comply with all state and/or county health regulations and with regulations contained in this title related to feedlots;
4. Forestry, including the management, growing and harvesting of forest products, and including the processing of locally harvested forest crops using portable equipment;
5. Home occupations which do not produce noise, such as accounting, photography, etc.;
6. Cluster subdivision, when approved as a platted subdivision;
7. All mining including, but not limited to, gold, rock, sand and gravel excavation, rock crushing, and other associated activities when located within an established mining district;
8. All buildings and structures not listed above which legally existed prior to the adoption of the ordinance codified in this chapter;
9. Uses customarily incidental to any of the uses set forth in this section;
10. Any use not listed which is nearly identical to a permitted use, as judged by the administrative official, may be permitted. In such cases, all adjacent property

Title 17: Development Code Update: July 10, 2007 BOCC Draft – No Redline
As Adopted July 19, 2007

owners shall be given official notification for an opportunity to appeal such decisions within ten working days of notification to the county board of adjustment pursuant to Title 15A of this code, Project permit application process.

11. (Blank; Ord. O-2006-01, 2006)
12. Accessory Dwelling Unit (if in UGA or UGN)
13. Accessory Living Quarters
14. Special Care Dwelling (Ord. O-2006-01, 2006; Ord. 96-19 (part), 1996; Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.030 Conditional uses.

The following uses are conditional:

1. Campgrounds, guest ranches;
2. Motor trail clubs (snowmobiles, bikes, etc.);
3. Group homes, retreat centers;
4. Golf courses;
5. All mining including, but not limited to, gold, rock, sand and gravel excavation, rock crushing, and other associated activities when located outside an established mining district;
6. Gas and oil exploration and production;
7. Home occupations which involve outdoor works or activities or which produce noise, such as engine repair, etc. This shall not include the cutting and sale of firewood which is not regulated by this code;
8. Travel trailers for a limited period not to exceed one year when used for temporary occupancy related to permanent home construction;
9. Mini-warehouses subject to conditions provided in Section 17.56.030.

17.30.040 Lot size required.

1. The minimum residential lot size shall be three acres in the Rural-3 zone. The overall density of any residential development shall not exceed one dwelling for each three acres, except as provided for in Kittitas County Code 16.09, Performance Based Cluster Platting.

17.30.050 Yard requirements.

There shall be a minimum front yard setback of twenty-five feet. Side and rear yard setbacks shall be fifteen feet. (Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.055 Yard requirements – Zones Adjacent to Commercial Forest Zone.

Properties bordering or adjacent to the Commercial Forest zone are subject to a 200' setback from the Commercial Forest Zone. (KCC 17. 57.050(1)). For properties where such setback isn't feasible, development shall comply with Kittitas County Code 17.57.050(2).

17.30.060 Sale or conveyance of lot portion.

No sale or conveyance of any portion of a lot, for other than a public purpose, shall leave a structure on the remainder of the lot with less than the minimum lot, yard or setback requirements of this zoning district. (Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.070 Nonconforming uses.

No legal structure or land use existing at the time of adoption of the ordinance codified in this chapter shall be considered a nonconforming use or subject to restrictions applied to nonconforming uses. (Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.080 Shoreline setbacks.

The following setbacks shall be enforced for residences, accessory buildings, and on-site septic systems constructed or placed on shorelines or floodplains;

1. One hundred feet (measured horizontally) from the ordinary high water mark or line of vegetation for lots abutting the shorelines or floodplains under the jurisdiction of the Washington State Shoreline Management Act;
2. One hundred feet (measured horizontally) from the ordinary high water mark or line of vegetation for lots fronting on reservoirs including Keechelus, Cle Elum, Kachess, and Easton Lakes and Wanapum reservoir;
3. One hundred feet (measured horizontally) from the ordinary high water mark or line of vegetation for all such structures constructed or placed on other streams, including intermittent streams, which are not regulated under the Shoreline Management Act. (Ord. 92-4 (part), 1992).

17.30.090 Administrative uses.

The following uses may be permitted in any R-3 zone subject to the requirements set forth in Chapter 17.60B.

1. Accessory Dwelling Unit (if outside UGA or UGN) (Ord. O-2006-01, 2006; Ord. 95-8, 1995).

Chapter 17.30A
R-5 - RURAL-5 ZONE

Sections

17.30A.010 Purpose and intent.

17.30A.020 Uses permitted.

17.30A.030 Conditional uses.

17.30A.040 Lot size required.

17.30A.050 Yard requirements.

17.30A.055 Yard requirements- Zones Adjacent to Commercial Forest Zone.

17.30A.060 Sale or conveyance of lot portion.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF KITTITAS
STATE OF WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE

NO. 2007- 22

KITTITAS COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE UPDATE

Whereas, this ordinance, adopting the Kittitas County Development Code Update, contains three different sections of findings, as follows:

- Section I - Procedural Findings
- Section II - Board of County Commissioners Findings
- Section III - Final Decision and Signatures

SECTION I- PROCEDURAL FINDINGS

- Whereas,** Kittitas County opted into the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, voluntarily on December 27, 1990, through Resolution 90-138; and
- Whereas,** Kittitas County was required to update its Comprehensive Plan under the requirements of RCW 36.70A, by December 1, 2006; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County GMA Comprehensive Plan was originally adopted on July 26, 1996 by the Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan Policies are consistent with the policies contained within the Countywide Planning Policies adopted per Resolution 96-10 and amended as required by RCW 36.70A.210; and
- Whereas,** On December 11, 2006, the Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners signed Ordinance 2006-63 adopting the 2006 Amendments to the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan and 2006 Update to the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan. As part of the process, Kittitas County is required to review the Development Regulations in order to implement and ensure consistency with the goals, policies and objectives identified in the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan; and
- Whereas,** The Development Code Update contained the review of the following four elements of the current Kittitas County Code: Chapter 14.08, Flood Damage Prevention, Title 15A, Project Permit Application Process, Title 17, Zoning Code, and Chapter 16.08, Performance Based Cluster Platting. The Development Code Update also consisted of the proposal of two new sections of code, which included a proposed Title 17B, Forest Practices and a proposed Chapter 17.99, Design Standards; and
- Whereas,** Kittitas County has complied with the requirements of RCW 36.70A.130 and RCW 36.70A.140, by including public participation early in the update process and continuously through discussion and consideration of public input; and
- Whereas,** Legal notice was issued on April 11, 2007 and published on April 13 and 20, 2007 in the Daily Record and April 19 and 26, 2007 in the Northern Kittitas County Tribune, and display ads were published on April 16, 18, 19, 21, and 26, 2007 advertising the May 1, 2, and 3rd Planning Commission Hearings. These notices were published in the official county paper of record and the Northern Kittitas County Tribune. Legal notice was issued on May 15, 2007 for Hearings before the Board of County Commissioners and published on May 17 and May 24, 2007 in the Kittitas County Daily Record and May 24 and 31, 2007 in the Northern Kittitas County Tribune; and
- Whereas,** Community Open Houses for the Development Code were held in various locations in the county. Lower county open houses were held on April 16th and 17th, 2007 in

Ellensburg, and an Upper County Open House was held on April 26, 2007 in Cle Elum; and

- Whereas,** Kittitas County on June 5, 2007 issued a notice for a Determination of Nonsignificance and Adoption of Existing Environmental Document for the Development Code Update under SEPA. Legal notice was published on June 6 and June 13, 2007 in the Daily Record and June 7 and 14, 2007 in the Northern Kittitas County Tribune; and
- Whereas,** Kittitas County Community Development Services Department sent the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development and CTED Review Team notice of the Development Code Update on March 16, 2007; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County Planning Commission held public hearings on May 1, 2, and 3, 2007 for the Development Code Update. Notice of said public hearing was published in the Daily Record and Northern Kittitas County Tribune as required by State Statute and County Code. Testimony was taken from those persons present at said hearing that wished to be heard and the necessary inquiry has been made into the public interest; and
- Whereas,** The Planning Commission held continued hearings where the record was closed on May 21 and May 22, 2007 for Planning Commission deliberation and decision; and
- Whereas,** The Planning Commission held continued hearing where the record was closed on May 31, 2007 to consider Planning Commission Findings and voted to approve said findings and forward to the Board of County Commissioners their recommendations on the Kittitas County Development Code Update; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held public hearings on June 4, 11, 13, 14, and 27, 2007 to consider the Development Code Update and Planning Commission Recommendations; and
- Whereas,** Testimony was taken and documentary evidence received by the Board of County Commissioners from those persons wishing to be heard; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held continued hearings on July 9, 10, and 11, 2007 for discussion and deliberation, and on July 11, 2007 directed staff to prepare enabling documents for Board consideration on July 19, 2007; and
- Whereas,** The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held a continued public hearing to consider enabling documents for the Development Code Update on July 19, 2007; and
- Whereas,** On July 19, 2007 the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners reviewed and signed the prepared ordinance; and
- Whereas,** Due notice of the hearings has been given as required by law.

SECTION II – BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FINDINGS

General Findings:

The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held public hearings on June 4, 11, 13, 14, and 27, 2007, and July 9, 10, and 11, 2007 to consider the Kittitas County Development Code Update. On July 19, 2007 the Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held a continued public hearing to consider enabling documents for the Development Code Update. All members of the public who wanted to were allowed to speak or submit written correspondence.

Whereas, The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held public hearings on June 4, 11, 13, 14, and 27, 2007, and July 9, 10, and 11, 2007 to consider the Kittitas County Development Code Update. The Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners held a continued public hearing to consider enabling documents July 19, 2007; and

Whereas, The Board of County Commissioners entered the following findings for the Development Code Update. The findings are as follows:

- I. Ordinance 2006-63 adopted the 2006 Amendments to the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan and 2006 Update to the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan. As part of the process, Kittitas County is required to review the Development Regulations in order to implement and ensure consistency with the goals, policies and objectives identified in the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan; and
- II. The County's plan contains all of the required statutory elements, as described in WAC 365-195; and
- III. The policies contained within each element meet the requirements of RCW 36.70A and WAC 365-195; and
- IV. The Board of County Commissioners make the following findings for each of the elements being considered as part of this Development Code Update as listed below:
 1. *Kittitas County Code Chapter 14.08, Flood Damage Prevention*
 - i. The proposed changes reflect comments received as part of a 2006 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Department of Ecology (DOE) Community Assistance Visit (CAV).
 - ii. Update to Section 14.08.300 complies with WAC 173-158-070.
 - iii. The Board of County Commissioners adopts the July 10, 2007 draft of Chapter 14.08 as attached hereto as Attachment 1.
 2. *Kittitas County Code Title 15A, Project Permit Application Process*
 - i. The updates allow for more public participation and identify other methods of public outreach for projects.

- ii. Existing language in 15A.03.030 is sufficient and attainable for identifying ownership of projects.
 - iii. For publishing of notification in newspapers other than the official newspaper of record, a 12 month review from the date of adoption of the Development Code shall be conducted to assess costs in relation to current fee schedules recognizing that costs should be borne by the applicant.
 - iv. The Board of County Commissioners adopts the July 10, 2007 draft of Title 15A as attached hereto as Attachment 1.
3. ***Kittitas County Code Title 17B, Forest Practices***
- i. Substitute House Bill 1409 (Chapter 235, Laws of 2007) of the recent Legislature Session establishes a December 31, 2008 deadline for adoption of a Forest Practice Ordinance. The Board of County Commissioners in recognition of the Bill and the recent formation of the Kittitas County Forest Lands Advisory Committee defers review to the committee composed of Forestry professionals with a recommendation to come forward prior to the 2008 deadline.
 - ii. The Board of County Commissioners hereby withdraws for consideration the inclusion of the proposed Kittitas County Code Title 17B, Forest Practices in the Development Code Update.
4. ***Kittitas County Code Title 17, Zoning***
- i. Per 17.04.060: Maximum Acreages, percentages as reflected shall apply to the Agriculture-3, Rural-3, Agriculture-5 and Rural-5 zones. This section of the Code may be revisited as recommendations from the recently formed Agricultural Lands Advisory Committee and Land Use Advisory Committee are brought forward. The committees are composed of members of the agriculture community, government agencies and citizens of Kittitas County. Recommendations from the committees shall be presented to the Board by March 31, 2008. At the end of 2007, a checkpoint shall occur to review work being conducted by the committees.
 - ii. 17.11.030 reserves a place for Interlocal Agreements, and the Board of County Commissioners recognizes that work in establishing agreements with cities has been initiated as identified in the Community Development Services Work Plan.
 - iii. 17.29.040 and 17.31.040 identify the provision known as the one-time split for the Agriculture-20 and Commercial Agriculture zones. Testimony from the Agriculture community of Kittitas County was received regarding the significance of such provision. In recognition of testimony received and contained in the record, the Board of County Commissioners authorizes the formation of the Agricultural Lands Advisory Committee a body made up of members and professionals in the Agriculture Community. The Board forwards these sections of the code containing the one time split provision for review by the committee, and recommendations

regarding such provisions shall be returned to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

- iv. Section 17.38: Fully Contained Communities shall be struck from consideration for the current Development Code Update, and instead identified as a reserved section for future consideration. The Board recognizes that the recently formed Land Use Advisory Committee may review and make recommendations on Fully Contained Communities to the Board.
 - v. For 17.58.040B: Airport overlay zoning district: Easton State, Cle Elum Municipal, and De Vere Field, the Board of County Commissioners recognizes the current planning effort being undertaken by the City of Cle Elum for the Cle Elum Municipal Airport, and anticipates that at the time of completion of such efforts, such plans shall be incorporated and identified in this code.
 - vi. The Board of County Commissioners finds that section 17.61A.035: Pre-identified areas for siting, identifies areas where environmental review and public process has already occurred, and therefore allowing for an expedited review for siting of proposed wind farm facilities. This code allows for a separate process from the requirement for wind farm resource overlay zone as identified in section 17.61A.40. The Board also finds that lands contained in the pre-identified areas may be under federal, state and local ownership and may be subject to additional requirements per jurisdiction. The Board also recognizes and finds, per testimony received that other areas of the County may be further studied and analyzed as identified in the Community Development Services work plan for possible consideration for inclusion in the pre-identified area.
 - vii. The Board of County Commissioners finds that numerous amounts of testimony were received both during the 2006 Comprehensive Plan Update and Development Code Update in support of the updates proposed in Chapter 17.98: Amendments, and addresses community concern with non-project rezones. The proposed language allows for a cumulative review of non-project rezones yearly as part of the annual Comprehensive Plan Docketing Process.
 - viii. The adoption of the Rural-3 and Agriculture-3 zone through this formal process directly addresses and complies with the Eastern Washington Growth Hearings Board Order Case No. 06-1-001 specific to issue number 6, "failure to act."
 - ix. The Board of County Commissioners adopts the July 10, 2007 draft of Title 17 as attached hereto as Attachment 1.
5. *Kittitas County Code Chapter 16.09, Performance Based Cluster Platting*
- i. The Board of County Commissioners recognizes the upcoming review period for Chapter 16.09, per Kittitas County Code 16.09.010 allowing for a yearly review of the Performance Based Cluster Platting chapter. The Board further recognizes the formation of the Land Use Advisory Committee, in combination

with the future review of this Chapter allows for further review of the code to determine appropriateness of inclusion of the Agriculture-3 and Rural-3 zones. Until such time that review is completed the Agriculture-3 and Rural-3 zones shall remain eligible for Performance Based Cluster Platting.

- ii. For 16.09.100(C), the Board of County Commissioners finds this language to clarify the Code as currently interpreted and enforced by Community Development Services. Such areas are not found to be conducive to public benefit and for application of bonus density
- iii. Language proposed in the Public Benefit Ratings System Chart clarifies requirements for submittal and applicability of developments proposing access to public lands and connectivity of wildlife corridors.
- iv. The Board of County Commissioners adopts the July 10, 2007 draft of Title 15A as attached hereto as Attachment 1.

6. Kittitas County Chapter 17.99, Design Standards

- i. The Board of County Commissioners recognizes the unique character and environment of each of the cities in Kittitas County, and finds that Design Standards are better addressed through the development of Interlocal Agreements with the cities allowing for recognition of each city's individuality.
 - ii. The Board of County Commissioners finds that the Land Use Advisory Committee provides for an avenue to explore standards for developments occurring in unincorporated Kittitas County, and as such defers Design Standards for their review with recommendations to be forwarded to the Board for consideration.
 - iii. The Board of County Commissioners hereby withdraws from consideration the inclusion of the proposed Kittitas County Chapter 17.99, Design Standards; and
- V. Adoption of the July 10, 2007 Drafts of Chapter 14.08, Flood Damage Prevention, Title 15A, Project Permit Application Process, Title 17, Zoning Code, and Chapter 16.08, Performance Based Cluster Platting as attached hereto as Attachment 1 shall serve as Kittitas County's Development Code Update, as required by RCW 36.70A.130; and
- VI. The findings and discussion to support these changes and updates are set forth in more detail in the record developed in this matter and the minutes of proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners deliberations and discussions.

SECTION III - FINAL DECISION AND SIGNATURES

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Board of Kittitas County Commissioners, after due deliberation, hereby approves the July 10, 2007 Draft of the Kittitas County Development Code Update elements as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED

Whereas, on July 19, 2007, the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners reviewed and signed the prepared ordinance; and

NOW, BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Board of County Commissioners, after due deliberation, hereby approves the adoption of the Kittitas County Development Code Update as attached hereto in the referenced Attachments 1 and 2, and incorporated by reference.

Adopted this 19th day of July, 2007, at Ellensburg, Washington.



Julie A. Kjorsvik
Julie A. Kjorsvik

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
KITITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Alan A. Crankovich
Alan A. Crankovich, Chairman

David B. Bowen
David B. Bowen, Vice-Chairman

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Greg Zempel WSBA #31759
Greg Zempel WSBA #19125

Mark McClain
Mark McClain, Commissioner

Chapter 16.09
PERFORMANCE BASED CLUSTER PLATTING

Sections

- 16.09.010 Purpose and Intent.
- 16.09.020 Uses Permitted.
- 16.09.030 Criteria.
- 16.09.040 Development Regulations.
- 16.09.060 Minimum Lot Size.
- 16.09.080 Process for Approval.
- 16.09.090 Public Benefit Rating System.
- 16.09.100 Definitions.

16.09.010 Purpose and Intent.

With the recognition of the value of retention of rural densities in rural lands, while protecting our critical areas, water resources and resource lands, and recognition that urban densities belong in urban designated lands, Kittitas County also recognizes the need for innovative planning tools to achieve these goals. Encouraged by the Growth Management Act (GMA), Kittitas County may provide for clustering, planned unit developments, density transfer, design guidelines, conservation easements and other innovative techniques that will accommodate appropriate rural and urban densities and uses at levels that are consistent with the preservation of rural character and that provide a public benefit.

To assist in the implementation of Kittitas County's policy to provide tools to foster appropriate densities, while making development economically feasible, benefits to the greater community through an effort to conserve water resources by minimizing the development of exempt wells by encouraging group water systems, to protect public health by reducing the number of septic drain fields, by concentrating urban densities in urban growth areas and by minimizing the impact of "Rural Sprawl" in rural lands, as designated in the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan, Kittitas County finds that this "Performance Based Cluster Platting" technique would foster the development of urban and rural designated lands at appropriate densities, while protecting the environment and maintaining a high quality of life in Kittitas County.

Kittitas County shall conduct a yearly review of the Performance Based Cluster Platting chapter to review the effectiveness of the code in meeting the purpose and intent. (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.020 Uses Permitted.

The permitted uses of the clustered area shall be those of the underlying zone. Those uses specifically identified for the recreation categories in KCC 16.09.090 can be found in KCC 17.14 performance based cluster plat uses. Other uses not specifically identified may apply if determined a similar use as provided in Title 15A. (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.030 Criteria.

Public Benefit Rating System (PBRS) elements are items that are not already required by code.

No points shall be awarded for land which is already protected through the Critical Areas Ordinance, Shoreline Program or other regulatory requirement. The calculation of open space shall not include these areas already protected through regulation. When a public benefit is demonstrated then bonus density points will apply. An element that may have a high value in an urban designation may have a very low value in a rural designation. It is necessary, therefore, to have a separate set of criteria and outcomes depending on the land use designation. The density bonus is limited to use in the rural designations with a 100% bonus in the Rural -3, Agriculture - 3, Rural -5 and Agriculture - 5 zones and 200% in the Agriculture 20 and the Forest and Range 20 zones. There is no limit to density bonus within the Urban Growth Areas and the Urban Growth Nodes. A minimum of forty percent (40%) the area within the project boundary must be set aside in open space prior to application of the Public Benefit Rating System contained in KCC 16.09.090 of this chapter.

The following minimums for open space allocation and minimum acreage for application for performance based cluster plat (PBCP) application by zone shall apply:

	Rural 3 and Ag 3 Zones.	Rural 5 and Ag 5 Zones.	Agriculture 20 and Forest and Range 20.
Minimum open space acreage.	9 acres	15 acres	30 acres

(Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.040 Development Regulations.

- A. Applicability. This chapter applies to all tax parcels or combination of tax parcels from the date of the ordinance codified in this chapter, located in the Residential, Residential 2, Suburban, Suburban 2, Agriculture-3, Agriculture 5, Agriculture-20, Rural-3, Rural 5 and Forest and Range 20 zoning districts. Roads. Title 12 Road Standards of this code shall apply to Performance Based Cluster Platting.
- B. Phasing. Phasing shall be permitted without bonding requirements for future phases. Extension to final plat approval may be requested by the applicant in no more than three, three-year increments, pursuant to RCW 58.17.140. Final plat approval must be given within five years of the date of preliminary plat approval.
- C. Notification Requirement. If appropriate, all Performance Based Cluster Platting conveyance instruments shall contain the following notice: The subject property is within or near existing agricultural or other natural resource areas on which a variety of activities may occur that are not compatible with residential development for certain periods of varying duration. Agricultural or other natural resource activities performed in accordance with county, state and federal laws are not subject to legal action as public nuisances. Kittitas County has adopted right to farm provisions contained in the Section 17.74 of the Kittitas County Zoning Code.

- D. Compliance with County Development Regulations. Unless otherwise specified by this chapter, all development activities authorized through this chapter shall comply with all existing, applicable county development regulations, including but not limited to: subdivision ordinance, zoning code, shoreline master program, road standards, critical areas, and floodplain development ordinance. In addition, Performance Based Cluster Platting shall not be used prospectively in conjunction with the Kittitas County planned unit development ordinance (Chapter 17.36 of this code).
- E. Applications. Applications for Performance Based Cluster Platting shall be evaluated for the possible impacts to adjacent agricultural uses. Residential parcel densities allowed in rural areas can have a significant impact on agricultural, forestry and mineral resource uses. Conditions may be placed on development proposals through the normal Kittitas County permitting authority, which protect agricultural lands from possible impacts related to incompatible land uses. All applications shall be referred to the planning commission for review.
- F. Irrigation. If the land is served with irrigation water, a preliminary irrigation plan is required with application.
- G. Farmstead. The farmstead, including the pre-existing residential and associated out buildings within the project boundary, will not be required to become part of a cluster of residences.
- H. Location. Clustered lots shall be located within the project boundary in a manner that best recognizes the integrity of the public benefits identified in the cluster plat, including but not limited to, the location of the natural resource lands, critical areas as identified in K.C.C. 17.A, purpose of open space, etc.
- I. Agriculture-20. The ability to create one lot less than twenty acres in size in the Agriculture-20 zoning district shall not be used in addition to or cumulatively with Performance Based Cluster Platting. (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 96-6 (part), 1996)

16.09.060 Minimum Lot Size.

The size of the lots to be developed shall meet the minimum WA ST Department of Health requirements. (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.080 Process for Approval.

- A. Prior to submitting an application for a Performance Based Cluster Plat the applicant shall submit a request for a Pre-application Conference with the staff of Community Development Services. (CDS). CDS will schedule the pre-application conference and invite other county departments and outside agencies as appropriate to review and offer comments regarding the application and to assist the applicant in the appropriate process.
- B. Submit preliminary Performance Based Cluster Plat map in conformance with requirements in K.C.C Title 16.12 Preliminary Plats and Title 12 Road Standards. Submit SEPA checklist in conformance with K.C.C. 15.04 SEPA Regulations, as required for a plat application.
- C. Submit critical areas application consistent with K.C.C. Title 17A.C.

- D. Performance Based Cluster Plats are to be processed as plats and are subject to a public hearing before the Planning Commission as provided for in K.C.C. Title 15A. Project Permit Application Process.
- E. Final Performance Based Cluster Plat approval must be in conformance with K.C.C. Title 16.20 Final Plats.
- F. Prior to final plat approval, any features of the project incurred as a result of bonus density shall be fully constructed or bonded for.
- G. Documentation shall be submitted by the applicant stating how the proposed development meets the intent of Chapter 16.09, and shall also demonstrate consistency with the bonus density awarded for such development prior to final approval.
- H. Final plats meeting all requirements of this chapter shall be submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval within five years of the date of preliminary approval as required by RCW 58.17.140. An applicant who files a written request with the County at least thirty days before the expiration of this five year period shall be granted an extension of no more than four years for a total of nine years upon a showing that the applicant has attempted in good faith to submit the final plat within the initial five year period. (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.090 Public Benefit Rating System.

Points accrued from each element will be calculated in a cumulative manner and applied as a total in accordance with the public benefit rating system chart below. This total shall be converted to a percentile on a one to one basis (ex. 80 points equals 80% bonus density) and multiplied against the underlying zone minimum lot size based density. Where more than one zone exists within a cluster plat boundary, the overall percentile shall be applied against the number of whole lots calculated within the individual zone acreage and within the overall limit for the zone per 16.09.030 of this code.

Example:

- An application for an 80 acre cluster plat where 65 acres are zoned Forest and Range 20 and 15 acres are zoned Rural 3.
- Total cumulative points for entire plat earned = 150. Converted to 150%.
- Rural 3 zone density bonus limit = 100%
- Forest and Range 20 zone density bonus limit = 200%.

Calculations:

1. 15 acres divided by 3 acre min. lot size = 5 whole lots.*
5 lots times 100% max. (within the 150% earned) for Rural 3 = 10 lots.
2. 65 acres divided by 20 acre min. lot size = 3 whole lots.
3. 3 lots times 150% earned (within the 200% max. allowed for Forest and Range) = 7 whole lots.
4. Total lots allowed for cluster plat = 17. A potential of up to 5 clusters (minimum 3 lots or building envelopes each) may be located where most appropriate within the 80 acre project boundary regardless of the zone in which each is placed.

* Whole lots are based on the minimum lot size for the zone and fractions thereof will not be rounded up to constitute a whole lot.

Public Benefit Ratings System Chart

Element	Urban Points	Rural Points	Comments
Transportation			
Roadway Right of Way width exceeding County Road Standards by >20% to Accommodate Future Growth and Multi-Modal Transportation Needs.	25	0	Urban levels of activity will need to consider future needs as growth and population increase. There will be more opportunity for Multi-modal transportation options in the urban environment.
Incorporate appropriate easements and rights of way to allow for connectivity between developments for motorized, non-motorized and pedestrian travel. Facilitates grid system transportation network.	25	25	Establishment and facilitation of connectivity between developments for all modes of transportation will allow for efficient and orderly road development.
Provide for multi-modal access to publicly owned recreational lands. Preserve Historic Access to recreation areas.	25	25	Access to public recreation lands has diminished as a result of increased development. Incentives to preserve this access are vital to the public interest. Proposed access points to public lands shall be in conformance with requirements as identified by federal, state, and local agencies having jurisdiction over said public lands. Documentation demonstrating such shall be submitted as part of the project application.
Develop Design Standards for streetscape. i.e. separated sidewalks, street lighting, landscaping.	20	0	Urban streetscapes are an important element to the character of an urban community. As density in these urban areas increases, it is important to provide elements in street design that are effective in reducing the

effects of hardscape and that are visually pleasing.

Open Space

Place minimum of 50% of site in open space for 25 yrs.	20	0	25 year period is sufficient to justify the development of passive uses. Allows for redevelopment in urban areas after 25 years.
Place 40% to 80% of site in open space for perpetuity.	10	40 to 80 dependent on % set aside. Points directly related to set aside percentage ie: 40% = 40 points.* See habitat connectivity for additional points associated with this category.	Significant long term benefit in rural areas. Minimizes options for redevelopment in urban areas.
Create urban redevelopment areas using open space	35	0	Allows for redevelopment in urban areas not currently served by urban services.

Wildlife Habitat

Connectivity of Wildlife Corridors	0	15 if done in conjunction with open space in perpetuity.	Development of open space is most effective if done with adjacent open space lands in mind. Development of wildlife corridors provides maximum benefit from open space creation. Proposed wildlife corridors shall be consistent with the requirements of the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife. Documentation demonstrating such shall be submitted as part of the project application.
Wetland and riparian areas, setbacks, wetland, riparian areas and habitat enhancement and creation beyond requirements of CAO.	10	10	Provides for replacement of historic loss of wetlands, habitat, riparian and aquifer recharge areas.

Health and Safety

Connection to municipal water system.	50	0	Use of urban services.
Connection to Group A water system.	50	50	Minimize need for individual wells.
Connection to Group B water system.	0	25	Minimizes need for individual wells. Not consistent with urban levels of development.
Connection to Sewage Disposal System	50	0	Use of urban services.
Community septic system.	0	10	Minimizes individual drain fields and ensures maintenance of system.
Reclaimed water system.	50	50	Reduces use of domestic water supplies for irrigation and stream flows.

Recreation – For specific uses see KCC 17.14.

Development of passive recreational facilities: ie: bird watching, picnic areas.	5/10* higher number if available for general public use.	5/10* higher number if available for general public use.	Provides limited recreational use.
Development of active recreational facilities ie: trails, ball fields, tennis courts, outdoor riding arenas.	10/20* higher number if available for general public use.	10/20* higher number if available for general public use.	Provides for increased opportunity for recreation.
Development of formal recreation facilities. ie: pool, clubhouse, golf course, indoor riding arenas.	10/25* higher number if available for general public use.	10/25* higher number if available for general public use.	Provides for increased opportunity for recreation

(Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

16.09.100 Definitions.

- A. Cluster. A "cluster" consists of three or more buildable contiguous lots or building envelopes within the cluster boundary. Individual clusters need not be contiguous but must be within the project boundary.
- B. "Density bonus" is that percentage of increase over the underlying zoning in the number of residential lots based on the total acres of the proposal.
- C. Open space. For purposes of this chapter, "open space" shall mean land used for outdoor active, passive and formal recreational purposes, land used for resource protection (including related structures such as barns on agriculturally productive land), land which is a common area for use by the public and/or residents of a cluster development, which is reserved for parks, walking paths or other natural uses, but not to include critical areas where development would otherwise be restricted, or dwellings or roadways surfaces, or building setbacks required by current codes, or areas otherwise encumbered by other federal, state, or local jurisdictions. In all cases, for purposes of this chapter, open space shall be of a functional nature and incorporate logical boundaries.
- D. Public Water System. A DOH approved water system that meets the requirements of WAC 246-290 or 246-291, or any water system that meets the definition of "Municipal water supplier" under RCW 90.03.015.
- E. Sewage Disposal System. A DOH or DOE approved sewage disposal system that meets the requirements of RCW 36.94 or RCW 90.46 or RCW 90.48.
- F. Parent Parcel. That land made up of one or more contiguous tax parcels that are developed under this section.
- G. Recreational passive uses shall include, but not be limited to, picnic areas, bird and wildlife viewing areas, pedestrian trails, etc.
- H. Recreational active uses shall include, but not be limited to, ball fields, tennis courts, wheeled vehicle trails, outdoor riding arenas, etc.
- I. Recreational formal uses shall include, but not be limited to, swimming pools, clubhouses, golf courses, indoor riding arenas, etc.
- J. Reserve Development Area is all of the land within the project boundary that is within one mile of an Urban Growth Area and could reasonably be considered for inclusion within an Urban Growth Area during the 20 year planning period.
- K. The "residual parcel" (also called "the open area") is that land which is remaining after the cluster subdivision lots and internal roads are deducted (Ord. 2006-36, 2006; Ord. 2005-35, 2005)

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 1:57 PM
To: Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAH.P.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; 'mmorton@cityofcleelum.com'; 'Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net)'; Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; 'Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com)'; 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Notice of Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Notice of Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on the above application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for **April 23, 2015 at 6:00** p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, Room 108, 205 W 5th Avenue, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony and written comments will be taken. The project application and related documents may be viewed at the address below prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus, landowner, submitted a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map

numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926 or on line at:

<http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats>

Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Publish Daily Record: April 16, 2015

Publish NKC Tribune: April 16, 2015

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Jeff Watson

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:39 AM

To: Jeff Watson; Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink

Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; mmorton@cityofcleelum.com; Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net); Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com); 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'

Subject: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by

existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project.

Please see the attached document for the full MDNS on this project.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

State Environmental Policy Act MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

- Description:** Big Buck Ridge (LP-07-00040) is a proposed Preliminary Plat totaling 14 one acre single-family residential lots on 25 acres to be served by a group B water system with individual onsite septic systems. The project is proposed on a site that has a vested zoning of Rural-3.
- Proponent:** Wayne Nelson, authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner
- Location:** Two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. The mitigation measures include the following:

Water and Septic

- 1) The proposed plat is located within the upper county as defined in WAC 173-539A-090; the proposed group B water system shall be developed in complete compliance with the regulations and requirements of WAC 173-539A. The development will need to:
 - a) Have well site inspections.
 - b) Complete and submit a Group B water system workbook.
 - c) Contract with a Satellite Management Agency.
 - d) Complete or bond for infrastructure.

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLAN REVIEW • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • CODE ENFORCEMENT

1

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeffrey A. Watson

Planner II

Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services

411 North Ruby

Ellensburg WA 98926

jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us

509-933-8274

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 04/14/15 12:38 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1269275

Status: N

KC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. RUBY ST, SUITE 2
ELLENSBURG WA 98926

Start: 04/16/2015 Stop: 04/16/2015
Times Ord: 1 Times Run: ***
STD6 2.00 X 4.37 Words: 239
Total STD6 8.74
Class: 0001 LEGAL NOTICES
Rate: LEG2 Cost: 75.16
Affidavits: 1

Contact: STEPH MIFFLIN
Phone: (509)962-7506
Fax#:
Email: steph.mifflin@co.kittitas.wa
Agency:

Ad Descrpt: NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
Given by: JEFF WATSON
Created: lfi18 04/14/15 12:23
Last Changed: lfi18 04/14/15 12:38

COMMENTS:

COPIED from AD 1268294

PUB ZONE ED TP START INS STOP SMTWTFS
DR A 97 S 04/16
IN A 97 S 04/16

AUTHORIZATION

Under this agreement rates are subject to change with 30 days notice. In the event of a cancellation before schedule completion, I understand that the rate charged will be based upon the rate for the number of insertions used.

Quote from Daily Record/Kittitas County Publishing (509) 925-1414
This ad will run as quoted unless cancellation is received. Please contact your sales rep 24 hours prior to first run date to cancel order.

Jeff Watson
Name (print or type)

[Signature]
Name (signature)

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION (CONTINUED)

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 04/14/15 12:38 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1269275

Status: N

**Notice of Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)**

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on the above application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 23, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, Room 108, 205 W 5th Avenue, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony and written comments will be taken. The project application and related documents may be viewed at the address below prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus, landowner, submitted a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20 15 26010 0010 and 20 15 26010 0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926 or on line at:

[http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats](http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long%20Plats)

Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Publish Daily Record: April 16, 2015
Publish NKC Tribune: April 16, 2015

Notice of Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on the above application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for **April 23, 2015 at 6:00 p.m.** in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, Room 108, 205 W 5th Avenue, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony and written comments will be taken. The project application and related documents may be viewed at the address below prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus, landowner, submitted a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926 or on line at:

[http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats](http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long%20Plats)

Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Publish Daily Record: April 16, 2015

Publish NKC Tribune: April 16, 2015

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN Printed at 04/02/15 12:12 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1264055 Status: N

KC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. RUBY ST, SUITE 2
ELLENSBURG WA 98926

Start: 04/04/2015 Stop: 04/04/2015
Times Ord: 1 Times Run: ***
STD6 2.00 X 6.38 Words: 405
Total STD6 12.76
Class: 0001 LEGAL NOTICES
Rate: LEG2 Cost: 109.74
Affidavits: 1

Contact: STEPH MIFFLIN
Phone: (509)962-7506
Fax#:
Email: steph.mifflin@co.kittitas.wa
Agency:

Ad Descript: PUBLIC HEARING RESCHEDULE
Given by: JEFF WATSON
Created: lfi18 04/02/15 11:59
Last Changed: lfi18 04/02/15 12:12

COMMENTS:

COPIED from AD 1258286


PUB ZONE ED TP START INS STOP SMTWTFS
DR A 97 S 04/04
IN A 97 S 04/04

AUTHORIZATION

Under this agreement rates are subject to change with 30 days notice. In the event of a cancellation before schedule completion, I understand that the rate charged will be based upon the rate for the number of insertions used.

Quote from Daily Record/Kittitas County Publishing (509) 925-1414
This ad will run as quoted unless cancellation is received. Please contact your sales rep 24 hours prior to first run date to cancel order.

Jeff Watson
Name (print or type)


Name (signature)

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION (CONTINUED)

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 04/02/15 12:12 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1264055

Status: N

NOTICE OF SEPA ACTION AND PUBLIC HEARING

POSTPONMENT
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)

THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN
RESCHEDULED FOR APRIL 23, 2015 at 6:00 PM; KITTITAS
COURTHOUSE, 205 W. 5TH ELLENSBURG WA

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that pursuant to RCW 43.21(C), Kittitas County Community Development Services did on March 25, 2015 make a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus for a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20 15 26010 0010 and 20 15 26010 0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such administrative SEPA action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21RCW shall be commenced on or before April 8, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Rm. 108, County Courthouse, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Appeals of SEPA threshold determinations shall be consolidated with appeals of final permit approval, according to 15A.04.020, Chapter 43.21C RCW and Chapter 15.04 KCC (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal). A single simultaneous hearing before one hearing body will consider the agency decision on a proposal and any environmental determinations made, with the exception of the appeal, if any, of a threshold determination of significance.

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 23, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, 205 W 5th AVE STE 108, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Date: April 2, 2015
Publish Daily Record: April 4th, 2015

75 #

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 3:36 PM
To: Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Jamarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; 'mmorton@cityofcleelum.com'; 'Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net)'; Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; 'Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com)'; 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'; waynenelsen@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

FORMAL NOTICE TO FOLLOW... PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT CDS HAS GRANTED A REQUEST BY THE APPLICANT TO POSTPONE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO APRIL 23RD. THE SEPA APPEAL PERIOD REMAINS INTACT.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:39 AM
To: Jeff Watson; Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman;


'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'helmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'Holmstr@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; mmorton@cityofcleelum.com; Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net); Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com); 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'
Subject: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project.

Please see the attached document for the full MDNS on this project.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

State Environmental Policy Act MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

- Description:** Big Buck Ridge (LP-07-00040) is a proposed Preliminary Plat totaling 14 one acre single-family residential lots on 25 acres to be served by a group B water system with individual onsite septic systems. The project is proposed on a site that has a vested zoning of Rural-3.
- Proponent:** Wayne Nelson, authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner
- Location:** Two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. The mitigation measures include the following:

Water and Septic

- 1) The proposed plat is located within the upper county as defined in WAC 173-539A-090; the proposed group B water system shall be developed in complete compliance with the regulations and requirements of WAC 173-539A. The development will need to:
 - a) Have well site inspections.
 - b) Complete and submit a Group B water system workbook.
 - c) Contract with a Satellite Management Agency.
 - d) Complete or bond for infrastructure.

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLAN REVIEW • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • CODE ENFORCEMENT

1

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeffrey A. Watson

Planner II

Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services

411 North Ruby

Ellensburg WA 98926

jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us

509-933-8274

Jeff Watson

From: Wayne Nelsen <waynenelsen@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 2:02 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Re: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Thanks Jeff. We'll be ready for the next available date.

Thanks,

Wayne

On Mar 31, 2015, at 2:00 PM, Jeff Watson <jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us> wrote:

No, problem; I can use this email chain as a request... do you have a date specific or do you want to let me know when you're ready?

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Wayne Nelsen [<mailto:waynenelsen@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 12:46 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Re: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Jeff:

It looks like we're going to need to postpone the hearing. Is this possible and what do you need from me?

Thanks,

Wayne Nelsen

On Mar 26, 2015, at 8:59 AM, Jeff Watson <jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us> wrote:

Sorry I didn't have you on the list; this went out yesterday.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Jeff Watson

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:39 AM

To: Jeff Watson; Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Tammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink

Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; 'mmorton@cityofcleelum.com'; Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net); Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com); 'ridgcrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlq98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iamb@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'

Subject: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information

reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project.

Please see the attached document for the full MDNS on this project.

<image003.jpg>

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County email system and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to archiving and review.

message id: 38eb45916c6dcbdac24bb8719d004a14

<LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge MDNS Signed.pdf>

Cle Elum residents challenge subdivision

Question is whether to use 2007 land-use rules or those in place now

By **MIKE JOHNSTON**
senior writer

A group of Cle Elum area residents is challenging a 14-lot rural subdivision claiming it should come under county land-use rules as they stand now, not those in place in 2007.

Kittitas County Community Development Services staff ruled the Big Buck Ridge plan comes under the regulations from 2007, when the plan was submitted.

Becky Andrus, the landowner seeking the plat, wants 14 one-acre lots and 11 acres kept as natural, open space, a provision allowed under the 2007 rules for performance-based cluster plats.

Property and homeowners north of Cle Elum calling themselves the Cle Elum Ridge Community say the proposed Big Buck Ridge cluster subdivision, planned on 25 acres a 1/2 mile north of Cle Elum city limits, must be reviewed using Kittitas County zoning and development rules as they were substantially changed in early 2013.

Traffic analysis

The attorney hired by the ridge property owners, James Carmody of Yakima, said the county in 2007 required the developer to submit a traffic impact analysis with the original application.

Without the analysis,

which was submitted in February 2013, the entire application wasn't complete, Carmody said.

In addition, the traffic analysis must be deemed adequate or complete separately before the overall cluster subdivision preliminary plat request can be considered complete or ready for detailed staff or public review.

"The filed (traffic impact analysis) report is grossly deficient and fails to address specific elements required by (county ordinance)," Carmody wrote.

He also said rulings by the state growth management board and the state Supreme Court have indicated that

zoning allowing lots of three acres in size or smaller do not comply with the state's Growth Management Act.

County government did away with three-acre zoning in agricultural or rural zones in 2013 with action on Feb. 11, 2013, but the traffic analysis was submitted Feb. 20 of that year, Carmody said, based on the previous zoning.

He concludes the Big Buck Ridge application was not complete as of the date its analysis was filed based on the county's Feb. 11, 2013, ordinance change.

County Planner Jeff Watson said county officials maintain the subdivision comes under rules as of 2007

when it was first submitted.

He said Carmody will have an opportunity to argue his case against the plat before the county's land-use hearings examiner during a public hearing on the plat set for 6 p.m. April 9 in Room 109 at the courthouse in Ellensburg.

"We believe the legal status of the application is that it is vested under the former zoning regulations," Watson said. "The hearings examiner, an experienced land-use attorney, will hear both sides in this issue and make a ruling."

The ruling will be a recommendation that goes to county commissioners who will later make a final decision on the preliminary plat.

EVENTS CALENDAR

Submit events to Daily Record through online calendar at dailyrecordnews.com by email to news@kvnews.com. Events in this column are list space-available basis.

Today, March 30

Kittitas County Fair
Market membership meeting, 6-8 p.m., Holmes Center, 20 Ruby St., Ellensburg

Al Anon Serenity Service, 306 N. Anderson St., Ellensburg

Tuesday, March 31

Weight Watchers
5:30 p.m., Ellensburg Activity Center, 500 St., Ellensburg

Ribbon cutting ceremony for the new Wineg Jerrol's location, 11

Development to get environmental review

Public hearing for one-acre plots coming

By **MIKE JOHNSTON**
senior editor

A rural housing development with one-acre lots has undergone an environmental review and will be the focus of a public hearing on April 9.

The Big Buck Ridge cluster subdivision is planned on a 25-acre parcel a 1/2-mile north of the city limits of Cle Elum, directly north of where Yakima Avenue ends at the city's boundary, according to Kittitas County Community Development Department staff.

The development, proposed by landowner

Becky Andrus, is formally configured as a long plat that's designed to be a performance-based cluster subdivision. This means as the developer adds community amenities to the plat, like lands kept in a natural state, trails and other public-use features, the subdivision is allowed to use smaller lots.

The landowner plans to create a recreational trail system within the development, set aside 11 acres of wooded, ridge land that would stay in its natural state that also will have recreational trails, and install a group, domestic water system serving each

one-acre lot.

A public hearing to receive wider comments from the community on the proposal is set for 6 p.m. April 9 before the county's land-use hearings examiner. The meeting will be in Room 109 at the courthouse in Ellensburg.

Public input

County Planner Jeff Watson said written comments from the public can be submitted before the hearing to the county CDS Department or at the hearing

Documents related to the development at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road can

be viewed at the CDS office during regular work hours, or go online to: <http://goo.gl/UqDx4b> and click on Big Buck Ridge LP-07-00040.

County planning staff have proposed conditions on the development's construction and ongoing use as part of its analysis of environmental impacts. The county believes the development doesn't pose significant, adverse environmental impacts if the conditions are met by the developer.

Some of the conditions include community water system development, septic provisions, meet county private road requirements, provide for management of

stormwater according to local and state rules, and others.

Those wanting to challenge or appeal county staff decisions on the project's environmental analysis or conditions must do so before a 5 p.m. April 9 deadline.

The subdivision comes under county zoning and development rules and requirements as they existed in August 2007, when the development's application was formally accepted as a complete application and began being evaluated, Watson said.

The landowner, at that point, delayed in moving forward on the development, until last year.

EVENTS CALENDAR

Submit events to the Daily Record through the online calendar at www.dailyrecordnews.com or by email to newsroom@kvnews.com. Events in this column are listed on a space-available basis.

Today, March 26

Teanaway Community Forest Advisory Committee meeting, 3 p.m., Putnam Centennial Center, Cle Elum

Free tax assistance, 9:30 a.m. to 3 p.m., Ellensburg Activity Center, appointment required call 962-7242

Friends of the Roslyn Library meeting, 10:30 a.m., Roslyn Library, 201 First St., Roslyn

Traditional Irish Tea, 2 p.m., Hearthstone Cottage RSVP needed

All Ann literature for

Legals 

Wednesday, April 8, 2015 to fix and adopt a 2014-2015 budget extension for the Capital Projects Fund. The public hearing will be held at 6:45 p.m. in the City of Ellensburg Council Chambers at 501 N Anderson Street, Ellensburg. Any person may appear at the public hearing and be heard for or against any part of the budget extension. For copies of the budget extension or ad-

continued next column

Legals 

ditional information please contact Anna Charlton, Fiscal Assistant, at 925-8018.

Publish: March 25 & April 1, 2015

In the superior court of the State of Washington for the county of Kittitas

No. 14-2-00394-6

Bank of America, N.A., a nation-

continued next column

Legals 

al bank, Plaintiff,

vs.

FirstPlus Bank dba FirstPlus Bank (a California Corporation) dba Citizens Thrift and Loan Association, an inactive California corporation, Defendant.

The State of Washington to Citizens Thrift and Loan Association:

You are hereby summoned *continued next column*

Legals 

to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to wit, within sixty days after the 11th day of March, 2015, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA"), and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorneys for plaintiff BANA, at its office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you *continued next column*

Le

acc
con
wit
Cor
Jud

Pla
Jod
Wit
422
Spe
Cot

Put
A

**Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing
Osprey Solar Farm Conditional Use Permit (CU-14-00003)
&
Osprey Solar Farm Shoreline Substantial Development Permit
(SD-14-00002)**

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that pursuant to RCW 43.21(C), Kittitas County Community Development Services did on March 25, 2015 make a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for One Energy Development LLC, agent for property owner Pat Taylor for a Conditional Use permit application and Shoreline Substantial Development permit application to construct a 13.6 acre photovoltaic solar power generation facility on approximately 112 acres. The subject property is zoned Agriculture 20. Final approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of one parcel, located approximately 3.5 miles northwest of Ellensburg on Highway 10 (US 97), in a portion of Section 20, T18N, R18E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map number 18 18 20030 0006. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such administrative SEPA action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21RCW shall be commenced on or before April 8, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Rm. 108, County Courthouse, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Appeals of SEPA threshold determinations shall be consolidated with appeals of final permit approval, according to 15A.04.020, Chapter 43.21C RCW and Chapter 15.04 KCC (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal). A single simultaneous hearing before one hearing body will consider the agency decision on a proposal and any environmental determinations made, with the exception of the appeal, if any, of a threshold determination of significance.

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 9, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, 205 W 5th Ave Ste 108, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Date: March 25, 2010
Publish Daily Record: March 25th and April 1st, 2015
Publish NKC Tribune: March 26th and April 2nd, 2015


**Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)**

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that pursuant to RCW 43.21(C), Kittitas County Community Development Services did on March 25, 2015 make a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus for a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20 15 26010 0010 and 20 15 26010 0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such administrative SEPA action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21RCW shall be commenced on or before April 8, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Rm. 108, County Courthouse, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Appeals of SEPA threshold determinations shall be consolidated with appeals of final permit approval, according to 15A.04.020, Chapter 43.21C RCW and Chapter 15.04 KCC (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal). A single simultaneous hearing before one hearing body will consider the agency decision on a proposal and any environmental determinations made, with the exception of the appeal, if any, of a threshold determination of significance.

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 9, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, 205 W 5th Ave Ste 108, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Date: March 25, 2010
Publish Daily Record: March 25th and April 1st, 2015
Publish NKC Tribune: March 26th and April 2nd, 2015



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

State Environmental Policy Act MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

- Description:** Big Buck Ridge (LP-07-00040) is a proposed Preliminary Plat totaling 14 one acre single-family residential lots on 25 acres to be served by a group B water system with individual onsite septic systems. The project is proposed on a site that has a vested zoning of Rural-3.
- Proponent:** Wayne Nelson, authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner
- Location:** Two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. The mitigation measures include the following:

Water and Septic

- 1) The proposed plat is located within the upper county as defined in WAC 173-539A-090; the proposed group B water system shall be developed in complete compliance with the regulations and requirements of WAC 173-539A. The development will need to:
 - a) Have well site inspections.
 - b) Complete and submit a Group B water system workbook.
 - c) Contract with a Satellite Management Agency.
 - d) Complete or bond for infrastructure.

- e) Obtain WSDOH approval and identification number.
 - f) Construct well(s) in accordance with the provisions of WAC 173-160. Wells must be located 100 feet from any known, suspected, or potential source of contamination.
 - g) File a well report with the Department of Ecology within thirty (30) days after the completion of the well.
- 2) On-site sewage systems shall be constructed in accordance with requirements and regulations in Kittitas County Code (KCC) 13.04 at the time of application (6/20/2007).

Transportation

- 3) KCC 12.12.010(6) requires private roads within the plat to be maintained by a legal entity made up of all benefitted property owners under the provisions of an acceptable and recorded Private Road Maintenance Agreement.
- 4) All parcels located within this project shall be required to join the existing Private Road Maintenance Agreement which is made up of all the benefitted property owners served by Deer Creek Road and other roadways within Section 23, Township 20 North, Range 15 East.

Stormwater

- 5) Activities such as road widening, stump pulling and clearing grading and fill work and utility placements may require an NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit issued by the Department of Ecology prior to start of construction. This permit requires the preparation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. It is the applicant's responsibility to contact the Department of Ecology.
- 6) On-site stormwater management that conforms to the specifications of the most current version of the Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington is required of this development. Stormwater systems shall be designed to store stormwater generated by a 24-hour, 25-year storm event. Stormwater system designs shall be prepared and stamped by a civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington. The stormwater system design shall be presented for review to Public Works prior to final approval. The stormwater system construction shall be certified by a licensed engineer. The certification shall be included with the road certification and is required prior to the issuance of a building permit. Stormwater plans shall be submitted in accordance with KCC 12.06 and 12.08.

Cultural Resources and Historic Preservation

- 7) Should ground disturbing or other activities related to the proposed plat result in the inadvertent discovery of cultural or archaeological materials, work shall be stopped in the immediate area and contact be made with the Washington State DAHP. Work shall remain suspended until the find is assessed and appropriate consultation is conducted. Should human remains be inadvertently discovered, as dictated by Washington State RCW 27.44.055, work shall be immediately halted in the area and contact made with the coroner and local law enforcement in the most expeditious manner possible.


Light and Aesthetics

- 8) All outdoor lighting shall be shielded and directed downward to minimize the effect to nearby residential properties.

Noise

- 9) Development and construction practices during building of this project shall only occur between the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm to minimize the effect of construction noise on nearby residential properties.

The above stated mitigation conditions listed above will be provided within conditions of the decision of the preliminary plat of approval.

Responsible Official: 
Robert "Doc" Hansen

Title: Planning Official

Address: Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby Street, Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA. 98926
Phone: (509) 962-7506 Fax: (509) 962-7682

Date: March 25, 2015

This Mitigated DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-355 and WAC 197-11-390; the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 10 working days. Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge this administrative SEPA action's procedural compliance with the provisions of Chapter 197-11 WAC shall be commenced on or before 5:00 pm, April 9, 2015.

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 12:20 PM
To: Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSECOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; 'mmorton@cityofcleelum.com'; 'Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net)'; Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; 'Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com)'; 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge
Attachments: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing Legal.pdf

My apologies, I failed to include the Public Hearing language in the previous email regarding the MDNS. Please see attached and below:

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 9, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, 205 W 5th AVE STE 108, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)

411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:39 AM
To: Jeff Watson; Brenda Larsen; 'Brent Renfrow (Brent.Renfrow@dfw.wa.gov)'; Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; 'Cindy Preston (cindy.preston@dnr.wa.gov)'; 'CROSEACOORDINATOR@ECY.WA.GOV'; 'Clear, Gwen (ECY)'; 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; 'Gretchen.Kaehler@DAHP.wa.gov'; Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; 'linda.hazlett@dnr.wa.gov'; Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Mike Johnston; 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; 'russell.mau@doh.wa.gov'; 'Teske, Mark S'; 'rivers@dnr.wa.gov'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; 'Richard.Benson@doh.wa.gov'; 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'separegister@ecy.wa.gov'; Kaycee Hathaway; Jim Fossett; 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; mmorton@cityofcleelum.com; Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net); Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com); 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'
Subject: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge
Attachments: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge MDNS Signed.pdf

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project.

Please see the attached document for the full MDNS on this project.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
CDS@K.C.KITTITAS.WA.US
Office (509) 962-7506
Fax (509) 962-7682

**State Environmental Policy Act
MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE**

- Description:** Big Buck Ridge (LP-07-00040) is a proposed Preliminary Plat totaling 14 one acre single-family residential lots on 25 acres to be served by a group B water system with individual onsite septic systems. The project is proposed on a site that has a vested zoning of Rural-3.
- Proponent:** Wayne Nelson, authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner
- Location:** Two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. The mitigation measures include the following:

Water and Septic

- 1) The proposed plat is located within the upper county as defined in WAC 173-539A-090; the proposed group B water system shall be developed in complete compliance with the regulations and requirements of WAC 173-539A. The development will need to:
 - a) Have well site inspections.
 - b) Complete and submit a Group B water system workbook.
 - c) Contract with a Satellite Management Agency.
 - d) Complete or bond for infrastructure.

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of

Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 03/23/15 13:22 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1258311

Status: N

KC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. RUBY ST, SUITE 2
ELLENSBURG WA 98926

Start: 03/25/2015 Stop: 04/01/2015
Times Ord: 2 Times Run: ***
STD6 2.00 X 5.85 Words: 387
Total STD6 11.70
Class: 0001 LEGAL NOTICES
Rate: LEG2 Cost: 201.24
Affidavits: 1

Contact: STEPH MIFFLIN

Phone: (509)962-7506

Fax#:

Email: steph.mifflin@co.kittitas.wa

Agency:

Ad Descript: BIG BICK RIDGE HEARING

Given by: JEFF WATSON

Created: lfi18 03/23/15 13:13

Last Changed: lfi18 03/23/15 13:21

COMMENTS:


COPIED from AD 1258286


PUB ZONE ED TP START INS STOP SMTWTFS
DR A 97 S 03/25 04/01
IN A 97 S 03/25 04/01

AUTHORIZATION

Under this agreement rates are subject to change with 30 days notice. In the event of a cancellation before schedule completion, I understand that the rate charged will be based upon the rate for the number of insertions used.

Quote from Daily Record/Kittitas County Publishing (509) 925-1414
This ad will run as quoted unless cancellation is received. Please contact your sales rep 24 hours prior to first run date to cancel order.


Name (print or type)


Name (signature)

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509) 925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION (CONTINUED)

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 03/23/15 13:22 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1258311

Status: N

Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that pursuant to RCW 43.21(C), Kittitas County Community Development Services did on March 25, 2015 make a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus for a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20 15 26010 0010 and 20 15 26010 0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.

Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such administrative SEPA action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21RCW shall be commenced on or before April 8, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Rm. 108, County Courthouse, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Appeals of SEPA threshold determinations shall be consolidated with appeals of final permit approval, according to 15A.04.020, Chapter 43.21C RCW and Chapter 15.04 KCC (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal). A single simultaneous hearing before one hearing body will consider the agency decision on a proposal and any environmental determinations made, with the exception of the appeal, if any, of a threshold determination of significance.

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for April 9, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Date: March 25, 2010
Publish Daily Record: March 25th and April 1st, 2015
Publish NKC Tribune: March 26th and April 2nd, 2015

205 W 5th AVE STE 108

Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing
Big Buck Ridge Plat
(LP-07-00040)

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that pursuant to RCW 43.21(C), Kittitas County Community Development Services did on March 25, 2015 make a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for Wayne Nelson, agent for property owner Becky Andrus for a preliminary plat application to subdivide approximately 25 acres into 14 single-family residential lots. Final plat approval and building permits will be required. The subject property is comprised of two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum, (outside the city limits) at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009. The complete application file may be viewed at Kittitas County Community Development Services, 411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Staff Planner: Jeff Watson.


Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such administrative SEPA action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21RCW shall be commenced on or before **April 8, 2015 at 5:00 p.m.** to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Rm. 108, County Courthouse, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Appeals of SEPA threshold determinations shall be consolidated with appeals of final permit approval, according to 15A.04.020, Chapter [43.21C RCW](#) and Chapter [15.04 KCC](#) (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal). A single simultaneous hearing before one hearing body will consider the agency decision on a proposal and any environmental determinations made, with the exception of the appeal, if any, of a threshold determination of significance.

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a hearing on said application before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner has been scheduled for **April 9, 2015 at 6:00 p.m.** in the Kittitas County Courthouse Auditorium, 205 W 5th AVE STE 108, Ellensburg, WA. 98926. Anyone with an interest in this matter is urged to attend said hearing where testimony will be taken. Written comments will be received and documents may be viewed at the above address prior to the hearing. Interested persons are encouraged to verify prior to attending.

Date: March 25, 2010

Publish Daily Record: March 25th and April 1st, 2015

Publish NKC Tribune: March 26th and April 2nd, 2015



KITTITAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS


MEMORANDUM

TO: Jeff Watson, CDS
FROM: Christina Wollman, Planner III *CW*
DATE: March 20, 2015
SUBJECT: Road Maintenance Agreement
Big Buck Ridge Plat LP-07-00040

Kittitas County Road Standards state that private roads shall be: "Maintained by the developer or legally responsible owner or homeowners' association or other legal entity made up of all benefitted property owners, under the provisions of an acceptable and recorded "Private Road Maintenance Agreement." (KCC 12.12.010(6))

The original Road Maintenance Agreement for the "benefitted property owners" served by Deer Creek and Big Tail Road is recoded under AFN 200308260035. The Big Buck Ridge property is shown on Exhibit B to the immediate east of Lot 11-B, which was subdivided as the Plat of Meadow Ridge.

When recorded return to:



Stewart Title of Kittitas County, L.L.C. REVIEWED BY
208 West 9th Avenue, Suite 6
Ellensburg, WA 98926

KITTITAS COUNTY TREASURER
DEPUTY Malcolm
DATE 8-26-03

Document Title(s) (or transactions contained therein)
Road Maintenance Agreement

Reference Number(s) of Documents assigned or released: 200307290059

Grantor(s) (Last name first, then first name and initials)
1. Cle Elum's Sapphire Skies LLC
2.
3.
4.
5. Additional names on page _____ of document.

Grantee(s) (Last name first, then first name and initials)
1. DJ Cattle and Land, LP
2. Cle Elum Homestead LLC
3. David G. Berry
4.
5. Additional names on page _____ of document.

Abbreviated legal description
Additional legal description on page _____ of document.

Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Number

The Auditor will rely on the information provided on the form. The staff will not read the document to verify accuracy or completeness of the indexing information provided herein.

Return Original To:
Cle Elum's Sapphire Skies
315 - 39th Ave SW, Suite 8
Puyallup, WA 98173

REVIEWED BY
KITITAS COUNTY TREASURER
DEPUTY K. Hied
DATE 07-29-03

ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

* RERECORDING TO ADD EXHIBITS A + B

THIS ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made as of this 16 day of June, 2003, by and among Cle Elum's Sapphire Skies, LLC, a Washington limited liability company ("CESS"), and DJ Cattle and Land, L.P., a Washington limited partnership, Cle Elum Homestead, LLC, a Washington limited liability company and David G. Berry (collectively "Berry").

RECITALS

A. The parties desire to set forth a method for the maintenance of certain roads used by the owners of certain property located in Kittitas County, Washington, which are described on attached Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" (the "Properties").

B. The Properties are also depicted on the map attached as Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" are separately referred to as the "CESS Lots," the "Berry Lots". Each lot comprising the Properties and each additional lot created from a segregation, short plat or subdivision of the existing lots (the "Lots" or separately a "Lot") will be assigned certain maintenance shares for certain roads pursuant to this Agreement. Lots created from CESS Lots shall also be CESS Lots; Lots created from Berry Lots shall also be Berry Lots for purposes of this Agreement and the allocation of costs.

C. The roads are depicted on the map attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" and shall be referred to, respectively, as the "Outlet Road," the "South Road" and the "Upper Roads," and collectively as the "Roads."

D. The parties are willing to maintain the Outlet Road, the South Road and the Upper Roads on the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

AGREEMENT

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual covenants and commitments set forth herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. Easements. This Agreement shall not govern the easements held by the parties and their successors over the Roads; provided, however, that no party or successor shall be obligated for maintenance over a road on which such party or successor has no easement rights. Furthermore, this Agreement shall not govern or restrict the ability of any Lot owner in granting road easements over such owner's property subject to this Agreement.

1 - ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

2. Maintenance. The cost of road maintenance, snowplowing and resurfacing shall be allocated as follows:

- Outlet Road One equal maintenance share for each CESS Lot, each Berry Lot.
- South Road One equal maintenance share for each CESS Lot and each Berry Lot, *provided*, however, that the owner of any CESS Lot which relinquishes its easement over the South Road and acquires other access to its Lot shall no longer be obligated for maintenance under this Agreement
- Upper Roads One equal maintenance share for each Berry Lot.

The parties hereto shall meet and establish necessary maintenance provisions. Such provisions shall include, but shall not be limited to:

- (a) The appointment of a maintainer, which may be one of the parties hereto or any third party, who will perform or cause to be performed, at a reasonable and agreed upon rate, the maintenance and resurfacing of the road or the portion thereof being used, and snowplowing; and
- (b) The Roads shall be snowplowed, at a minimum, 16 feet wide. Upon 6 inches of snowfall, the Roads shall be snowplowed. The Roads shall be snowplowed a maximum of one time per day. It is the intent of these standards to maintain the Roads passable by four-wheel drive vehicles. It shall be the responsibility of Lot owners to plow their own driveways; and
- (c) A method of payment by which each party using said road or a portion thereof shall pay its share of the cost incurred by said maintainer in maintaining or resurfacing said road or portion thereof.

For the purposes of this easement, maintenance is defined as the work normally necessary to preserve and keep the roadway, road structure and road facilities as nearly as possible in their present condition or as hereafter improved.

3. Road Damage. Each party using any portion of said road shall repair or cause to be repaired at its sole cost and expense that damage to said road occasioned by it that is in excess of that which it would cause through normal and prudent usage of said road. Should inordinate damage to said road occur which is not caused by an authorized user of said road, the parties hereto shall meet to agree on the cost of replacement, and the shares of replacement cost to be borne by each user of said road.

4. Construction and Improvement. Unless a majority of the Lot owners responsible for maintaining a particular Road (under Section 2 above) approve in advance any road improvements (other than the routine maintenance provided for in Section 2 above), the costs of said improvements shall be solely for the account of the improver. When a majority of responsible Lot owners approve said improvements, however, the cost of said improvements shall be shared by all responsible Lot owners in accordance with Section 2, above, and said costs

67

shall constitute a lien on the property of each responsible Lot owner until paid. All work performed or caused to be performed to install or maintain utilities shall be completed in a careful and workmanlike manner to CESS'S reasonable satisfaction, free and clear of all claims or liens and in accordance with applicable law. All areas disturbed by the improver in installing or maintaining utilities shall be promptly restored to their prior condition.

5. Default; Remedies. In the event of a breach of this Agreement by any party, the damages suffered by the other parties are difficult if not impossible to ascertain, and therefore the non-breaching parties shall have the right to obtain specific performance of the obligations of the breaching party in addition to damages for all loss and expense (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and costs) arising from such breach.

6. Notices. All notices or other communications shall be in writing and shall be sent by personal delivery, telephone facsimile transmission, first-class mail, postage prepaid, or express courier or delivery service, addressed as follows:

If to CESS, to:

James E. Wood
Cle Elum's Sapphire Skies, LLC
315 39th Avenue SW #8
Puyallup, WA 98373

with a copy to:

Michael J. Murphy
Groff Murphy Trachtenberg
& Everard PLLC
300 E. Pine
Seattle, WA 98122

If to Berry, to:

David G. Berry
P.O. Box 654
Port Orchard, WA 98366

with a copy to:

7. Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be a covenant running with the land and shall benefit and burden the Property. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the parties and their respective successors and assigns. CESS, at its option, may record a copy of this Agreement, or a memorandum of same in the real property records of Kittitas County, Washington.

8. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be determined to be unenforceable, that shall not affect any other provision of this Agreement.

9. Amendments; Waivers. This Agreement may be amended only by a written instrument signed by all parties. No breach of any agreement, warranty or representation shall be deemed waived unless expressly waived in writing and signed by the party who might assert such breach. No failure or delay by either party in exercising any right under this Agreement shall operate as a waiver of such right, nor shall any single or partial exercise of any right preclude any other or further exercise of such right or the exercise of any other right. The remedies provided in this Agreement are cumulative and not exclusive of remedies provided by law.

3 - ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

10. Applicable Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Washington.

11. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, written or oral, between the parties, with respect to its subject matter.

12. Counterparts. This Agreement may be signed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which together shall constitute the same instrument.

13. Legal Fees. In the event of legal action or proceeding to enforce any of the provisions of this Agreement, costs and reasonable attorneys' fees (including reasonable charges allocated for internal counsel) shall be awarded to the prevailing party.

14. Termination. This Agreement shall terminate in part or in whole, as the case may be, with respect to any portion of the Roads, which become public roads.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the year and date first written above.

Cle Elum's Sapphire Skies, LLC

By: The Herbrand Company, Managing Member

By: James E. Wood
James E. Wood, Vice President


By: David G. Berry
David G. Berry

By: D/Cattle and Land, L.P.
By: David G. Berry
David G. Berry

Title: MANAGER
CLE ELUM

By: Homestead, LLC
By: David G. Berry
David G. Berry

Title MANAGER





200308260035
Page: 6 of 10
08/26/2003 03:59P
AGR 28.00




200307290059
Page: 8 of 7
07/29/2003 04:02P
AGR 28.00

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF Pierce)

On this day personally appeared before me the undersigned, a notary public in and for the State of Washington, duly commissioned and sworn, James E. Wood to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the Vice President of THE HERBRAND COMPANY, a Washington corporation, to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the Managing Member of CLE ELUM'S SAPPHIRE SKIES, LLC, the Washington limited liability company that executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged the same instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said company for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the said instrument.

Given under my hand and official seal this 21 day of July 2003.



Becky J. Narayan
Print Name: Becky J. Narayan
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington, residing at Rivallup
My Appointment Expires: 3-15-05

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF KITSAP)

On this day personally appeared before me the undersigned, a notary public in and for the State of Washington, duly commissioned and sworn, DAVID C BERRY to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the MANAGER of D-T CATTLE & LAND, L.P., a Washington LIMITED PARTNSHP that executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged the same instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said L.P. for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that HE is authorized to execute the said instrument.

5 - ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

Given under my hand and official seal this 18th day of ~~June~~^{July} 2003.




Dorilee Shobert
Print Name: DORILEE SHOBERT
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of
Washington, residing at PORT ORCHARD.
My Appointment Expires: 12-10-2005

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF KITSAP)

On this day personally appeared before me DAVID G. BERRY and _____, to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individuals described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument, and I acknowledge that HE and _____ signed the same as a free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

Given under my hand and official seal this 18th day of ~~June~~^{July} 2003.



Dorilee Shobert
Print Name: DORILEE SHOBERT
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of
Washington, residing at PORT ORCHARD
My Appointment Expires: 12-10-2005

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF KITSAP)

On this day personally appeared before me the undersigned, a notary public in and for the State of Washington, duly commissioned and sworn, DAVID G. BERRY to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the MANAGER of CLEELUM HOMESTEAD, LLC, a Washington Ltd. LIAB. Co, that executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged the same instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said LLC for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that HE is authorized to execute the said instrument.

Given under my hand and official seal this 18th day of ~~June~~^{July} 2003.

6 - ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT



Dorilee Shobert
Print Name: DORILEE SHOBERT
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of
Washington, residing at PORT CHARLES
My Appointment Expires: 12-10-2005

 200307290059
Page: 7 of 7
07/28/2003 04:08P
AGR 28.00
Kittitas Co Auditor WAYNE NELSEN



KITTITAS COUNTY, State of Washington
I, David B. Bowen, Kittitas County Auditor, do hereby certify
that the enclosed instrument is a true and correct copy of
the imaged original record preserved in my office. Witness
my hand and official seal.
Date: 8.26.03 By Deputy: S Newkirk


 200308260035
Page: 8 of 10
08/28/2003 03:59P
AGR 28.00
Kittitas Co Auditor STEWART TITLE

7 - ROAD MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

10741 0001 99091601


67

200308250035
 Page: 9 of 10
 08/26/2003 03:59p
 Killian Co Auditor STEWART TITLE
 FOR 28.00



20030826035
 Page: 10 of 10
 08/26/2003 03:59P
 RCR 26.00
 Killilian Co Auditor - STATEMENT TITLE

"Exhibit B"



Jeff Watson

From: Mau, Russell E (DOH) <Russell.Mau@DOH.WA.GOV>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 12:09 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Serr, Ben A (DOH); Holly Duncan; Holly Myers
Subject: RE: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Mr. Watson:

This submittal has stated that they will be a "Group B" water system, based on having 14 homes/connections. The submittal needs to know that they may be a "Group A" system because of the resident population – if the population is 25 or more, then they would meet a requirement for being a Group A water system.

They may also need to provide "mitigation" for their water usage – they did not indicate this in their submittal – and would need to work with Kittitas County and Ecology.

Thanks,

Russell E. Mau, PhD, PE
Regional Engineer
Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water
16201 East Indiana Avenue, Suite 1500, Spokane Valley, WA 99216
Desk: 509-329-2116
Fax: 509-329-2104
Russell.Mau@DOH.WA.GOV

Public Health - Always Working for a Safer and Healthier Washington

Visit our web site at www.doh.wa.gov/ehp/dw

From: Jeff Watson [mailto:jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:39 AM
To: Jeff Watson; Brenda Larsen; Renfrow, Brent D (DFW); Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; PRESTON, CINDY (DNR); ECY RE CRO SEPA COORDINATOR; Clear, Gwen (ECY); 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; Kaehler, Gretchen (DAHP); Holly Duncan; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; HAZLETT, LINDA (DNR); Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Johnston, Mike (DOHi); 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; Mau, Russell E (DOH); Teske, Mark S (DFW); DNR RE AQ LEASING RIVERS; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Jan Ollivier; Benson, Richard (DOH); 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink
Cc: Doc Hansen; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; ECY RE SEPA REGISTER; Kaycee Hathaway; Northern Kittitas County Tribune, (DOHi); 'Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com)'; Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'north-ridge@hotmail.com'; Matthew Morton; Kay Muhlbeier (kmuhlbeier@comcast.net); Holly Myers; 'aspengk@gmail.com'; 'ahjones@comcast.net'; Liz Remeto (lremeto@radiantdelivers.com); 'ridgecrest6@msn.com'; 'adamrmk@hotmail.com'; 'dalyville@comcast.net'; 'jatmlg98@gmail.com'; 'MLMcDonald@drhorton.com'; 'matti.clark@gmail.com'; 'kimperson@live.com'; 'Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com'; 'iam@brianr.me'; 'MSuman@cablelang.com'; 'vkmckim@juno.com'; 'davemacduff@gmail.com'; 'Girard@mftlaw.com'; 'CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net'; 'owensmeats@gmail.com'
Subject: Notice of SEPA Action and Public Hearing LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project.

Please see the attached document for the full MDNS on this project.

66



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US
Office (509) 962-7506
Fax (509) 962-7682

State Environmental Policy Act MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

- Description:** Big Buck Ridge (LP-07-00040) is a proposed Preliminary Plat totaling 14 one acre single-family residential lots on 25 acres to be served by a group B water system with individual onsite septic systems. The project is proposed on a site that has a vested zoning of Rural-3.
- Proponent:** Wayne Nelson, authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner
- Location:** Two tax parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2) (c) and WAC 197-11. This decision was made after review of a SEPA environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency, after considering voluntary mitigation measures which the lead agency or the applicant will implement as part of the proposal, and after considering mitigation measures required by existing laws and regulations that will be implemented by the applicant as part of the Kittitas County permit process. The responsible official finds this information reasonably sufficient to evaluate the environmental impact of this proposal. This information is available to the public on request.

The lead agency has determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance (MDNS) for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. The mitigation measures include the following:

Water and Septic

- 1) The proposed plat is located within the upper county as defined in WAC 173-539A-090; the proposed group B water system shall be developed in complete compliance with the regulations and requirements of WAC 173-539A. The development will need to:
 - a) Have well site inspections.
 - b) Complete and submit a Group B water system workbook.
 - c) Contract with a Satellite Management Agency.
 - d) Complete or bond for infrastructure.

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLAN REVIEW • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • CODE ENFORCEMENT

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.04.020 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$500.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received within 10 working days, or no later than 5:00 PM, April 9, 2015. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

Jeffrey A. Watson

Planner II

[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)

411 North Ruby


Ellensburg WA 98926

jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us

509-933-8274

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County email system and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to archiving and review

message id: 35eb45916c6dcbdac24bb8719d004a14



KITITAS COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Jeff Watson, Community Development Services

FROM: Christina Wollman, Planner III *CW*

DATE: March 16, 2015

SUBJECT: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat LP-07-00040

The following shall be conditions of preliminary approval:

1. Timing of Improvements: This application is subject to the latest revision of the Kittitas County Road Standards, dated 9/6/05. The following conditions apply and must be completed prior to the issuance of a building permit for any of the structures within this plat. A Performance Bond or acceptable financial guarantee may be used, in lieu of the required improvements, per the conditions outlined in the current Kittitas County Road Standards.
2. Private Road Certification: Private roads serving any of the lots within this development shall be inspected and certified by a licensed professional engineer for conformance with current Kittitas County Road Standards, 9/6/05 edition. Kittitas County Public Works shall require this road certification to be completed prior to the issuance of a building permit for any of the structures within the proposed plat.
3. Stormwater: On-site stormwater management that conforms to the specifications of the most current version of the Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington is required of this development. Stormwater systems shall be designed to store stormwater generated by a 24-hour, 25-year storm event. Stormwater system designs shall be prepared and stamped by a civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington. The stormwater system design shall be presented to Public Works and approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval. The stormwater system construction shall be certified by a licensed engineer. The certification shall be included with the road certification and is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.
4. Grading Permit: A grading permit shall be required prior to beginning any site work. See KCC 14.05 for more information.

Page 1 of 5

411 N. Ruby St. Suite 1
Ellensburg, WA 98926

TEL (509) 962-7523
FAX (509) 962-7663

G:\Eng\Development\Plats\Big Buck Ridge\Comments_bigbuckridge_3-16-15 doc

65

-
5. Second Access: A second access will be required as clarified by the Board of County Commissioners on April 2, 2007. The BOCC clarified KCRS 12.01.095(2) with the following requirements: 1) If the second access is restricted to emergency access only, it must meet or exceed the following requirements: 60' easement, 20' roadway width, BST/ACP surface, and a paved apron. Access restrictions such as gates or bollards must be approved by the Fire Marshal; 2) If the second access is to be used for ingress and egress, it must meet the same standards of the first access.

Documentation of a legal easement across the route of the second access will be required prior to final approval. The second access must be constructed and certified by an engineer licensed in the State of Washington prior to issuance of a building permit.

6. Road Maintenance Agreement: KCC 12.12.010(6) requires private roads to be maintained by a legal entity made up of all benefited property owners, under the provisions of an acceptable and recorded Private Road Maintenance Agreement.

This project shall be required to join the existing Road Maintenance Association which is made up of all benefited property owners served by Deer Creek Road and other roadways within S23 T20N R15E and surrounding area.

7. TIA & Transportation Concurrency: The applicant submitted the required Traffic Impact Analysis. Based on the results of the study, the project complies with the currency requirements.
8. Road Variance: Road Variance 07-07 was approved allowing this project to use a section of road within a 30' easement.
9. Internal Access: All lots must have direct access or easement access to Big Buck Ridge Road. All open space tracts must be provided access.
10. Private Road Improvements: Access shall be constructed as a Low-Density Private Road. See Kittitas County Road Standards, 9/6/05 edition.
- Access easements shall be a minimum of 60' wide. The roadway shall have a minimum width of 20', with 1' shoulders, for a total width of 22'.
 - Minimum centerline radius will be 60'.
 - The surface requirement is for a minimum gravel surface depth of 6".
 - Maximum grade is 12%.
 - Stopping site distance, reference AASHTO.
 - Entering site distance, reference AASHTO.

-
- g. Maintenance of driveway approaches shall be the responsibility of the owner whose property they serve. The County will not maintain accesses.
 - h. Any further subdivision or lots to be served by proposed access may result in further access requirements.
 - i. All roads located within this development or roads that provide access to this development shall be constructed to current county road standards unless any other maintenance agreements, forest service road easements or state easements require higher road standards. The higher of the road standards shall apply.
 - j. All easements shall provide for AASHTO radius at the intersection of county road.
 - k. A paved apron shall be constructed at the intersection of the proposed private intersection and the county road right of way.
11. Cul-de-Sac: A cul-de-sac turn-around having an outside right-of-way or easement diameter of at least 110 feet shall be constructed at the closed end of all dead-end roads serving 3 or more lots. The driving surface shall be at least 96 feet in diameter. Cul-de-sacs must also conform to the requirements specified by the 2009 International Fire Code. Contact the Fire Marshal regarding any additional cul-de-sac requirements.
12. Joint-Use Driveway: A joint-use access shall serve no more than two tax parcels. See Kittitas County Road Standards, 9/6/05 edition.
- a. Access easements shall be a minimum of 20' wide. The roadway width shall have a minimum width of 12'.
 - b. The surface requirement is for a minimum gravel surface depth of 6".
 - c. Maintenance of driveway approaches shall be the responsibility of the owner whose property they serve. The County will not maintain accesses.
 - d. Any further subdivision or lots to be served by proposed access may result in further access requirements.

Single-Use Driveway: A single-use access shall serve no more than one lot. See Kittitas County Road Standards, 9/6/05 edition.

- a. The roadway shall be a minimum of 8' wide with gravel surface.
- b. Maintenance of driveway approaches shall be the responsibility of the owner whose property they serve. The County will not maintain accesses.
- c. Any further subdivision or lots to be served by proposed access may result in further access requirements.



13. Plat Notes: Plat notes shall reflect the following:

- a. Entire private road shall achieve 95% compaction and shall be inspected and certified by a licensed engineer in the State of Washington specifying that the road meets current Kittitas County Road Standards prior to the issuance of building permit for this plat.
- b. Entire private road shall be inspected and certified by a civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington specifying that the road meets Kittitas County Road Standards as adopted September 6, 2005, prior to the issuance of a building permit. Any future subdivision or land use action will be reviewed under the most current road standards.
- c. Kittitas County will not accept private roads for maintenance as public streets or roads until such streets or roads are brought into conformance with current County Road Standards. This requirement will include the hard surface paving of any street or road surfaced originally with gravel.
- d. Maintenance of the access is the responsibility of the property owners who benefit from its use.
- e. An approved access permit will be required from the Department of Public Works prior to creating any new driveway access or performing work within the county road right of way.
- f. Any further subdivision or lots to be served by proposed access may result in further access requirements. See Kittitas County Road Standards.
- g. A public utility easement 10 feet in width is reserved along all lot lines. The 10 foot easement shall abut the exterior plat boundary and shall be divided 5 feet on each side of interior lot lines. Said easement shall also be used for irrigation.

14. Plat Approvals: All plats must show the acceptance signature of the County Engineer. The acceptance block shall be as follows (per KCC 16.24.170):

EXAMINED AND APPROVED

This ____ day of _____, A.D., 20__.

Kittitas County Engineer

15. Private Road Maintenance Agreement: The applicant shall meet all applicable conditions of any pre-established or required Private Road Maintenance Agreements.

16. Lot Closure: It is the responsibility of the Professional Licensed Surveyor (PLS) to ensure the lot closures are correct and accurate.
17. Addressing: Contact the Kittitas County Rural Addressing Coordinator at (509) 962-7523 to obtain addresses prior to obtaining a building permit. A parcel cannot receive a building permit or utilities until such parcel is identified with a 911 address.
18. Fire Protection: Contact the Kittitas County Fire Marshal regarding any additional access requirements for Emergency Response.
19. Mailbox Placement: The U.S. Postal Service requires that private roads with 6 or more residences install USPS approved Cluster Box Units (CBUs) at a safe location at the mouth of the private road. Contact your local Post Office for location and additional design requirements before beginning construction.





STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

15 W Yakima Ave, Ste 200 • Yakima, WA 98902-3452 • (509) 575-2490

March 3, 2015

Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment during the optional determination of nonsignificance process for the Big Buck Ridge, a performance based cluster plat application to create 14 one acre parcels and approximately 11 acres of open space on approximately 25 acres, proposed by Becky Andrus. These are revised comments to Ecology's letter dated February 20, 2015.

WATER RESOURCES

No water right records associated with this property were found in the Central Regional Office.

In Washington State, prospective water users must obtain authorization from the Department of Ecology before diverting surface water or withdrawing ground water, with one exception. Ground water withdrawals of up to 5,000 gallons per day used for single or group domestic supply, industrial purposes, stock watering or for the irrigation of up to one-half acre of lawn and garden are exempt from the permitting process. Water use under the RCW 90.44.050 exemption establishes a water right that is subject to the same privileges, restrictions, laws and regulations as a water right permit or certificate obtained directly from Ecology.

On March 28, 2002 the Washington State Supreme Court ruled that the RCW 90.44.050 permit exemption does not apply where a developer of a residential subdivision proposes multiple wells to serve each lot in the development because in combination, the withdrawal will exceed the exemption criteria.



64

Mr. Watson
March 3, 2015
Page 2

All water wells constructed shall be in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 173-160 WAC by a driller licensed in the State of Washington. All wells must be located a minimum of 100 feet from any known, suspected, or potential source of contamination and shall not be located within 1,000 feet of the property boundary of solid waste landfills. A well report must be submitted to the Department of Ecology within thirty days after the completion of a well.

If you have any questions or would like to respond to these Water Resources comments, please contact **Jacquelyn Metcalfe** at (509) 457-7148 or email at jacquelyn.metcalfe@dcy.wa.gov.

WATER QUALITY

- 1) There are no water bodies immediately adjacent to the proposed development, but runoff into nearby critical areas during major rain-on-snow events should be addressed. The developer should have a plan to address occasional large runoff events.
- 2) The project site is steep, and these one-acre lots may produce significant sediment during home building. Care should be taken to prevent uphill properties from discharging polluted stormwater onto the downhill properties. A comprehensive stormwater management plan should be established at this site.

The NPDES Construction Stormwater General Permit from the Washington State Department of Ecology is required for this project. This permit requires that the SEPA checklist fully disclose anticipated activities including building, road construction and utility placements. Obtaining a permit is a minimum of a 38 day process and may take up to 60 days if the original SEPA does not disclose all proposed activities.

The permit requires that Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Erosion Sediment Control Plan) is prepared and implemented for all permitted construction sites. These control measures must be able to prevent soil from being carried into surface water (this includes storm drains) by stormwater runoff. Permit coverage and erosion control measures must be in place prior to any clearing, grading or construction.


- 3) This hillside is directly north of Cle Elum, and the proposed development is likely within the area of old mines and mine tailings. Be advised that soils in those areas can retain pollutants associated with coal mining (e.g., PAHs). This can be ascertained by soil testing.

Mr. Watson
March 3, 2015
Page 3

4) Again, since this is likely an old mining area, wells in the new development to be used for domestic use (whether individual wells or a community well) should be tested for pollutants from coal mining.

If you have any questions or would like to respond to these Water Quality comments, please contact **Jane Creech** at (509) 454-7860 or jane.creech@ecy.wa.gov.

Sincerely,



Gwen Clear
Environmental Review Coordinator
Central Regional Office
(509) 575-2012
crosepacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov

3925b

(4)

Jeff Watson

From: Karma Chapman <karmakc@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 9:43 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: FW: Comments on Big Buck Ridge L07-00040
Attachments: Big Buck Ridge LP07-00040 February 20, 2015 comments.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Jeff,

Karma and Greg Chapman of 1571 Big Tail Rd. Cle Elum, WA 98922 share the same concerns that Kay Muhlbeier and many of our neighbors share.

We feel our concerns are extremely validated and need to be heard. Please review this information and consider all our concerns as high priority.

Thank you for all your attention put towards the following information.

Please feel free to contact us with any questions or concerns.

Best regards,

Karma & Greg Chapman
425-985-4588
karmakc@hotmail.com

From: Kay Muhlbeier [mailto:kmuhlbeier@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 3:29 PM
To: Chapman, Karma
Subject: Fwd: Comments on Big Buck Ridge L07-00040

From: "Kay Muhlbeier" <kmuhlbeier@comcast.net>
To: "Jeff Watson" <jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us>
Cc: "Don Owens" <owensmeats@gmail.com>, "Joe Skvarla" <joe.skvarla@redhawkus.com>, "Ken McKim" <ykmckim@iuno.com>, "Dave MacDuff" <dmacduff@oakpointe.com>, "Margaret Suman" <mksuman@aol.com>, "Kevin & Roiann Daly" <Dalyville@comcast.net>, "Jon Newton" <jonnewton@windermere.com>, "Karma Chapman" <karmakc@hotmail.com>, "Kim & Shirley Person" <ShirleyPerson@Live.com>, "Kim Person & Shirley" <shirleyperson@live.com>, "Dave Berry" <westerntimber@earthlink.net>, "Sara MacDuff" <saramacduff@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 9:43:47 AM
Subject: Comments on Big Buck Ridge L07-00040

Hi Jeff,

Please find my additional comments regarding the subject long plat on the attached document.

Please ask the review board to consider the impact Big Buck Ridge will have to our entire community in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge.

It is not just a long plat just off a city road, it is a plat that would reside inside an existing community and has a road easement for one home owner on a private road that runs through my property. An additional 13 home owners should not have the same easement and therefore this is not a viable development.

I oppose this long plat.

There are 29 lots in Section 23 for a total of 600 acres.

The owners I have copied on this email represent 20 lots in Section 23, Cle Elum Ridge.

If at a minimum, all of them provide their own comments to oppose this long plat, that is 70% of the lots in Section 23 who oppose this plat.

There are probably more, and I cannot speak to the 14 property owners in Meadow Ridge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kay Muhlbeier
691 Big Tail Road
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Mailing Address:
21403 SE 16th place
Sammamish, WA 98075

Prepared by: Kay Muhlbeier

Relationship to Andrus: Muhlbeier's 22 acres is adjacent to the Andrus property in Cle Elum Ridge, Section 23, the road access cuts through my property.

Please reject the proposed plat, Big Buck Ridge, LP-07-00040.

- Please review this plat under the zoning requirements that apply today, not from 8 years ago when this was originally submitted. The intent of the zoning is to protect our environment and properties. Please look at it with the knowledge and zoning we have today, and not through the zoning that was in place 8 years ago. The zoning was changed for good reason.
- Consider the affects it will have on those of us with deep water wells. Water is a precious commodity in Eastern Washington. Additional 13 lots drawing from a Group B water system may impact my ability to get water from my 700 ft, 2 gallons a minute well, but will impact the county in general.
- The Traffic Impact to our small, private community would increase by 24%, since there will be 24% more lots accessing our private road. The Traffic Impact study should be re-evaluated. It is not complete and assumes variances. With the change in zoning, no variances should be allowed for this plat. The increased noise would be heard by all of us, but especially by Meadow Ridge and myself. Heavy equipment for the infrastructure and ultimately the building of homes would be increased, traffic noises increased and so on. By my estimates, 13 lots means at least 26 drivers, not to mention the additional family members and guests this would bring. The traffic would be traveling on a private road that was paid for by Section 23 and Meadow Ridge and was not funded by the Andrus, with no plans to help with the maintenance.
- The stars at night seen from Cle Elum Ridge are phenomenal. Adding 13 more homes in our area brings more lights and ultimately affects the Dark Sky.
- Section 23, Cle Elum Ridge, was designed to be kept in a rural state. The CCR's in Section 23 have a minimum lot size of 20 acres in order to preserve this for a total of 600 acres. A private road that provides access to Section 23 also provides access to a 25 acre development, Meadow Ridge, and to the Andrus' 25 acres. Part of the private road, Big Tail Road, cuts through my property, and my property is adjacent to both Meadow Ridge and the Andrus land. When we purchased the property in 2004, it was in part due to the large lots, private road and rural atmosphere. Most of us in Section 23 share these values.
- If the county approves Big Buck Ridge, not only are you approving the degradation of the land into an additional 13 lots (Andrus house being the 14th), you are also approving the 13 lots to use the PRIVATE road. Although the use of a private road may not be under your jurisdiction, the approval of the additional lots directly impacts the road use for all their neighbors and hopefully, is a part of your decision process. It is not just about the width of the road, or the number of access points, the traffic would be greatly increased in our community.

There are 28 or 29 lots in Section 23 , Cle Elum Ridge, for a total of 600 acres and 14 lots in Meadow Ridge on 25 acres, all of whom have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, who are required to participate in the Road Maintenance Agreement. The Andrus property is neither part of Meadow Ridge or Section 23 CCRs. The additional 14 lots for Big Buck Ridge means 24% of the total lot owners *may not be required* to pay for maintenance, electricity to the gate, lighting at the gate, snow removal etc. The burden is shouldered by the rest of the community. Even if they were to join the Road Maintenance Agreement, I oppose the long plat for all the reasons stated herein.

63

This property is not a part of Meadow Ridge or Cle Elum Ridge (section 23) yet does have an easement to use our road. Sapphire Skies sold the 25 acres to Andrus in 2002. The Andrus have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, but they purchased the property without signing the Road Maintenance Agreement which was put in place with Sapphire Skies and Section 23. Since 2002, the Andrus have been asked to join the Road Agreement, but never followed through.

In 2007 or 2008, Cle Elum Ridge property owners & Sapphire improved the road from 3rd street, up Montgomery, Deer Creek Road and Big Tail road by paving it and building a nice monument entrance gate. The costs for this was shared by Section 23 lot owners (Cle Elum Ridge) and Sapphire Skies (before any Meadow Ridge Lots were sold). This has certainly improved land values and provided some security to all of us by having a gate.

The Andrus' were asked to participate in this project but refused to pay for their share of the road and gate as well as the annual road maintenance fees, including snow removal.


Since the BBR plat was initially submitted in 2007, Kittitas County has changed the zoning, and if submitted today, it would be rejected. Performance based clusters plats have been removed.

However, since the time of the submittal in 2007, the County asked for additional information, and it was considered open and still viable, until the response was receive. This was a technicality. One of the items requested was a Traffic Impact Analysis, that was submitted just last year. This was technically deemed 'complete' since this was submitted. However, it is subject to interpretation. Please review this in detail and its impacts to all of the properties in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge.

Kittitas County is committed to protecting our rural areas. BBR does not meet that intent.

Section 23 has a minimum 20 acre lot requirement to maintain and protect the rural area, and wild life. There are numerous elk, deer, bear, cougar, wild turkeys and others that roam our hills. As an owner, that is important to me and my family.

Please review the impact Big Buck Ridge will have to our entire community in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge. It is not just a long plat that is off a city road, it is a plat that resides inside an existing community and has a road easement for one home owner on a private road that runs through my property. An additional 13 home owners should not have the same easement and therefore this is not a viable development.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

March 19, 2015

Becky Andrus
P.O.Box 785
Cle Elum WA 98922

RE: Transmittal of Comments – Big Buck Ridge Plat (LP-07-00040)

Dear Applicant:

Enclosed are the comments received regarding the Big Buck Ridge Plat (LP-07-00040) during the comment period:

January 13, 2012*	City of Cle Elum
February 09, 2015	Washington State Department of Health
February 12, 2015**	Kay Mulbeier
February 12, 2015	Kittitas County Public Health Department
February 16, 2015	Gary Kasowski
February 16, 2015	Allen & Lan Jones
February 16, 2015	Liz Remeto
February 16, 2015	Christine Johnson
February 16, 2015	Adam Burnett
February 18, 2015	Roiann Daly
February 20, 2015***	Washington State Department of Ecology
February 21, 2015	Jan Thompson
February 21, 2015	Maren L. McDonald
February 22, 2015	Matt Clark
February 22, 2015	Kim Person
February 23, 2015	Joe Skvarla
February 23, 2015	Brian Rogers
February 23, 2015	Margaret Suman
February 23, 2015	Ken McKim
February 24, 2015	David McDuff
February 24, 2015	James Carmody
February 24, 2015	Bruce Higgs
February 24, 2015	Don Owens

*Letter submitted prior to notice of application.

** Multiple submissions the first being February 12th.

*** Letter dated February 20; received at CDS February 24th.

Also enclosed are the following submissions which were received after the close of the 5:00 p.m. February 24th closing date:

February 24, 2015	Karma Chapman
March 3, 2015*	Washington State Department of Ecology
March 16, 2015	Kittitas County Public Works

*Documentation was emailed March 3, a hard copy was received March 5 via USPS; date stamped accordingly.

The post comment period letters will be submitted during the Hearings Examiner Public Hearing as exhibits.

Please review all comments and notify me of any questions. I will be issuing a SEPA threshold determination and recommendation to the Hearings Examiner based in part on the comments received.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jeff Watson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jeff Watson
Staff Planner

City of Cle Elum
119 West First Street
Cle Elum, WA 98922



Telephone: (509) 674-2262
Fax: (509) 674-4097
www.cityofcleelum.com

January 13, 2012

Kittitas County Department of Public Works
Attention: Christina Wollman
411 N. Ruby Street, Suite 1
Ellensburg, WA 98926


Re: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Dear Ms. Wollman:

Thank you for the opportunity for the City of Cle Elum to provide comments on the above referenced cluster subdivision. As we understand the project, access to the property is via Montgomery Avenue, an existing city street. This area was fully evaluated as part of the EIS prepared for City Heights and the City will not require any additional studies or improvements specific to the proposed development of Big Buck Ridge. However we believe the proportionate share of Road Impacts Mitigation to be \$475 per lot, payable to the City of Cle Elum at time of Short Plat Approval. This mitigation figure is derived from total mitigation (proportional impact based on traffic study and concurrency analysis) prepared for the CESS Environmental Impact Statement.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you should require any additional information.

Sincerely,


Matt Morton
City Administrator

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 9:02 AM
To: 'Mau, Russell E (DOH)'
Subject: RE: Notice of Application LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

The parcels are located ½ mile north of Yakima Avenue in the city of Cle Elum... meaning that the road is in the city; admittedly a bit muddy, but it wouldn't be county jurisdiction if it was in the city. The maps available on line should clarify any confusion as to the location. Page 2 of the plat application indicates in the narrative that the plat is to be served by a group B water system and on site septic.

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Mau, Russell E (DOH) [mailto:Russell.Mau@DOH.WA.GOV]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 8:52 AM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Serr, Ben A (DOH); Holly Duncan; Holly Myers
Subject: RE: Notice of Application LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Mr. Watson:

DOH is asking for clarification on this notice – regarding the following:

1. The SEPA checklist, on Page 9, says “water” is available at the site.
2. The Notice of Application says the parcels are located “in the City of Cle Elum”.

So, DOH requests that they explicitly specify that “water” is “City of Cle Elum” or specify other. If it is City of Cle Elum water, then DOH requires that the City confirms their distribution system is available in this area.

Thanks,

Russell E. Mau, Ph.D., P.E.
Regional Engineer
Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water
16201 East Indiana Avenue, Suite 1500, Spokane Valley, WA 99216
Desk: 509-329-2116
Fax: 509-329-2104
Russell.Mau@DOH.WA.GOV

From: Jeff Watson [mailto:jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 8:09 AM

To: Brenda Larsen; Renfrow, Brent D (DFW); Candie M. Leader; Christina Wollman; PRESTON, CINDY (DNR); ECY RE CRO SEPA COORDINATOR; Clear, Gwen (ECY); 'enviroreview@yakama.com'; Moore, Erin (DOHi); Kaehler, Gretchen (DAHP); Holly Duncan; 'Jan Jorgenson (jorgenza@cwu.edu)'; 'Jessica Lally (jessica@yakama.com)'; 'jmarvin@yakama.com'; 'Johnson Meninick (johnson@yakama.com)'; Julie Kjorsvik; Kim Dawson; HAZLETT, LINDA (DNR); Lisa Iammarino; Lisa Lawrence; Johnston, Mike (DOHi); 'nelmsk@cwu.edu'; Patti Johnson; Mau, Russell E (DOH); Teske, Mark S (DFW); DNR RE AQ LEASING RIVERS; 'Thalia Sachtleben (enviroreview@yakama.com)'; 'Tom Justus (tom.justus@doh.wa.gov)'; Moore, Erin (DOHi); Jan Ollivier; Benson, Richard (DOH); 'heather.cannon@doh.wa.gov'; Josh Hink

Cc: Doc Hansen; 'cruseandassoc@kvalley.com'; Dave Nelson (dnelson@encompasses.net); Allison Kimball (brooksideconsulting@gmail.com); Chad Bala; 'jhallisey@fs.fed.us'; 'darren.habel@usace.army.mil'; 'Olson,Lesli D (CONTR) - TERR-BELL-1'; 'HolmstR@wsdot.wa.gov'; 'roslyn@inlandnet.com'; Matthew Morton; Jim Leonhard; ECY RE SEPA REGISTER; Kaycee Hathaway; Northern Kittitas County Tribune, (DOHi); Cory Andrus (cory@pro-vac.com); Russ Hobbs; 'pblume@kcf7.com'; 'flataum@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'davisb@cleelum.wednet.edu'; 'montgomeryr@cleelum.wednet.edu'; north-ridge@hotmail.com

Subject: Notice of Application LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

[LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge Internal Link to Master File \(For County Staff on Network\)](#)

[LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge External Link to On-Line File](#)

NOTICE OF APPLICATION:

Kittitas County Community Development Services will accept comments through 5:00 pm Tuesday February 24, 2015.

Kittitas County has received the above referenced Proposed Plat and SEPA Checklist for a project application; see attached. Agencies within the county network may review the application master file via the above internal link or through EDEN. Agencies and individuals outside of the county network can use the above external link to view individual documentation. Comments within the body of an email are encouraged, but may be submitted as attachments or hardcopy to:

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby Ste 2
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

Subject: [redacted]
From: [redacted]
Date: [redacted]

[redacted]

Big Buck Ridge

Hi Jeff

Cory & Becky Andrus have elected to move forward with their long plat, Big Buck Ridge. Some of us who neighbor this property, received a Notice of Application this week, a copy is attached. Project: LP-07-00040

Can the CDS require Big Buck Ridge to enter into a Road Maintenance Agreement for both the road and the gate as part of the plat approval?

Additional traffic and heavy equipment will further degrade the road, and anyone who benefits from the road and gate, should be required to pay for the use and maintenance.

There are 29 lots in Cle Elum Ridge and 14 lots in Meadow Ridge, all of whom will participate in the Road Maintenance Agreement. The additional 14 lots for Big Buck Ridge means 24% of the total lot owners may not be required to pay for maintenance.

Background:

This property is not a part of Meadow Ridge or Cle Elum Ridge (section 23). Sapphire Skies sold the 25 acres to the Andrus in 2002 (?). The Andrus have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, but they purchased the property before the Road Maintenance Agreement was put in place with Sapphire Skies and Section 23 with Dave Berry.

Cle Elum Ridge property owners & Sapphire improved the road from 3rd street, up Montgomery, Deer Creek Road and Big Tail road in 2006 or 2007 by paving it and building a nice monument entrance gate. The costs for this was shared by Section 23 lot owners (Cle Elum Ridge) and Sapphire Skies (before any Meadow Ridge Lots were sold). This has certainly improved our land values and provided some security having a gate.

The Andrus' were asked to participate in this endeavor but refused the initial cost as well as the annual road maintenance fees, including snow removal. The Andrus offered to participate in 2007 if we would not oppose their long plat that included 14 – 1 acre lots. We countered that they subdivide to 5 acre lots in keeping with the rural atmosphere. In exchange, we would not oppose the plat. Nothing was resolved, and the Andrus have not paid for road and gate maintenance. I will mention that when the gate has been damaged or broken, Cory has attempted to service the gate himself.

I sent an email to the Andrus' 2/12/15 and also left a voice mail & text for Wayne Nelson, who now represents the Andrus' to find out what their intent is, in regards to the Road Maintenance. I have had no response from either.


As a side note, the Big Buck Ridge (BBR) plat has a road variance in the submittals. This plat can actually access thru Columbia or 6th and not access through Montgomery. The plat entrance is right next to where Columbia/ Sun Ridge pops out. I'm not an expert at these types of items, but in reading the

variance, it assumes that eventually, the easement over Deer Creek will be widened to meet code. It isn't widened right now so the plat should be refused, in my opinion.

Here is the map of Section 23 (Cle Elum Ridge owners) and Meadow Ridge, who have PAID for the paving and maintenance of the road, and the location of Big Buck Ridge, who have NEVER paid for any part of the paving OR the gate.

What recourse do we have to impose at least a road maintenance agreement with us as part of a good neighbor effort, or, to make them use Columbia and cut them off from using Montgomery?

I don't know if the Andrus rights to the road is transferable.....who do I talk to about that?



Jeff Watson

From: Kay Muhlbeier <kmuhlbeier@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 12:43 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Re: Project: LP07-00040 Big Buck Ridge
Attachments: Big Buck Ridge LP07-00040.docx

Hi Jeff,

Wayne no longer works for Encompasses so I don't know if he is the rep for the Andrus anymore.

I think you are the person I need to talk to. I am here in Redmond, but my cabin is next door to Andrus in Cle Elum and I have a vested interest in this plat.

Since we are having trouble connecting, I will provide some more details regarding my question. If you look at the map, you can see where all the pieces come together. We have paid for the paving of the road all the way from 3rd street up to the top of Big Tail. See where their entrance to their plat is, it should be required they do NOT use Big Tail road. The Andrus refused to pay for any part of the paving or the gate and have not participated in the maintenance fees for either.

Background:

This property is not a part of Meadow Ridge or Cle Elum Ridge (section 23). Sapphire Skies sold the 25 acres to the Andrus in 2002 (?). The Andrus have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, but they purchased the property before the Road Maintenance Agreement was put in place with Sapphire Skies and Section 23 with Dave Berry.

Cle Elum Ridge property owners & Sapphire improved the road from 3rd street, up Montgomery, Deer Creek Road and Big Tail road in 2006 or 2007 by paving it and building a nice monument entrance gate. The costs for this was shared by Section 23 lot owners (Cle Elum Ridge) and Sapphire Skies (before any Meadow Ridge Lots were sold). This has certainly improved our land values and provided some security having a gate.

The Andrus' were asked to participate in this endeavor but refused the initial cost as well as the annual road maintenance fees, including snow removal. The Andrus offered to participate in 2007 if we would not oppose their long plat that included 14 – 1 acre lots. We countered that they subdivide to 5 acre lots in keeping with the rural atmosphere. In exchange, we would not oppose the plat. Nothing was resolved, and the Andrus have not paid for road and gate maintenance. I will mention that when the gate has been damaged or broken, Cory has attempted to service the gate himself. I sent an email to the Andrus' 2/12/15 and also left a voice mail for Wayne Nelson, who now represents the Andrus' to find out what their intent is, in regards to the Road Maintenance. I have had no response from either.

As a side note, the Big Buck Ridge (BBR) plat has a road variance in the submittals. This plat can actually access thru Columbia or 6th and not access through Montgomery.

The plat entrance is right next to where Sun Ridge pops out. I'm not an expert at these types of items, but in reading the variance, it assumes that eventually, the easement over Deer Creek will be widened to meet code.

It isn't widened right now so the plat should be refused, in my opinion.

I have attached a word document with some of the facts with the map so you can see how unfair this is to all of us who have paid hard earned money for a road that the Andrus has not shared in.

I will come to Cle Elum to meet with you , if we can pin a time.

Kay
206-9546434

From: "Jeff Watson" <jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us>
To: "Kay Muhlbeier" <kmuhlbeier@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 11:56:39 AM
Subject: RE: Project: LP07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Wayne's Contact info

<http://www.encompasses.net/images/resumes/wayne.pdf>

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

From: Kay Muhlbeier [mailto:kmuhlbeier@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 3:02 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Re: Project: LP07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Hi Jeff,
I left another voice mail for you.
I really would like some of your time to review this proposed plat.

Mr. Andrus has legal access to OUR private road off Montgomery onto Big Tail Road, but I believe the 14 lots in the proposed Big Buck Ridge plat should *not* be granted access to the private road off Montgomery.

There are two private roads that do have a 60ft easement off of Columbia that spits out at Big Buck Road, as stated in their variance. This plat should be required to use it and not Montgomery.

The Big Tail Road runs through my property and my property is adjacent to Mr. Andrus. I do not want to see the increased traffic due to these 14 lots.

Big Buck Road is a spur off of Big Tail.

Mr. Andrus has refused to pay maintenance on the road for improvements, snow removal, and all other maintenance pertaining to this, including the asphalt and gate.

The owners in Cle Elum Ridge purchased in our community to keep the lands in a rural state, therefore we have minimum 20 acre lots. One acre lots are not acceptable for our community.

My husband, Jim Muhlbeier, and several of our neighbors objected to this plat in 2007, and nothing has changed in our view.

The value of the said property has been increased due to our hard work and expense of putting in this road, and I object to the plat, but I especially object to the non participation with the road maintenance.

I propose the County/City require at a minimum, that Big Buck Ridge be required to participate in our Road Maintenance agreement.

Both the Cle Elum Ridge and MEadow Ridge shared the costs to improve the road from 3rd street all the way up to the top of Big Tail Road with asphalt and a gate.

This would be the neighborly thing to do.

I further propose that Big Buck Ridge road access should be through 6th and Columbia and not Montgomery.

The road variance that was submitted should be challenged. It states that Deer Creek that has a 30ft easement will eventually have a 60ft when other developments go in.

As far as I know, it is still a 30 ft easement and just saying that it will happen one day, doesn't make it true.

There are two other private road accesses off 6th and Columbia which are primitive roads, and this new plat will never use them.

I beg of you to help me find a way to protect our private road off of Montgomery. I don't know all the ins and outs of this process, and could use some guidance.

Thank you
Kay Muhlbeier
206-954-6434

From: "Kay Muhlbeier" <kmuhlbeier@comcast.net>
To: "jeff watson" <jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us>
Cc: kmuhlbeier@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 8:58:48 AM
Subject: Project: LP07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Good Morning Jeff:
Could I set up a time to have a call with you tomorrow, Friday?
It is regarding the subject plat.

Please let me know what time works for you.
how about 8:00 am?

Can you provide the contact info for Wayne Nelson?

thank you

Kay Muhlbeier
206-954-6434

[Faint, illegible text]

Jeff Watson

From: Kay Muhlbeier <kmuhlbeier@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 9:44 AM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Don Owens; Skvarla, Joe; McKim, Ken; MacDuff, Dave; Suman, Margaret; Kevin & Roiann Daly; Newton, Jon; Chapman, Karma; Kim & Shirley Person; Person, Kim & Shirley; Dave Berry; MacDuff, Sara
Subject: Comments on Big Buck Ridge L07-00040
Attachments: Big Buck Ridge LP07-00040 February 20, 2015 comments.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Jeff,

Please find my additional comments regarding the subject long plat on the attached document.

Please ask the review board to consider the impact Big Buck Ridge will have to our entire community in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge.

It is not just a long plat just off a city road, it is a plat that would reside inside an existing community and has a road easement for one home owner on a private road that runs through my property. An additional 13 home owners should not have the same easement and therefore this is not a viable development.

I oppose this long plat.

There are 29 lots in Section 23 for a total of 600 acres.

The owners I have copied on this email represent 20 lots in Section 23, Cle Elum Ridge.

If at a minimum, all of them provide their own comments to oppose this long plat, that is 70% of the lots in Section 23 who oppose this plat.

There are probably more, and I cannot speak to the 14 property owners in Meadow Ridge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kay Muhlbeier
691 Big Tail Road
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Mailing Address:
21403 SE 16th place
Sammamish, WA 98075

Comments on Big Buck Ridge LP-07-00040

Prepared by: Kay Muhlbeier

Relationship to Andrus: Muhlbeier's 22 acres is adjacent to the Andrus property in Cle Elum Ridge, Section 23, the road access cuts through my property.

Please reject the proposed plat, Big Buck Ridge, LP-07-00040.

- Please review this plat under the zoning requirements that apply today, not from 8 years ago when this was originally submitted. The intent of the zoning is to protect our environment and properties. Please look at it with the knowledge and zoning we have today, and not through the zoning that was in place 8 years ago. The zoning was changed for good reason.
- Consider the affects it will have on those of us with deep water wells. Water is a precious commodity in Eastern Washington. Additional 13 lots drawing from a Group B water system may impact my ability to get water from my 700 ft, 2 gallons a minute well, but will impact the county in general.
- The Traffic Impact to our small, private community would increase by 24%, since there will be 24% more lots accessing our private road. The Traffic Impact study should be re-evaluated. It is not complete and assumes variances. With the change in zoning, no variances should be allowed for this plat. The increased noise would be heard by all of us, but especially by Meadow Ridge and myself. Heavy equipment for the infrastructure and ultimately the building of homes would be increased, traffic noises increased and so on. By my estimates, 13 lots means at least 26 drivers, not to mention the additional family members and guests this would bring. The traffic would be traveling on a private road that was paid for by Section 23 and Meadow Ridge and was not funded by the Andrus, with no plans to help with the maintenance.
- The stars at night seen from Cle Elum Ridge are phenomenal. Adding 13 more homes in our area brings more lights and ultimately affects the Dark Sky.
- Section 23, Cle Elum Ridge, was designed to be kept in a rural state. The CCR's in Section 23 have a minimum lot size of 20 acres in order to preserve this for a total of 600 acres. A private road that provides access to Section 23 also provides access to a 25 acre development, Meadow Ridge, and to the Andrus' 25 acres. Part of the private road, Big Tail Road, cuts through my property, and my property is adjacent to both Meadow Ridge and the Andrus land. When we purchased the property in 2004, it was in part due to the large lots, private road and rural atmosphere. Most of us in Section 23 share these values.
- If the county approves Big Buck Ridge, not only are you approving the degradation of the land into an additional 13 lots (Andrus house being the 14th), you are also approving the 13 lots to use the PRIVATE road. Although the use of a private road may not be under your jurisdiction, the approval of the additional lots directly impacts the road use for all their neighbors and hopefully, is a part of your decision process. It is not just about the width of the road, or the number of access points, the traffic would be greatly increased in our community.

There are 28 or 29 lots in Section 23 , Cle Elum Ridge, for a total of 600 acres and 14 lots in Meadow Ridge on 25 acres, all of whom have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, who are required to participate in the Road Maintenance Agreement. The Andrus property is neither part of Meadow Ridge or Section 23 CCRs. The additional 14 lots for Big Buck Ridge means 24% of the total lot owners *may not be required* to pay for maintenance, electricity to the gate, lighting at the gate, snow removal etc. The burden is shouldered by the rest of the community. Even if they were to join the Road Maintenance Agreement, I oppose the long plat for all the reasons stated herein.

This property is not a part of Meadow Ridge or Cle Elum Ridge (section 23) yet does have an easement to use our road. Sapphire Skies sold the 25 acres to Andrus in 2002. The Andrus have legal access to the private road up Montgomery, but they purchased the property without signing the Road Maintenance Agreement which was put in place with Sapphire Skies and Section 23. Since 2002, the Andrus have been asked to join the Road Agreement, but never followed through.

In 2007 or 2008, Cle Elum Ridge property owners & Sapphire improved the road from 3rd street, up Montgomery, Deer Creek Road and Big Tail road by paving it and building a nice monument entrance gate. The costs for this was shared by Section 23 lot owners (Cle Elum Ridge) and Sapphire Skies (before any Meadow Ridge Lots were sold). This has certainly improved land values and provided some security to all of us by having a gate.

The Andrus' were asked to participate in this project but refused to pay for their share of the road and gate as well as the annual road maintenance fees, including snow removal.

Since the BBR plat was initially submitted in 2007, Kittitas County has changed the zoning, and if submitted today, it would be rejected. Performance based clusters plats have been removed.

However, since the time of the submittal in 2007, the County asked for additional information, and it was considered open and still viable, until the response was receive. This was a technicality. One of the items requested was a Traffic Impact Analysis, that was submitted just last year. This was technically deemed 'complete' since this was submitted. However, it is subject to interpretation. Please review this in detail and its impacts to all of the properties in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge.

Kittitas County is committed to protecting our rural areas. BBR does not meet that intent.

Section 23 has a minimum 20 acre lot requirement to maintain and protect the rural area, and wild life. There are numerous elk, deer, bear, cougar, wild turkeys and others that roam our hills. As an owner, that is important to me and my family.

Please review the impact Big Buck Ridge will have to our entire community in Section 23 and Meadow Ridge. It is not just a long plat that is off a city road, it is a plat that resides inside an existing community and has a road easement for one home owner on a private road that runs through my property. An additional 13 home owners should not have the same easement and therefore this is not a viable development.

Jeff Watson

From: Kaycee Hathaway
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 4:38 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: FW: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge comments PH
Attachments: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge comments PH.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Kaycee K Hathaway
Kittitas County
Community Development Services/ Planner I
Phone: (509) 962-7079
Email: kaycee.hathaway@co.kittitas.wa.us

From: Holly Myers
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 4:33 PM
To: Kaycee Hathaway
Subject: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge comments PH

Saved in T drive.



Memo

To: Kaycee Hathaway, CDS

From: Holly Myers, Environmental Health Supervisor

Date: 2/10/2015

RE: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the abovementioned long plat.

The submittal ~~indicates~~ shows the applicant intends to set up a Group B water system to serve 14 lots. Individual onsite sewage systems will need to meet Kittitas County code requirements. ~~set-back requirements and site plans must include measurements and locations for water and sewer services.~~

Prior to receiving final approval for long plats in Kittitas County, applicants shall be required to show written findings of the adequacy of potable water supplies. Kittitas County Public Health Department (KCPHD) requires the one of the following options as evidence of written findings of a potable water supply:

1. **GROUP B PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM:** Applicants shall provide the following for Group B Public Water Systems:
 - a. ~~have a~~ well site inspection performed by KCPHD staff and the well(s) drilled;
 - b. ~~complete~~ Complete and submit a Group B Public Water System Workbook to either KCPHD for water systems with 3-9 connections or Washington State Department of Health (DOH) for water systems with 10-14 connections;
 - c. Contract with an approved Kittitas County Satellite Management Agency.
- ± All infrastructure for the Group B water system including the well house and storage tanks must be completed or the developer/owner can bond for completion. Final approval of




507 N. Nanum Street, St. 102 · Ellensburg, WA 98926

T: 509.962.7515 · F: 509.962.7531

www.co.kittitas.wa.us/health/


the Group B Public Water System including issuance of the public water system ID number from DOH is required prior to recommendation by KCPHD for final plat approval.




507 N. Nanum St. Suite. 102 - Ellensburg, WA 98926
T: 509.962.7515 - F: 509.962.7581
www.co.kittitas.wa.us/health/



507 N. Nanum St. Suite. 102 - Ellensburg, WA 98926
T: 509.962.7515 - F: 509.962.7581
www.co.kittitas.wa.us/health/



507 N. Nanum Street, St. 102 - Ellensburg, WA 98926
T: 509.962.7515 - F: 509.962.7581
www.co.kittitas.wa.us/health/



Jeff Watson

From: Gary Kasowski <aspengk@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 9:35 AM
To: Jeff Watson; Gary Kasowski
Subject: Big Buck Ridge

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff,

I have several concerns regarding the proposed Big Buck Ridge development.

1. Access to the development from Yakima Avenue, Who pays for the street improvements needed to facilitate this access? Will the County require curb, gutter, and sidewalks as well as parking stalls to support the city park and the community gardens that lays just east of Yakima Avenue? The park gets a lot of use by young students who practice for different sports as well as town folks use the gardens. Parking for these activities will need to be addressed.
2. Fire protection, how will fire trucks and tankers be able to get to those houses up on the ridge in the winter time? Where is the water coming from to fight those fires, what about medical response in winter conditions?
3. Water access, is the development getting it's water from a well or the City of Cle Elum? Either way, would not a storage tank, well pump, and related equipment be required, are fire hydrants that meet flow requirements going to be included? Does the owner have water rights to draw that much water from a well?
4. Sewers, is each home going to have it's own septic system and drain field? after years of use, will effluent from these drain fields work there way into the water supply?
5. Traffic impacts, with the possibility of fourteen homes being built, there could be more then a 100 vehicles a day going up and down Yakima Avenue, combine that with the traffic that is created by the Senior Center located at Yakima and Third street, what street improvements will be required and who has to pay for them?
6. What about water run off, with the development of streets, driveways, buildings, and such, runoff is going to need to be addressed. Will storm water retention be required, where will the over flow go besides down hill?
7. The Daily Record stated the county staff is saying "the project will not have a probable significant impact on the environment". How can that be with all of these issues at hand?

Please include my concerns into the public comment and please keep me notified of any additional opportunity of public input.

Thank you,

Gary Kasowski

Jeff Watson

From: Allen & Lan Jones <ahjones@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 12:41 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Adam Burnett; Danny Clifton; Max Firl; Christine Johnson; Diana Kamla; Thomas Kamla; Allison Kimball; John Remeto; Liz Remeto; Bryce Harem; Shannon Jordan; Jon Newton; Kay Muhlbeier
Subject: Big Buck Ridge Development

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson
Designated Permit Coordinator
Kittitas County
Community Development

Dear Mr. Watson,

We own lot #6 in the Meadow Ridge development which is accessed by Montgomery, Deer Creek, and Big Tail Road above the city of Cle Elum.

We have learned that Cory and Becky Andrus have applied for a long plat of 25 acres called Big Buck Ridge. This land is also accessed by Big Tail Road.

Meadow Ridge and Section 23 land owners currently pay to maintain the access from Montgomery/Deer Creek and Big Tail Road. We also maintain a gate which controls access to Big Tail Road.

We are writing to ask that if the County approves the Big Buck Ridge development you require the owners to enter into a Road Maintenance Agreement with Meadow Ridge and Section 23 property owners for maintenance of the private roadway and gate that provide access to Big Buck Ridge.

Thanks for considering our request.

Allen and Lan Jones
Meadow Ridge Lot Owners
1445 Ridgeview Loop SW
Tumwater, WA 98512
360 357-7684

Jeff Watson

From: Liz Remeto <lremeto@radiantdelivers.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 2:43 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Adam Burnett; Danny Clifton; Max Firl; Christine Johnson; Diana Kamla; Thomas Kamla; Allison Kimball; John Remeto; Bryce Harem; Shannon Jordan; Jon Newton; Kay Muhlbeier; ahjones@comcast.net
Subject: RE: Big Buck Ridge Development

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson
Designated Permit Coordinator
Kittitas County
Community Development

Dear Mr. Watson,

John and I purchased lot #13 in the Meadow Ridge development just this last summer and part of our decision to purchase this particular land was because of the road and gate accessing it as well as the minimal amount of traffic using the road. We understood that as owners of property in Meadow Ridge, we would be required to pay monies to maintain the road which is our access point from Montgomery/Deer Creek and Big Tail Road. We also understood that as owners of the property, we would be required to help keep the gate maintained and working. Along with the Meadow Ridge property owners, the Section 23 land owners also pay to maintain this access road and gate.

In an email we received this morning, we are being told that a notice went out to some of the property owners (we were not included in this mailing) regarding the application for a long plat of 25 acres called Big Buck Ridge by the Andrus family. This property is accessed off of Big Tail Road just after Meadow Ridge and the Muhlbeier driveway. Because the Andrus family purchased their land before a road maintenance agreement was created, we are told they are not obligated to join in the cost of maintaining the road. We have also been told that they have been approached when funds were needed, and they chose not to participate. Now they want to divide their land into 14 parcels, which could mean 14 more families using the road for free that has to be maintained by other private parties (meadow ridge and Section 23), not to mention the wear and tear on the road as these parcels are developed. It doesn't seem right that this would be allowed. This is why we are asking that you do further investigation into the access point for this land and who is maintaining it.

We are hoping to break ground ourselves with our new home this spring. I don't believe that joining the road maintenance group (which is what we would suggest) would be a hindrance to the permit process for the Andrus family. All parties using the road should help in keeping it maintained.

Please let me know if you have received my email, as I know there is a deadline on receiving viewpoints on this long plat application and I want to make sure my voice was heard.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge
John and Elizabeth Remeto
16604 Sylvester Road SW
Burien, Washington 98166
206-384-8419
206-384-8420

Comments from Liz Remeto

Page 2 of 2

Thank You!

LIZ REMETO

Director of Financial Reporting and Analysis

MAIN: 800.843.4784

DIRECT: 425.943.4510

FAX: 425.462.0768

EMAIL: lremeto@radiantdelivers.com



This email is intended only for the personal confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this email is not an intended recipient, you have received this email in error and any review, dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by return mail and permanently delete the copy you received. Furthermore, the contents of any attachment to this email may contain software viruses that could damage your computer system. While we have taken reasonable precautions to minimize this risk, we shall not accept liability for any damage which you sustain as a result of such software viruses. You should prudently carry out your own virus screening checks before opening any attachments. Thank you.



Jeff Watson

From: Christine Johnson <ridgecrest6@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 5:21 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Rick
Subject: RE: Big Buck Ridge and Meadow Ridge

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Watson,

Rick and I purchased lot #10 in the Meadow Ridge development in the spring of 2014 and believed that all of the people that used the road would be taking part in the maintenance of it.

We received notice that there is a new development being talked about that would use our road and our gate but not be required to pay any maintenance.

That is wrong.

How would you feel if someone used what you paid for and was not required to participate in the cost of maintenance?

Please review the subdivision of Big Buck Ridge and consider the consequences of going forward without further investigation and dialogue between the existing properties called Meadow Ridge.

Thank you.

Rick and Christine Johnson
1014 Silver Springs Way
Stanwood WA 98292
360-629-3195

Sent from Windows Mail

Jeff Watson

From: adam burnett <adamrmk@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 5:51 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Meadow Ridge

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson
Designated Permit Coordinator
Kittitas County
Community Development

Dear Mr. Watson, I am sure you have been inundated with emailed from property owners in the meadow ride development about the family trying to split there 25 acres in the lots and there refusal to help pay for the road maintenance and up keep of the private entrance gates, it appears they have 2 easements to there land and of cores they want to use the one that someone ells has paid for, for free as well as disturbance to the other land owners, please take this in to consideration while trying to help them with there plans,

Thanks
Adam Burnett
420 meadow ridge
drive.
253-740-3832.

Jeff Watson

From: Roiann Daly <dalyville@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:35 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Comment on Project: LP-07-00040

To: Jeff Watson, Permit Coordinator/Kittitas County
From: Roiann and Kevin Daly
Date: 2/18/15

Dear Jeff or whom it may concern -

We own a home located at 1640 Big Tail Road, which is within a few hundred yards of the proposed development noted above. We purchased our property in 05/06 and build our home in 06/07. The family that is proposing the development cited above is well known to all of us within the community. I personally sat in on several meetings with the family and other representatives of the community during the initially proposal of the development of their property. There has been tremendous friction and disagreement between the Andrus family and surrounding members of the community. The Andrus family was apparently opposed to road improvements that were completed around 2007 on Montgomery/Deer Creek/Big Tail and refused to contribute to any of the costs. One of the meetings that I recall attending around 2007 was a discussion of their proposed project and potential opposition from neighbors. The issues of road access rights and road improvement costs were discussed. It was noted that the Andrus family had chosen not to contribute for any of the road improvements and had not paid any yearly road maintenance fees (snow plowing, etc). It was pointed out that they were using the services on a daily basis but letting their neighbors pay their share. In response to those concerns, the Andrus' looked at the group and indicated that they would start paying, if everyone signed a statement and agreed to support the development of their property. We all became quite upset at such a heavy handed response and basically the meeting was over. It has been several years since that time, and the Andrus family has not paid a dime toward road costs or maintenance - yet they continue to let neighbors pay for road upkeep, snow plowing, etc. There are many reasons why I strongly oppose the Andrus development, but the "bad neighbor" issue is one I can't ignore. Here is a family that was aware of the money spent to help everyone have better access to their property, yet has never contributed. What is so ironic is the fact that their proposal seeks to use Montgomery/Deer Creek/Big Tails Roads as primary access - the very roads that they have chosen not to support. Hopefully, their project will not be approved for a variety of reasons, but if it is, the Andrus family should have to seek access another way, perhaps via Columbia, and find out what it costs to build and maintain decent roads. I also question how a proposal that was initiated in 8/2007 remains "current" in 2/2015? Many things have changed in almost a decade and I would think that a project such as this would require a whole new application/review. Families in this area are not well served by an application that was proposed such a long time ago.

A primary issue I have regarding this project is water usage. We are all on wells in this area and our wells produce little water. During the last few summers, I have run my well dry with just normal type usage and worry greatly about the future. There is a multiple lot development just adjacent to the Andrus property and we are seeing new homes going up. I question how these type of developments will impact the water supply and how long before existing homes experience a serious shortage. Hopefully, Kittitas County officials are taking these water concerns seriously and are mindful of such a limited resource when considering such developments.

We purchased land in this area in order to enjoy the natural resources, such as forests, wildlife and solitude. If the Andrus development is approved, there will be a huge impact to these benefits which can never be restored.

As I have tried to express, there are many issues that are unresolved regarding the Andrus development. These issues will have a serious impact to the families living in this small community and for generations to come. I am asking county official to deny approval of this project until basic issues such as road access/easements, maintenance agreements, and water issues are resolved.

Sincerely,

Kevin and Roiann Daly
1640 Big Tail Road
Cle Elum



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

15 W Yakima Ave, Ste 200 • Yakima, WA 98902-3452 • (509) 575-2490

February 20, 2015

Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

February 24, 2015
Original in File

Re: LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment during the optional determination of nonsignificance process for the Big Buck Ridge, a performance based cluster plat application to create 14 one acre parcels and approximately 11 acres of open space on approximately 25 acres, proposed by Becky Andrus. We have reviewed the documents and have the following comments.

WATER RESOURCES

No water right records associated with this property were found in the Central Regional Office.

In Washington State, prospective water users must obtain authorization from the Department of Ecology before diverting surface water or withdrawing ground water, with one exception. Ground water withdrawals of up to 5,000 gallons per day used for single or group domestic supply, industrial purposes, stock watering or for the irrigation of up to one-half acre of lawn and garden are exempt from the permitting process. Water use under the RCW 90.44.050 exemption establishes a water right that is subject to the same privileges, restrictions, laws and regulations as a water right permit or certificate obtained directly from Ecology.

On March 28, 2002 the Washington State Supreme Court ruled that the RCW 90.44.050 permit exemption does not apply where a developer of a residential subdivision proposes multiple wells to serve each lot in the development because in combination, the withdrawal will exceed the exemption criteria.

All water wells constructed shall be in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 173-160 WAC by a driller licensed in the State of Washington. All wells must be located a minimum of 100 feet from any known, suspected, or potential source of contamination and shall not be located



Mr. Watson
February 20, 2015
Page 2

within 1,000 feet of the property boundary of solid waste landfills. A well report must be submitted to the Department of Ecology within thirty days after the completion of a well.

If you have any questions or would like to respond to these Water Resources comments, please contact **Jacquelyn Metcalfe** at (509) 457-7148 or email at jacquelyn.metcalfe@ecy.wa.gov.

WATER QUALITY

Project with Potential to Discharge Off-Site

The NPDES Construction Stormwater General Permit from the Washington State Department of Ecology is required if there is a potential for stormwater discharge from a construction site with disturbed ground. This permit requires that the SEPA checklist fully disclose anticipated activities including building, road construction and utility placements. Obtaining a permit is a minimum of a 38 day process and may take up to 60 days if the original SEPA does not disclose all proposed activities.

The permit requires that Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Erosion Sediment Control Plan) is prepared and implemented for all permitted construction sites. These control measures must be able to prevent soil from being carried into surface water (this includes storm drains) by stormwater runoff. Permit coverage and erosion control measures must be in place prior to any clearing, grading or construction.

More information on the stormwater program may be found on Ecology's stormwater website at: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/construction/>. Please submit an application or contact **Ray Latham** at the Department of Ecology, (509) 575-2807, with questions about this permit.

Sincerely,



Gwen Clear
Environmental Review Coordinator
Central Regional Office
(509) 575-2012
crosepacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov

3925

Jeff Watson

From: Jan Thompson <jatmlg98@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2015 7:31 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Comment on Project LP-07-00040, Big Buck Ridge

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Watson,

I have property within the Cle Elum Ridge Dev., Section 23 and am opposed to the plat application submitted by Ms. Andrus for the following reasons:

- 1) Most of the lots in the area are minimum 20 acre for the purpose of maintaining a rural environment. 14 cluster platted 1 acre lots does not meet the original intent. Even Ms. Andrus original 25 acre lot was established to maintain that rural environment.
- 2) 14 more lots means more water taken out of the already thin aquifer. Cle Elum Ridge is all clay Because of the clay many well are poor performing. 14 more households will impact existing wells.
- 3) The Andrus long plat proposes to use the Big Tail Rd. to access the Big Buck Ridge projects. Other owners in the area use this road. The Andrus' have refused to help the other neighbors with road maintenance since 2002. They refused to help pay for the asphalt in 2006-7. They have refused to help pay for the maintenance and snow removal even though they have been living and using that road since 2003. Andrus project does not address cost sharing of Big Tail Rd. for the new 14 clustered 1 acre plots. This will end in neighborhood disputes and ill will.

Thank you for your time and please consider the impact to existing home and landowners when considering the Andrus project.

Mike Glain
701 Horizon Ridge Dr.
Cle Elum, Wa

--

Jan N Mike

Jeff Watson

From: Maren L McDonald <MLMcDonald@drhorton.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2015 8:32 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: 'Brad Deaver (braddeaver@comcast.net)'
Subject: Cle Elum LP-07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Jeff,

I own parcel C-5 in Meadow Ridge. I wanted you to know that I support the subdivision of the Andrus property on Big Buck Road, but want to make sure everyone pays their fair share for the road we all help maintain and use. In fact, it is disturbing to find out that they are currently not paying their share of the road now.

Thank you!

Maren L. McDonald
Community Sales Manager
Cell: 206.940.1269
Fax: 866.846.2839
D.R. Horton | *America's Builder*



AS REPORTED BY BUILDER MAGAZINE

 © 2001-2015 D.R. Horton, Inc. All rights reserved. This email is an advertisement or solicitation. To unsubscribe from commercial email correspondence from D.R. Horton, click [HERE](#) Please do not reply to this email to unsubscribe. For more information about us and to view our privacy policy, you may visit us at our web site: www.drhorton.com You may write to us at our business address: D.R. Horton, Inc., Attn: Marketing Studio, 301 Commerce St., Suite 500, Ft. Worth, TX 76102. If you write to us, please include your email address. You may call us at 817.390.8200.

Jeff Watson

From: Matt Clark <matti.clark@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge Comment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson

Designated Permit Coordinator

Kittitas County

Mr Watson:

These comments and request are in reference to application "LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge." Please decide in favor of the neighbors of Big Buck Ridge to now allow development to continue without their participation in the Road Maintenance Agreement with Meadow Ridge and Section 23 property owners. So long as the Montgomery road route is the primary access to the Big Buck Ridge development, owners invested within that development should be expected to pay for the wear and tear they represent to that shared infrastructure, as well as security and status it adds to their development.

There is an obvious and well-established precedent in taxation and usage fees (e.g. tolls) where the government expects the citizenry to pay for shared infrastructure. If the infrastructure above Montgomery road were a County asset it would be funded from a common pool of income generated from all the residents, regardless of their use or personal desire to support it. We are simply asking for the County to apply their well-established pattern to this issue.

If Big Buck Ridge were to develop and use alternate access routes outside the Road Maintenance Agreement (RMA), such as Columbia or 6th as stated in other documents, and if the roadway in dispute is limited to emergency access only, it may then be reasonable to consider their exclusion from the RMA.

Big Buck Ridge's commitment to the Road Maintenance Agreement is not an unreasonable request. If the roads governed by the RMA did not exist then Big Buck Ridge would by default have to create and maintain access to their development. According to the SEPA submission Big Buck Ridge will likely contain "middle to high-income" families. The cost of the RMA "dues" is a minor expense compared to the income levels expected.

The impact to the Meadow Ridge /Section 23 RMA is not trivial. Current traffic levels are low due to the fewer participants, many of which are occasional use only. An estimated 24% increase in occupants that are more full-time, producing maybe 140 trips per day plus additional demand for public services, such as school buses, represents a significant increase. Without Big Buck's

participation the existing Meadow Ridge /Section 23 owners will have to absorb a proportionally large impact. This would also seem to open the Meadow Ridge /Section 23 owners to liability for road-related issues when the existing owners may not have been the cause of any contributing factor.

Meanwhile, the HOA's continued investment in the road, security gate and other associated features only serve to enhance the brand, property values, curb appeal and reputation that Big Buck Ridge will benefit from.

We are asking county officials to deny approval of this project until this potential injustice has been rectified.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Marcee and Matt Clark

Jeff Watson

From: Kim Person <kimperson@live.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 7:46 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Kim Person; shirleyperson@live.com
Subject: neighbor opposed to LP-07-0040
Attachments: Scan_Doc0821.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff,
My name is Kim Person, My wife Shirley and I are neighbors to the Proposed Big Buck Ridge Cluster.
Please include attached letter in file of those opposed to above Plat.
Thank you,
Kim Person
425-577-3082

To: Kittitas County Community Development Services
Attn: Mr. Jeff Watson, Staff Planner
RE: Project File Number LP-07-0040
Project Name: Big Buck Ridge

Dear Mr. Watson:

My Wife and I purchased Lot #4 Section 23 Cle Elum Ridge in 2005.

Our Parcel is directly across Big Tail Rd from the Andrus property, since Feb 2006 we have hand cleared the entire property of slash and debris, re forested, extended power to property developed plans to build.

We needed to prove there was water availability to build so we obtained paperwork to construct a well from Dept. of Ecology (BIG ONE) and drilled a well, Then years later paid an additional 10 K for a water right so we could use our well. Will this plat have to pay 14x 10,000.00 to obtain water? They should, we did. Designed a septic system, participated in improving the road to Montgomery, Participated in installing a gated entry.

Since 2008 our parcel has set dormant as I lost my job, and now in 2015 only thru perseverance hard work and selling other assets can I get caught up with my obligation Home Owner's dues and road maintenance.

We are opposed to the cluster plat that has been applied for by the Andrus's .

14 additional parcels will add to the already limited water supply.

14 parcels will add undue hardship on existing property owners that maintain private road.

14 parcels will add more surface water issues, where mud slides have already occurred.

14 parcels will compound the already congestion at S curve at Deer Creek.

Please Note: Surface water is already making its mark on drainages along access road.

Needless to say we are opposed to any more freeloader driving(undue hardship) on an improved road Privately maintained (Not County Maintained) especially when the developer and the county know there was a mistake made in regards to maintenance of an easement road. I am not afraid to say that the Andrus's are looking for a free ride and have been consistent about it for years!

Sincerely,

Kim and Shirley Person

Jeff Watson


From: Skvarla, Joe <Joe.SKVARLA@redhawkus.com>
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Christina Wollman
Subject: Comments on Big Buck Ridge L07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Jeff,

This email is in regards to the proposed Andrus Big Buck Ridge plat L07-00040.

I am writing as the (co)owner of the following parcel in Section 23: Parcel 7A (20-15-23052-0001).
Owners: Lisa and Joe Skvarla, 5026 42nd Ave SW, Seattle, WA 98136



While we oppose the entire plat due to its bringing an urban environment into a rural setting, this email is specifically as respects maintenance of the road from 3rd in Cle Elum, up Montgomery, Big Buck, and to their entry. This includes passing through an electric gate on Montgomery. This entire paved road as you know is a private road. It was built to Kittitas County standards as respects width and other points in the Kittitas road standards documentation back in 2007-2008 timeframe.

Being a private road, it is not maintained by the county nor the City of Cle Elum. It is maintained, along with the entry gate, jointly between the owners of Cle Elum Ridge (Section 23), and Meadow Ridge (a Sapphire Skies community). We have an existing road maintenance agreement in place and have shared road and gate expenses over the past several years per this agreement.

It is my understanding that the current Andrus property as it stands was exempt (through error) from the road maintenance agreement. Over the years we've paved the road (>\$75k), installed the electric gates (\$50k), and paid for snow removal. The Andrus property has not paid into any of these expenses.

Going forward the additional properties they wish to sell (14 more) will add significant traffic to the road, probably increasing it by 30% or more. This increase in traffic, including potential construction equipment, will have a huge impact on the road surface. Maintenance costs will be accrued on the road itself, and possibly with the gate due to

additional use. Without requiring these additional lots to be signatory on the road maintenance agreement, all this added cost will be put onto the owners of Cle Elum Ridge and Meadow Ridge. That obviously will not lead to anything good happening between neighbors ☹!

The roads were built to County Standards to allow for emergency services (fire, police, medical). They need to be MAINTAINED to county standards as well. That maintenance should fall on ~~all of those~~ who use the road.

Please require (if this plat is allowed to move forward) that these additional lots MUST sign onto the (revised) road maintenance agreement for both the roads and the gate. Otherwise there will be decreased accessibility for emergency services. As an option – please deny egress from Montgomery to these properties. They can develop their own egress from Columbia.

Regards,

Lisa and Joe Skvarla
5026 42nd Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136
(206) 552-3615

Jeff Watson

From: Brian Rogers <iam@brianr.me>
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 3:56 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Project: LP-07-00040 - 25 acres, Big Buck Ridge, access is off Big Tail Road

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Jeff,

I wanted to reach out to you about project number LP-07-00040 and make sure that some items get covered in the requirements of this project. I am currently a land owner at 0 Lot 3 Big Tail Rd, Cle Elum Ridge. What I want to make sure of, among many things, is that the new project takes into account road maintenance. This has been quite a big issue in many ways for the HOA and myself. The cost is always higher with more traffic if these other properties do not contribute to the upkeep. In addition we are still having problems with adjacent roads not securing their gates. This has created quite a bit of trespassing on my property in particular. In some cases people are, incorrectly, lead to believe that the upper and lower parts of my property are easements.

My concern would be to ensure these types of issues are correctly addressed early as the cost to current owners would be a lot more after the work has completed.

Please let me know if there is any additional information I can provide.

Sincerely,
Brian Rogers
Cell: 908-625-4589

Jeff Watson

From: Margaret Suman <MSuman@cablelang.com>
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 6:10 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Walt Suman; dmacduff@oakpointe.com
Subject: Project Name: Big Buck Ridge, Project File No. LP-07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson
Staff Planner
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: Project Name : Big Buck Ridge
Project File No. : LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

You are, or will be today, in receipt of a letter from James C. Carmody, of Meyer, Fluegge, & Tenney, P.S., written on behalf of the Section 23 Property Owners on Cle Elum Ridge, In Kittitas County, in regards to the above noted Project.

My husband, Walter Suman, and I are co-owners of 66 acres on Cle Elum Ridge. With our friends and partners we have created three 22 acre parcels, and intend to keep the bulk of the property in forest land in order to facilitate the rural nature of the property, enhance the continued presence of wildlife there, and help preserve the natural beauty of the area.

We are, through this email, joining in the position and analysis set forth in Mr. Carmody's letter on behalf of the Section 23 property owners. In addition, we want to point out the inequities of the position taken by the Andruses in utilizing the roads on the Ridge while refusing to join in the expense of improving and maintaining the roads.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Very Truly Yours,

Margaret and Walter Suman

Margaret Suman
Cable, Langenbach, Kinerk & Bauer, LLP
1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3500
Seattle, Washington 98104
206-292-8800

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, copy, or distribute this e-mail message or its attachments. If you believe you have received this e-mail message in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Jeff Watson

From: Ken McKim <vkmckim@juno.com>
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 10:33 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Fw: Big Buck Ridge LP-07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Ken McKim" <vkmckim@juno.com>
To: Jeff.Watson@com.kittitas.wa.us
Subject: Big Buck Ridge LP-07-00040
Date: Tue, 24 Feb 2015 05:40:55 GMT

I am a parcel owner in the Cle Elum Ridge development (section 23) and am writing this letter to formally oppose the proposed Big Buck Ridge cluster plat just south of our development.

This project will produce much more traffic on Deer Creek and Big Buck roads than they were ever designed for nor certified up to the new county road standards. Increased road traffic, noise, light and maintenance issues will definitely reduce the quality of life that we all sought when we invested in our adjoining, large acreage (20 ac min) properties. This project will also have a detrimental effect on the native wildlife that currently abound in the quiet environment that we all work hard to maintain with minimal wildlife disturbance. That climate will surely deteriorate with the increased development density of BBR. The recent appearance of wolves on our parcels is prime evidence of the type of wildland-urban interface environment that the state is trying to maintain. It seems implausible that an endangered specie would continue to inhabit a noisy and disruptive environment that would result if the BBR project is allowed to proceed.

There are also the issues of road and gate maintenance and easements that need to be a requirement for any project, especially this one.

Kittitas County needs to step up and enforce its development and road requirements as currently mandated. This project is long past its submission expiration date and if not completely rejected, at least require compliance with current standards, road maintenance agreements and access through Columbia Ave only.

Sincerely,

Kenneth D McKim, DVM
Cle Elum Ridge lot 7-B, parcel no. 19166

Man, 63. Avoids Wrinkles

63 Yr Old Man Shares Simple DIY Skin Tightening Method He Uses At Home

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL314154cc1b251baa91b25599est03duc>

Jeff Watson

From: David MacDuff <davemacduff@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 11:55 AM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Big Buck Ridge Plat Application LP-07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff Watson

Staff Planner

Kittitas County Community Development Services

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2

Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: Project Name : Big Buck Ridge
Project File No. : LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

You are, or will be today, in receipt of a letter from James C. Carmody, of Meyer, Fluegge, & Tenney, P.S., written on behalf of the Section 23 Property Owners on Cle Elum Ridge, In Kittitas County, in regards to the above noted Project.

My wife and I are co-owners of 66 acres on Cle Elum Ridge. With our friends and partners we have created three 22 acre parcels, and intend to keep the bulk of the property in forest land in order to facilitate the rural nature of the property, enhance the continued presence of wildlife there, and help preserve the natural beauty of the area.

We are, through this email, joining in the position and analysis set forth in Mr. Carmody's letter on behalf of the Section 23 property owners. In addition, we want to point out the inequities of the position taken by the Andruses in utilizing the roads on the Ridge while refusing to join in the expense of improving and maintaining the roads.

Furthermore, I would be surprised at any action by the county might take that would allow this inequitable position be exacerbated many-fold by allowing the creation of new lots that are similarly exempted from their fair-share maintenance and improvement costs. For your information, the property owners who fall under the road maintenance agreements within Cle Elum Ridge HOA have all spent ten's of thousands of dollars to improve the roads to their current condition. We face thousand more in repair and upgrade costs as the roads age, and fail, as Kittitas road will do. We even figured out an equitable roads solution with Sapphire Skies. Please don't allow a single property owner to enrich themselves at our expense.

So while we oppose the plat per Mr. Carmody's letters, we look to the county to protect the equitable interests of current property owners against inequitable future subdivisions.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Very Truly Yours,

David MacDuff

Jeff Watson

From: Deborah Girard <Girard@mftlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 1:43 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Big Buck Ridge
Attachments: Watson letter 022415.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Mr. Watson, please see attached letter from James C. Carmody regarding the above-referenced matter.

*Debbie Girard
Paralegal to James C. Carmody
Meyer, Fluegge & Tenney, P.S.
230 S. 2nd Street
Yakima, WA 98901
Phone: 509/575-8500
Fax: 509/575-4676*

This e-mail transmission may contain information which is protected by the attorney-client, work-product and/or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure or taking of any action in reliance on the contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please contact us immediately and return any e-mail to us by choosing Reply (or the corresponding function on your e-mail system) and then deleting the e-mail.

THE LAW OFFICES OF
MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S.

DENNIS L. FLUEGGE*
ROBERT C. TENNEY
MARK D. WATSON*
JEROME R. AIKEN*
JOHN A. MAXWELL, JR.
* Also admitted in Oregon

230 South Second Street
P.O. Box 22680
Yakima, Washington 98907-2680

**PETER M. RITCHIE
ERIN E. MOORE
E. TYLER HOWELL
JAMES C. CARMODY
***GARY E. LOFLAND
**Also admitted in Virginia
*** Of Counsel

carmody@mftlaw.com

February 24, 2015

Via email only

Jeff Watson
Staff Planner
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926
Jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us

Re: Project Name : Big Buck Ridge
Project File No. : LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

We represent the property owners of The Cle Elum Ridge Community and provide these comments with respect to the Notice of Application for performance based cluster plat submitted by Becky Andrus requesting authorization to create 14 one-acre parcels and approximately 11 acres of open space. These comments will supplement comments provided by both clients and adjacent property owners.

A. Application is Not Vested to Rural 3 Zoning District.

The Notice of Application contains a statement that "...[t]he subject properties' zoning is vested as Residential 3." We disagree with this legal position and interpretation.

Becky Andrus submitted a long-plat application (File No. P-07-40) on June 19, 2007. The application was incomplete in two respects: (1) the application failed to include a list of adjacent property owners (CDS letter of 7/18/2007); and (2) application failed to include Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) mandated by the Andrus Rezone (File No. Z-06-23). The rezone ordinance - Ordinance No. 2006-57 (11/21/2006) - established specific rules and requirements for further action regarding the Andrus property. Ordinance No. 2006-57-Andrus Rezone (Z-06-23) required the following:

At the time of a project action, the applicant shall submit a stamped traffic analysis from a licensed engineer in the State of Washington considering among other factors, intersection spacing, site distances, traffic volumes, load bearing capacities of soils, pavement thickness design, etc. Reference Current Kittitas County Road Standards.

The application requirement was imposed by Ordinance and a binding component for a complete application with respect to development of the Andrus Rezone Property. Kittitas County CDS advised the applicant of this requirement on August 16, 2007. Applicant did not submit required TIA until February 20, 2013. An additional two (2) years have passed with no activity on the file. More than six and one-half years have passed since the filing

Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development Services
February 24, 2015
Page 2

of the initial application. The application should be processed according to current zoning, environmental and development regulations.

The rezone made the TIA a mandatory component of the preliminary plat application.

Vesting rules applicable to a proposed division of land are set forth in RCW 58.17.033, which provides as follows:

- (1) A proposed division of land, as defined in RCW 57.17.020, shall be considered under the subdivision or short subdivision ordinance, and zoning or other land control ordinances, in effect on the land at the time *a fully completed application for preliminary plat approval of the subdivision*, or short plat approval of the short subdivision, has been submitted to the appropriate county, city, or town official.
- (2) The requirements for a fully completed application shall be defined by local ordinance.
- (3) The limitations imposed by this section shall not restrict conditions imposed under Chapter 43.21C RCW.

Andrus did not submit the required Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) until February 20, 2013. The filed report is grossly deficient and fails to address specific elements required by Ordinance No. 2006-57.

A second critical factor with respect to the issue of vesting relates to determinations made by the Growth Management Hearings Board and State Supreme Court with respect to rural densities and three acre zoning under the Kittitas County Zoning Ordinance. Both bodies determined that the Rural-3 and Agriculture-3 zoning districts were noncompliant with the goals and policies of the Growth Management Act (GMA). See GMAHB Decision Case No. 07-1-0004c and *Kittitas County v. Eastern Washington Growth Management Hearings Board*, 172 Wn.2d 144 (2011). Kittitas County responded to the administrative and judicial determinations and adopted Ordinance 2013-001 which eliminated the three acre zones and rezoned the subject property. Ordinance 2013-001 was adopted on February 11, 2013. The supplemental required TIA information was not received until February 20, 2013. The application was not complete as of the date on which the zoning ordinance was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners.

Kittitas County Ordinance procedures further provide that "...an incomplete application shall expire after 180 calendar days unless the requested supplemental information is submitted in complete form." KCC 15A.03.040 (1). Kittitas County made the request for additional information on August 11, 2007. Andrus failed to provide the supplemental information within the mandated 180 calendar days. In fact, more than five (5) years passed before the information was submitted on the application.

B. Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) Fails to Comply with Ordinance No. 2006-57.

Board of County Commissioners established specific requirements with respect to preparation of a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the Andrus rezone. Ordinance No. 2006-57 specifically required analysis which considered "...among other factors, intersection spacing, site distances, traffic volumes, load bearing capacities of soils, pavement thickness design, etc..." The rezone recognized that access to any development on the rezoned property would pass over and on a series of private easements and roadways. The access system was to be evaluated in a

Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development Services
February 24, 2015
Page 3

detailed engineering analysis that assured road design and construction (both public and private) in accordance with applicable Kittitas County Road Standards. The TIA submitted in this case fails to contain the information required by the ordinance provision.

The TIA is specifically lacking any analysis of load bearing capacities of soils and pavement thickness design with respect to the private road segments. Further study and analysis is required as a prerequisite to processing of this application.

C. Application Fails to Make Appropriate Provision for Access and Road Maintenance.

Applicant proposes to utilize private road easements to access the proposed subdivision. The proposal seeks authorization to utilize Montgomery/Deer Creek/Big Tail Roads for primary access.¹ A significant portion of the access is over private roads and easements.

Applicant has not established a legal right to utilize the private roads for purposes of access to the expanded 14 lot subdivision. The added traffic will significantly increase noise, congestion, and wear and tear on the private roadway. The following are required with respect to use of the private roadway:

1. An applicant must provide confirmation and establishment of a legal right to use the private road and easement for purposes of the proposed subdivision. KCC 12.12.010(4). The record contains no evidentiary support for proposed use rights related to the private roads.

2. Confirmation and engineered plans for the entire length of the private road confirming that the road access meets minimum access requirements of Section 902-FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS-of the *International Fire Code* and are designed and constructed in conformance with *AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (AVT-400)*. KCC 12.010(1) and (2). Private roads are also required to meet design requirements of Table 12-1. KCC 12.12.020.

3. KCC 12.12.010(6) requires that all lots within the proposed subdivision participate in road maintenance agreement. The specific ordinance requirements include:

Maintained by the developer or legally responsible owner or homeowners association or other legal entity *made up of all benefited property owners* under the provisions of an acceptable and recorded "Private Road Maintenance Agreement", ...

Applicant has refused, in the past, to participate or share in road maintenance responsibilities for the private roadways. Subdivision review should also consider requirement for reimbursement of proportionate share of road development and maintenance with such funds to be held by owners association for application to future road maintenance and improvement responsibilities.

¹ This property is not part of Meadow Ridge or Cle Elum Ridge (Section 23) plats. A Variance Request (RV-07-07) was approved by Road Variance Committee on October 17, 2007. It was with "...the condition that Montgomery Avenue be built to Kittitas County Road Standards and be certified."

Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development Services
February 24, 2015
Page 4

4. The impact of added traffic upon private road access should be included in the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA). The submitted TIA contains no specific information with respect to impacts upon the private road easements. In particular, the analysis should conclude both current and projected ADT traffic and volume impacts upon applicable road standards and requirements.

5. Kittitas County (CDS) specifically noted that Deer Creek Road currently serves more than 40 lots and that KCC 12.01.095(2) requires two interconnected ingress-egress routes that independently connect to and on-system county road. KCC 12.01.095(2). Site plan does not show or establish secondary access.

6. Applicant is required to obtain specific authorization from private land owners subject to road easement requirements. KCC 12.01.05(7) provides:

All parcel creations that access property over private lands, public lands, or road easements managed by other agencies must submit an approved easement from the land owners or road/easement managers that specifically address access, maintenance, seasonal restrictions and other restrictions and/or limitations. These easements and permits shall be presented or recorded prior to final approval.

Applicant has not requested nor been provided the necessary agreement for private road use.

7. SEPA environmental review has failed to consider alternative access to the subject property. Big Buck Ridge subdivision can be accessed through 6th and Columbia and not from Montgomery Avenue. The alternative access should be constructed to applicable county standards.

8. Applicant should be required to improve all private roadway access to applicable standards. KCC 12.01.090(B) specifically provides:

Any parcel creation abutting and impacting existing road shall improve the frontage of those roads in accordance with the standards. The extent of improvements shall be based on the assessment by the impacts of the proposed land development stated in Section A above. Short plats within the UGA creating only one additional lot to a tax lot with an existing dwelling unit are exempt from providing urban type street improvements but are subject to shoulder improvements providing these improvements are consistent with surrounding roads and do not present a safety problem.

See also KCC 12.01.090(A).


Jeff Watson
Kittitas County Community Development Services
February 24, 2015
Page 5

CONCLUSION

We request the following:

1. That the application be processed in accordance with currently applicable zoning and development requirements and regulations;
2. That the TIA be supplemented with specific analysis of private road impact and improvement standards;
3. Submission of proposed Private Road Maintenance Agreement applicable to all properties utilizing private roads; and
4. Legal confirmation of easement rights with respect to the proposed expansion of use under easements. These comments will supplement other comments from adjoining property owners.

Very truly yours,
MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S.



James C. Carmody

JCC:dg

cc: Clients (via email only)

U:\DebbieG\Cle Elum Ridge Properties - Don Owens\Planning Letter 022315.docx

Jeff Watson

From: Bruce <CosmicTraveler@Comcast.Net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 2:49 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Notice of Application, Big Buck Ridge, LP-07-00040

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Mr. Watson,

I am writing to express concerns about several aspects of this proposed long plat.

1. Item 8 of the long plat application states that a Group B water system is proposed to serve 14 single family residences. Item B.3.b.1) of the SEPA Environmental Checklist states that the supply from this system will not exceed 5,000 gallons per day. However, Paragraph 1.3 Item 7 from the Group B Water System Design Guidelines states that source capacity must be proven for $14 \times 1,250 = 17,500$ gallons per day. This is a significant discrepancy that should be resolved before the application is approved.
2. Using the Department of Health's factor of 2.5 Equivalent Residential Units per single family residence, it seems that the proposed water system would serve an estimated $14 \times 2.5 = 35$ people, or more than the limit of 25 for a Group B system. In this case, it seems that a Group A system is required. This is another significant discrepancy that should be resolved before the application is approved.
3. Since the date of this application in 2007 there have been notable changes related to legal withdraw groundwater water in Kittitas County. The application and supporting materials do not yet appear to demonstrate a right to withdraw the quantity of water required to support this cluster plat. Has the SEPA review process conducted thus far considered all current requirements for developing a water supply? Has the applicant submitted all documents necessary at this point in the process?
4. Does the applicant propose to connect to existing electrical and telephone utilities that were installed for use in Section 23? If so, have they confirmed with the respective utility providers that excess capacity exists to properly serve 14 new residences without impairing capacity allocated for downstream property owners? Is this documentation available for review? If not, and if it is subsequently determined that utility upgrades are required, then the applicant should be required to bear 100% of the cost of all upgrades necessary to support this cluster plat.
5. Mining activities are known to have occurred in the vicinity of this cluster plat. Has the applicant obtained maps that document the locations of such prior activities. Without review of these maps, it is not possible to claim that there are no known critical areas on the proposed site, as stated in Note 13 on Sheet 2 of the drawings. Mitigation should require disclosure of all potential hazards revealed by these maps.
6. The applicant should be advised that recreational opportunities do not include the use of Big Tail Road north of the access points for the cluster plat.
7. The applicant notes that "existing and proposed private roads will be improved in accordance with Kittitas County Standards". It is my understanding that the applicant has not yet shared in the financial cost of improvements already undertaken on private roads they plan to use, including portions of both Deer Creek Road and Big Tail Road. It does not seem right to me that that the applicant should derive financial gain from creating this cluster plat, without also sharing in the cost that helps to make this development possible. Mitigation should require the applicant to contribute their share of the cost for road improvements already undertaken. Mitigation also should require the applicant to bear 100% of all costs required for road improvements that benefit this cluster plat.
8. It is also my understanding that the applicant has continually refused to participate in the costs for maintaining access roads and gates they propose to use for this cluster plat. Mitigation should require all 14 lots created by this development

to share in all future costs for road and gate maintenance, the same as prescribed by the agreement that governs all other current users of these facilities.

Please provide a copy of the decision made for the referenced application.

Respectfully,
Bruce Higgs

Jeff Watson

From: Don Owens <owensmeats@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 3:50 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Subject: Big Buck Ridge long plat, Project File No: LP-07-00040
Attachments: Letter to the planning department.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Jeff, please find our letter as an attachment regarding the Andrus's Big Buck Ridge application.

Thank you, The Owens family

Cle Elum, WA 98922

Don's cell 509-470-4679

Doug's cell 509-470-4691

Page 1

Don R Owens
Kristina L Owens
Doug M Owens
Dana Owens
502 E 1st Street
Cle Elum, Wa 98922

February 25, 2015

Jeff Watson
Staff Planner
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: Project Name : Big Buck Ridge
Project File No. : LP-07-00040

Dear Mr. Watson:

We are writing this letter in regards to a proposed Long Plat application for the creation of 14 one acre parcels under the application name Big Buck Ridge.

We oppose the application's approval if the application is approved without Becky and Cory Andrus and the Big Buck Ridge lots cluster being part of the RMA of Section 23 and The Cle Elum Ridge Community. We are ourselves advocates of personal and private property rights. With that being said we would never want to tell someone what they can and can not do with their property, unless their plan negatively affects the surrounding neighbors. In the case of this particular plat we believe there are reasons that it would.

1. One of the reasons we purchased property on Big Tail Rd was because of the large acreage lot sizes that ensured the desirable beauty and serenity that the area offers. Breaking this up further would negatively affect the experience that the land owners currently enjoy. We currently walk, jog and use this road almost daily to access our property and the Cle Elum ridge. 14 more residents would definitely reduce the tranquility of the area.

2. The road is a huge issue with being a property owner of The Cle Elum Ridge Community. It is a paved road which has been put in at the expense of members of the RMA. The number of members are relatively few. Not only was the road and the gate a large initial expense but the continued maintenance and upkeep of the road and security gate is an ongoing expense. The road currently is in need of repairs which the RMA has recently received a bid of \$60,000.00 to repair. The damage that has occurred is from a very low number of property

Page 2

owners and users. To consider the fact that the Andrus's have been using this road and security gate freely at the expense of others is very unfair in itself, but to consider allowing a long plat to go through with another 13 users to free load off the expense of the RMA members is unthinkable. The expense of maintenance goes down dramatically when divided between all users. It is my understanding that the Andrus's had been approached multiple times throughout the years to join the RMA and help in the expense of the road they enjoy but have declined to follow through. This is not a fair or neighborly way conduct one self.

3. We feel that if the plat were to move forward that it should be more in line with todays zoning of larger lot sizes which would create less of an impact on neighbors, water availability, traffic and the road.

4. It was mentioned in some of the correspondence letters between Cory, Becky Andrus and the county that if this plat went through that the maximum number of users on this road would be reached and that if any more plats were to be approved in the future that road upgrades would have to be met. This is very unfair to think that the folks that have been paying their RMA dues and helped build and maintain the road might not even be able to benefit in the future by dividing a 40 acre parcel into two 20 acre parcels without going through the huge expense of road upgrades. If there are to be any plat applications approved for the division of land parcels it should be recognized and approved to those land owners who have contributed to the building and the maintenance of the roads.

In Closing we would like to feel assured that our local government is taking into account the feelings and fairness of all the property owners affected by proposed plat application`s. We would hope that if the application does move forward that it would be based upon the acceptance of the Andrus's and the Big Buck Ridge into the Cle Elum Ridge Community's RMA.

Sincerely, Don & Kristina Owens
Doug & Dana Owens

Rural subdivision planned near Cle Elum

Would have 14 one-acre lots under old zoning

By **MIKE JOHNSTON**
senior writer

A property owner has revived a rural subdivision proposal called Big Buck Ridge north of Cle Elum city limits.

Landowner Becky Andrus has restarted the permit review process with the county Community Development Services Department for preliminary long-plat approval for the development that was first filed in June 2007, according to a county notice.

The rural subdivision comes under the jurisdiction of county zoning and development rules as they existed in 2007, according

to county planner Jeff Watson. The subdivision would have 14 one-acre lots, with 11 acres preserved as natural open space.

Major changes in the county's rural land-use regulations were adopted last year.

The subdivision application was deemed complete as of Aug. 16, 2007, and county officials then called on the landowner to undertake a traffic impact analysis.

The analysis was submitted later, in February 2014, Watson said.

The site is a 1/2 mile north of the city limits of Cle Elum, directly north of where Yakima Avenue ends at the city's

boundary.

The former zoning of the site, in 2007, was residential-3, allowing minimum lot sizes of three acres. Changes in county land-use rules and zoning last year made the minimum lot size 5 acres in rural-residential zones.

Use former rules

The cluster platting rules at that time gave rural housing developers "bonus densities" for added features.

In this case, the owner plans to create a recreational trail system, set aside 11 acres of wooded ridge land that would stay in its natural state and also have recreational trails, and have a group domestic water sys-

tem serving each one-acre lot.

These features allowed the developer to go from eight lots under the zoning to 14 one-acre lots.

The public can submit comments on the proposal and its possible impacts on the environment before a 5 p.m. Feb. 24 deadline.

Comments can be emailed to jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us, or mailed or dropped off to county CDS offices, 411 N. Ruby St., Ellensburg. This may be the only time the public can submit comments on the project's possible environmental impacts, the county notice said.

CDS staff will review public comments, then make a decision on the project's

probable level of environmental impact, and call for any measures to lessen impacts.

County staff, at this time, is considering issuing a declaration that the project will not have a probable significant impact on the environment.

After the project's level of impact is declared, a public hearing will be set with the county's land-use hearings examiner who will review the project and take public testimony.

The hearings examiner will make a recommendation to county commissioners who will, in turn, make the final decision.

More information about the project is available on the county's website.

CORRECTION

Recipe correction

An incorrect recipe for soft caramels appeared on Page B8 of Wednesday's Daily Record. A corrected recipe appears in full on Page A6 today.

EVENTS CALENDAR


Today, Feb. 12

Free tax assistance, 9:30 a.m. to 3 p.m., Ellensburg Activity Center, appointment required call 962-7242

KITTCOM Administrative Board meeting, noon, 700 Elmview Road Ellensburg

Mardi Gras party, 6 p.m., Michaelson Hall Room 126, CWU

AI Anon Literature for Progress meeting, 6:30 p.m., 1st Presbyterian, 1307 E. Third Ave., Ellensburg



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

Affidavit of Mailing & Publication

PROPOSAL NAME: Big Buck Ridge Plat LP-07-00040

NOTIFICATION OF: Notice of Application

NOTIFICATION MAIL DATE: February 9, 2015

I certify that the following documentation:

- Notice of application for the Big Buck Ridge Plat LP-07-00040

has been mailed to the attached list of persons and participants, and that proper notification (as attached) has been published in the Legal Newspaper(s) of Record for Kittitas County.

Signature

Jeffrey A. Watson
Community Development Services Planner
County of Kittitas
State of Washington


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of February, 2015

Allison Rose Shriner
Notary Public for the State of Washington residing
In Ellensburg.



My appointment expires January 9, 2018.

Signature



KITTTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St. Suite 2 Ellensburg WA 98926

cds@co.kittitas.wa.us

Office 509-962-7506

Fax 509-962-7682

Building Partnerships - Building Communities

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Notice of Application: Monday, February 9, 2015

Application Received: Thursday, June 21, 2007

Application Complete: Thursday, August 16, 2007

Project File Number: LP-07-00040

Project Name: Big Buck Ridge

Applicant: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner

Location: 2 parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.

Proposal: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner, has submitted a performance based cluster plat application to create 14 one acre parcels and approximately eleven acres of open space. The subject property's zoning is vested as Residential 3.

Materials Available for Review: The submitted application and related filed documents may be examined by the public at the Kittitas County Community Development Services (CDS) office at 411 N. Ruby, Suite 2, Ellensburg, Washington, 98926, or on the CDS website at [http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats](http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long%20Plats) Phone: (509) 962-7506

Written Comments on this proposal can be submitted to CDS any time prior to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, February 24, 2015. Any person has the right to comment on the application and request a copy of the decision once made.

Environmental Review (SEPA): The County expects to issue a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for this proposal, and will use the optional DNS process, meaning this may be the only opportunity for the public to comment on the environmental impacts of the proposal. Mitigation measures may be required under applicable codes, such as Title 17 Zoning, Title 17A Critical Areas, and the Fire Code, and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the threshold determination may be obtained from the County.

Public Hearing: An open record hearing will be scheduled before the Kittitas County Hearings Examiner after the SEPA environmental threshold determination has been issued. A Public Hearing Notice will be issued establishing the date, time and location of this hearing.

Designated Permit Coordinator (staff contact): Jeff Watson, Staff Planner: (509) 933-8274; email at jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us



Signature Planner of Record

Feb 9, 2015
Date

ROGERS, BRIAN
3710 172ND AVE NE
REDMOND WA 98052-5713

PERSON, ALAN K ETUX
680 LAMBERT RD
CLE ELUM WA 98922

MUHLBEIER, JAMES A ETUX
21403 SE 16TH PLACE
SAMMAMISH WA 98075

ANDRUS, CORY W & REBECCA L
PO BOX 785
CLE ELUM WA 98922-0785

COOPER PASS LLC
206 W 1ST ST
CLE ELUM WA 98922

NORTHLAND INVESTMENTS INC
PO BOX 923
CLE ELUM WA 98922

FIRL, MAXWELL C & CINDY F
PO BOX 866
CLE ELUM WA 98922-0866

JONES, ALLEN H & LAN PHAN
1445 RIDGEVIEW LOOP SW
TUMWATER WA 98512-1437

HOMEOWNERS ASSOC FOR
MEADOW RIDGE
206 W 1ST ST
CLE ELUM WA 98922-1108

KAMLA, THOMAS J ETUX
7533 120TH PL SE
NEWCASTLE WA 98056

CLIFTON, DANNY L
501 W 5TH ST
CLE ELUM WA 98922

DEAVER, BRAD A
MCDONALD, MAREN
23514 SE 137TH ST
ISSAQUAH WA 98027-8324

FLANAGAN, THOMAS F
PO BOX 1024
CLE ELUM WA 98922

HIGHMARK RESOURCES LLC
PO BOX 923
CLE ELUM WA 98922

CLE ELUM HOMESTEAD LLC
PO BOX 654
PORT ORCHARD WA 98366

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 02/04/15 15:55 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1236046

Status: N

KC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. RUBY ST, SUITE 2
ELLENSBURG WA 98926

Start: 02/09/2015 Stop: 02/09/2015
Times Ord: 1 Times Run: ***
STD6 2.00 X 7.42 Words: 407
Total STD6 14.84
Class: 0001 LEGAL NOTICES
Rate: LEG2 Cost: 127.62
Affidavits: 1

Contact: STEPH MIFFLIN
Phone: (509)962-7506
Fax#:
Email: steph.mifflin@co.kittitas.wa
Agency:

Ad Descrpt: NOTICE OF APPLICATION
Given by: JEFF WATSON
Created: lfi18 02/04/15 15:50
Last Changed: lfi18 02/04/15 15:55

COMMENTS:
COPIED from AD 1226274


PUB	ZONE	ED	TP	START	INS	STOP	SMTWTFS
DR	A		97 S	02/09			
IN	A		97 S	02/09			

AUTHORIZATION

Under this agreement rates are subject to change with 30 days notice. In the event of a cancellation before schedule completion, I understand that the rate charged will be based upon the rate for the number of insertions used.

Quote from Daily Record/Kittitas County Publishing (509) 925-1414
This ad will run as quoted unless cancellation is received. Please contact your sales rep 24 hours prior to first run date to cancel order.

Jeff Watson
Name (print or type)


Name (signature)

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

DAILY RECORD/KITTITAS PUB
C/O IDAHO STATE JOURNAL RECEIVABLES
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204
(509)925-1414

ORDER CONFIRMATION (CONTINUED)

Salesperson: LAURA FISHBURN

Printed at 02/04/15 15:55 by lfi18

Acct #: 84329

Ad #: 1236046

Status: N

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Project Name (File Number): Big Buck Ridge Long Plat (LP 07 00040)

Applicant: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner

Location: 2 parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20 15 26010 0010 and 20 15 26010 0009.

Proposal: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner, has submitted a performance based cluster plat application to create 14 one acre parcels and approximately eleven acres of open space. The subject property's zoning is vested as Residential 3.

Materials Available for Review: The submitted application and related filed documents may be examined by the public at the Kittitas County Community Development Services (CDS) office at 411 N. Ruby, Suite 2, Ellensburg, Washington, 98926, or on the CDS website at: [http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats](http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long%20Plats). Phone: (509) 962 7506

Written Comments on this proposal can be submitted to CDS any time prior to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, February 24, 2015. Any person has the right to comment on the application and request a copy of the decision once made. Appeal procedures can vary according to the type of decision being appealed, and are described in Kittitas County Code, Title 15A.

Environmental Review (SEPA): The County expects to issue a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for this proposal, and will use the optional DNS Process, meaning this may be the only opportunity for governmental agencies and the public to comment on the environmental impacts of the proposal. Mitigation measures may be required under applicable codes, such as Title 17 Zoning, Title 16 Subdivisions, and the Fire Code, and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the threshold determination may be obtained from the County.

Public Hearing: An open record hearing will be scheduled before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner after the SEPA environmental threshold determination has been issued. A Public Hearing Notice will be issued establishing the date, time and location of the hearing.

Designated Permit Coordinator (staff contact): Staff Planner: (509) 962-7506; email at: jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us

Notice of Application: Monday, February 9, 2015
Application Received: Thursday, June 21, 2007
Application Complete: Thursday, August 16, 2007
Newspaper Publish: Monday, February 9, 2015; Ellensburg Daily Record.
Thursday, February 12, 2015; Kittitas County Tribune

Jeff Watson

From: Jeff Watson
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 2:53 PM
To: legals@kvnews.com; 'jana@nkctribune.com'
Subject: LP-07-00040 Notice of Application Legal
Attachments: LP-07-00040 Big Buck Ridge Notice of Application Legal.docx

Please publish the attached as prescribed below:

Monday, February 9, 2015; Ellensburg Daily Record
Thursday, February 12, 2015; Kittitas County Tribune

Jeffrey A. Watson
Planner II
[Kittitas County Public Works/Community Development Services](#)
411 North Ruby
Ellensburg WA 98926
jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us
509-933-8274

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Project Name (File Number): Big Buck Ridge Long Plat (LP-07-00040)

Applicant: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner

Location: 2 parcels, located approximately 1/2 mile north of the Yakima Avenue in the City of Cle Elum at 140 Big Buck Ridge Road, Cle Elum WA, in a portion of Section 26, Township 20N, Range 15E, WM in Kittitas County, bearing Assessor's map numbers 20-15-26010-0010 and 20-15-26010-0009.

Proposal: Wayne Nelson authorized agent for Becky Andrus, landowner, has submitted a performance based cluster plat application to create 14 one acre parcels and approximately eleven acres of open space. The subject property's zoning is vested as Residential 3.

Materials Available for Review: The submitted application and related filed documents may be examined by the public at the Kittitas County Community Development Services (CDS) office at 411 N. Ruby, Suite 2, Ellensburg, Washington, 98926, or on the CDS website at: [http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long Plats](http://www.co.kittitas.wa.us/cds/land-use/current-planning.aspx?title=Long%20Plats). Phone: (509) 962 7506

Written Comments on this proposal can be submitted to CDS any time prior to **5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, February 24, 2015**. Any person has the right to comment on the application and request a copy of the decision once made. Appeal procedures can vary according to the type of decision being appealed, and are described in Kittitas County Code, Title 15A.

Environmental Review (SEPA): The County expects to issue a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for this proposal, and will use the **optional DNS Process, meaning this may be the only opportunity for governmental agencies and the public to comment on the environmental impacts of the proposal.** Mitigation measures may be required under applicable codes, such as Title 17 Zoning, Title 16 Subdivisions, and the Fire Code, and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the threshold determination may be obtained from the County.

Public Hearing: An open record hearing will be scheduled before the Kittitas County Hearing Examiner after the SEPA environmental threshold determination has been issued. A Public Hearing Notice will be issued establishing the date, time and location of the hearing.

Designated Permit Coordinator (staff contact): Staff Planner: (509) 962-7506; email at: jeff.watson@co.kittitas.wa.us


Notice of Application: Monday, February 9, 2015


Application Received: Thursday, June 21, 2007

Application Complete: Thursday, August 16, 2007

Newspaper Publish: Monday, February 9, 2015; Ellensburg Daily Record.

Thursday, February 12, 2015; Kittitas County Tribune






LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Area Map
City Limits (Yellow) and UGA (Brown)




LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge


Air Photo
3 Dimensional



LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge


Air Photo
Vertical







LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Current
Zoning









LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Shorelines, Floodplain, Floodway,
Wetlands, and Streams




LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Fire
Districts




LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Hazardous
Slope



LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Wildland Urban
Interface Designation



Critical Areas Checklist

Wednesday, January 21, 2015

Application File Number LP-07-00040

Planner Jeff Watson

Is SEPA required Yes No

Is Parcel History required? Yes No

What is the Zoning? Forest and Range Vested R3

Is Project inside a Fire District? Yes No

If so, which one? Fire District 7 (Cle Elum)

Is the project inside an Irrigation District? Yes No

If so, which one?

Does project have Irrigation Approval? Yes No

Which School District? Cle Elum-Roslyn School District

Is the project inside a UGA? Yes No

If so which one?

Is there FIRM floodplain on the project's parcel? Yes No

If so which zone? X

What is the FIRM Panel Number? 5300950261B

Is the Project parcel in the Floodway? Yes No

Does the project parcel contain a shoreline of the State? Yes No

If so what is the Water Body?

What is the designation?

Does the project parcel contain a Classified Stream? Yes No

If so what is the Classification?

Does the project parcel contain a wetland? Yes No

If so what type is it?

Does the project parcel intersect a PHS designation? Yes No

If so, what is the Site Name?

Is there hazardous slope in the project parcel? Yes No

If so, what type? 30-35



Does the project parcel abut a DOT road? Yes No

If so, which one?

Does the project parcel abut a Forest Service road? Yes No

If so, which one?

Does the project parcel intersect an Airport overlay zone? Yes No

If so, which Zone is it in?

Does the project parcel intersect a BPA right of way or line? Yes No

If so, which one? Rocky Reach-Maple Valley No 1

Is the project parcel in or near a Mineral Resource Land? Yes No

If so, which one?

Is the project parcel in or near a DNR Landslide area? Yes No

If so, which one?


Is the project parcel in or near a Coal Mine area? Yes No

What is the Seismic Designation? D1

Does the Project Application have a Title Report Attached?

Does the Project Application have a Recorded Survey Attached?

Have the Current Years Taxes been paid?




LP-07-00040
Big Buck Ridge

Site Visit Approximate
Photograph Locations

34



34



34



34



34




34



34




34




34





34





34

34



34







34



34

Lindsey Ozbolt

From: Christina Wollman
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2013 3:04 PM
To: Doc Hansen
Cc: Jan Ollivier; Lindsey Ozbolt; Jeff Watson
Subject: Big Buck Ridge P-07-40
Attachments: Big Buck Ridge Plat Traffic Study Concurrency.pdf; Big Buck Cluster Plat - TIA.pdf; FW_ Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat.pdf

Public Works received the info requested from the applicant on February 20, 2013.


Please double check the density bonus. I calculate that there should only be 12 lots but 14 are proposed (maybe my math is wrong).

Christina Wollman, AICP CFM

Planner II | Floodplain Manager
Kittitas County Department of Public Works
411 N Ruby St, Suite 1 Ellensburg WA 98926
[p] 509.962.7051 | [f] 509.962.7663

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County email system and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to archiving and review.

message id: 38eb45916c6dcbdac24bb8719d004a14



KITTTITAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

MEMORANDUM

TO: Big Buck Ridge Plat file P-07-00040

DATE: May 6, 2013

FROM: Jan Ollivier, Transportation Manager 

RE: Transportation Impact Analysis for Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat

The Transportation Impact Analysis, prepared by Heffron Transportation, Inc. on February 19, 2013, incorrectly indicated that the intersection of SR 903 and Montgomery Avenue should meet the City of Cle Elum's level of service (LOS) standard D for intersection operations. Two legs of this intersection are SR 903, under WSDOT jurisdiction. I have contacted WSDOT regarding the LOS standard for SR 903 at this location and they confirmed that SR 903 has a LOS standard of C at this location until such a time that the City of Cle Elum adopts a LOS standard for highways within the city limits and coordinates this adoption with QUADCO and WSDOT.

The LOS analysis in this report indicated that the Montgomery Avenue legs of this intersection will operate at a LOS D under a worst case scenario. The SR 903 legs of the intersection are projected to operate at a LOS A. Because the legs of this intersection, that are projected to operate at a LOS D, are on the city's internal street network, and the city has indicated that LOS D is acceptable, Kittitas County finds that the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat meets concurrency requirements.

TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS
BIG BUCK RIDGE
CLUSTER PLAT
RESIDENTIAL PROJECT

PREPARED FOR:

Cory Andrus

PREPARED BY:

heffron

transportation, inc.

6544 NE 61st Street, Seattle, WA 98115
ph: (206) 523-3939 ♦ fx: (206) 523-4949

FEBRUARY 19, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Project Description	1
1.2. Methodology and Study Area	1
2. BACKGROUND CONDITIONS	4
2.1. Roadway Network	4
2.2. Traffic Volumes	5
2.3. Traffic Operations	7
2.4. Traffic Safety	7
3. PROJECT IMPACTS	8
3.1. Roadway Network	8
3.2. Project Trip Generation	8
3.3. Trip Distribution and Assignment	8
3.4. Traffic Operations	10
3.5. Traffic Safety	10
3.6. Site Access	10
4. SUMMARY	10

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A – Level of Service Definitions

FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map	2
Figure 2. Proposed Site Plat Map	3
Figure 3. Existing (2013) and Future (2022) Without-Project PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	6
Figure 4. Project Trip Assignment and Future (2022) With-Project PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes..	9

TABLES

Table 1. Level of Service – Existing and Future Without-Project PM Peak Hour Conditions	7
Table 2. Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project	8
Table 3. Level of Service – Future (Year 2022) PM Peak Hour Conditions	10

1. INTRODUCTION

This transportation impact analysis was prepared for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat residential project, located in Kittitas County, Washington. This analysis supports the permitting process, including review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The transportation subjects addressed in this report include the project's impacts to the roadway system, intersection operations, and traffic safety. The scope and methodology of this analysis was reviewed and confirmed by Kittitas County staff on February 1, 2013.

1.1. Project Description

The proposed project would construct 13 additional single-family residential homes on the proposed plat located on Big Buck Ridge Road, south of Big Trail Road. One home currently exists, so the completed plat would have 14 homes. The site is located north of the City of Cle Elem, and would connect to the City street system via Montgomery Avenue. An alternate route could occur via Columbia Avenue; however, at the recommendation of Kittitas County staff to present a conservative analysis, all traffic from the proposed development was assumed to use Montgomery Avenue. A site vicinity map is shown on Figure 1.

Access to the residential development would be from a new roadway connecting to Big Buck Ridge Road. The new roadway within the site would be constructed to meet Kittitas County private road standards. The proposed site plat map is shown on Figure 2.

1.2. Methodology and Study Area


This study was performed in accordance with standard practice for transportation impact analyses, and all analyses were performed under the direction of Marni Heffron, who is a registered Professional Engineer in Washington State. Key assumptions used in the transportation analysis were confirmed with Christina Wollman of the Kittitas County Public Works Department.¹

The proposed City Heights development property is located west of the project site. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)² was completed for this development in 2010. The EIS included a Transportation Element that assumed full build out of the City Heights project along with other significant proposed developments in the area, including the Suncadia plat south of the City Heights development. Key roadways and 17 intersections were evaluated in the City Heights analysis for full development, which was assumed for the year 2022. In addition, the proposed Forest Ridge Residential site is located east of the project site. A traffic impact analysis³ was completed for that project with an assumed horizon year of 2022. To be consistent with the analyses for the City Heights and Forest Ridge projects, the new analysis for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat development was also performed for the year 2022. This nine-year horizon is reasonable for development of this small plat. Traffic volumes assumed for the City Heights analysis and the Forest Ridge development were included in the future 2022 forecasts.

¹ Email confirmation from Christina Wollman, Planner II, Floodplain Manager, February 1, 2013.

² City Heights Final EIS, November 10, 2010.

³ Forest Ridge Residential Project – REVISED Transportation Impact Analysis, (Heffron Transportation, Inc., November 19, 2009).



BIG BUCK RIDGE
CLUSTER PLAT

Figure 2
PROPOSED SITE PLAT MAP

heffron
transportation, inc.

02/18/2013

31

The City Heights Draft EIS forecast future traffic volumes by incorporating traffic associated with all permitted but not yet constructed development projects in the area that could be completed by the year 2022. By the time the Final EIS was issued, the City agreed this level of growth was too aggressive and not likely to occur, and the City recommended applying an annual growth rate of 3% per year for a 14-year growth period (from 2008 to 2022). Four years since those forecasts were prepared, new traffic counts along SR 903 determined that there has been almost no growth during the PM peak hour since 2008. However, to provide a conservative evaluation, traffic volume forecasts from the City Heights FEIS were used to forecast year 2022 traffic volumes for this analysis.

The PM peak hour was evaluated for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat project since past studies have shown that this is the time of day when area traffic volumes are at the highest. The proposed project would also generate the highest number of trips during the PM peak hour.

The study area for this analysis includes the E 1st Street (SR 903)/Montgomery Avenue intersection. This intersection could experience the highest increase in traffic associated with the project since it would serve traffic to and from I-90, both east and west of Cle Elum, and Montgomery Avenue connects directly to Big Buck Ridge Road.

2. BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

This section describes the existing roadway network, traffic volumes, traffic operations at the study intersection, and safety. It also describes how these conditions may change in the future without the proposed project. Future analysis was performed for the year 2022 to be consistent with analysis performed for the City Heights and Forest Ridge developments.

2.1. Roadway Network

The Big Buck Cluster Plat site is located just north of the Cle Elum city limits. Descriptions of the main roadways that would serve this site are below.

State Route 903 (SR 903) (Also E 1st Street) is a state highway, classified as a Rural Collector that connects from the I-90/SR 970/SR 903 interchange to the City of Roslyn, Suncadia Resort, to northwest of the City of Ronald, where it continues as Salmon La Sac Road. SR 903 is a two-lane paved roadway, and has some areas of very wide paved shoulders (up to 16 feet), and some areas with no shoulders. From SR 970 to Montgomery Avenue, the road has wide travel lanes, wide shoulders, on-street parking and only a few block faces with sidewalks, curb or gutter. The posted speed limit in this section is 30 mph.

Montgomery Avenue is local access north-south roadway with one travel lane in each direction. This roadway has wide gravel shoulders and on-street parking. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the street south of 3rd Street. The speed limit is 25.

Big Buck Ridge Road is an unimproved local access roadway that serves the existing single-family home on the proposed site. This roadway connects to Big Tail Road; a two-lane roadway that provides access to a limited number of residential lots.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) has plans for widening and improving SR 903, as documented in its *Route Development Plan*.⁴ This document presented long range (20-


⁴ WSDOT, January 2004.

year) plans out year 2024 to make improvements along this corridor that support congestion relief, economic initiatives, and safety requirements. Some of the recommended improvements have been completed. The improvements identified for the roadway include widening SR 903 from Oakes Avenue to Ranger Station Road (and extending the existing two-way left-turn lane to Floral Avenue), channelizing major intersections from Ranger Station Road to Roslyn, and constructing a two-way left-turn lane from Ranger Station Road to Roslyn). These recommendations include a Modified Design Level with 12-foot minimum lane widths, six-foot minimum paved shoulders in unincorporated areas and the City of Roslyn, and at least eight feet of paved parking, sidewalks, curb, and gutter (assumed for the downtown area) in the City of Cle Elum. However, none of these improvements would change the analysis evaluation between existing and future conditions. Therefore, at the SR 903 (1st Street)/Montgomery Avenue intersection the geometry was assumed to remain the same as existing for the future 2022 conditions.

2.2. Traffic Volumes

The City Heights EIS presented existing traffic volumes for the year 2008. New traffic counts performed in 2012 along SR 903, west of the site determined that no growth has occurred in the SR 903 corridor over the past four years. Therefore traffic volumes from 2008 were used to represent 2013 existing traffic volumes for this study. These are shown on Figure 3.

The proposed Big Buck Cluster Plat is anticipated to be complete sometime in the next decade or so. Therefore, the year 2022 was selected for analysis, which provides for mid-to long-range planning, and is consistent with the future analysis performed for the City Heights EIS and other development projects in the area. Although no growth has occurred in the past four years, the future forecasts developed for the City Heights Final EIS (which included a 3% per year growth rate plus the City Heights traffic) and traffic associated with the nearby Forest Ridge project, was used as the future-without-project condition for this analysis. The 2022 without-project traffic volumes are also shown on Figure 3.



KEY:
 XX EXISTING VOLUMES
 (XX) FUTURE WITHOUT-PROJECT TRAFFIC VOLUMES

**BIG BUCK RIDGE
 CLUSTER PLAT**

Figure 3
 EXISTING (2013) AND FUTURE (2022) WITHOUT PROJECT
 PM PEAK TRAFFIC VOLUMES



2.3. Traffic Operations

Kittitas County staff requested level of service analysis for the SR 903/Montgomery Avenue intersection to determine how the proposed project would affect traffic operations. Levels of service (LOS) analyses for this unsignalized intersection were performed for the PM peak hour traffic volumes. Level of service is a qualitative measure used to characterize traffic operating conditions. Six letter designations, “A” through “F,” are used to define level of service. LOS A is the best and represents good traffic operations with little or no delay to motorists. LOS F is the worst and indicates poor traffic operations with long delays. Appendix A presents the level of service criteria for signalized and unsignalized intersections. Level of service for the study area intersection was analyzed using methodologies found in the *Highway Capacity Manual*⁵ and Trafficware’s *Synchro 8.0* analysis software. Results for the unsignalized intersection were reported using the *HCM* module.

Table 1 summarizes level of service estimated for existing and future 2022 without-project conditions. The City of Cle Elum has established LOS D as its standard for intersection operations. Kittitas County has adopted LOS C for rural facilities and LOS D for urban facilities. WSDOT generally prefers LOS C for rural locations and LOS D for urban conditions. The study intersection is located within the City of Cle Elum; therefore, the urban condition of LOS D should apply. All movements at the study intersection are estimated to continue operating above the established LOS standards for all jurisdictions in the future (year 2022) without the proposed project complete.

Table 1. Level of Service – Existing and Future Without-Project PM Peak Hour Conditions

Unsignalized Intersection	Existing (2013)		2022 w/o Project	
	LOS ¹	Delay ²	LOS	Delay
SR 903 / Montgomery Avenue				
Eastbound Approach	A	0.2	A	0.9
Westbound Approach	A	0.0	A	0.1
Southbound Approach	B	12.4	D	29.3
Northbound Approach	A	0.0	C	24.6

Source: Heffron Transportation, Inc., February 2013.

1. Level of service.
2. Average seconds of delay per vehicle.

2.4. Traffic Safety

Collision data were obtained from WSDOT for the SR 903/Montgomery Avenue intersection. Data for the three and one-half year period between January 1, 2009 and July 31, 2012 were collected. During this time, there were zero reported collisions. Therefore, no unusual safety conditions currently exist at this location.

⁵ Transportation Research Board. 2010.

3. PROJECT IMPACTS

This section of the report describes the conditions that would exist with the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat, which would construct up to an additional 13 single-family homes to a plat that currently has one occupied single-family home. Although some of these units could be recreational homes, for the purpose of this analysis, all of the homes were evaluated as primary residences that are occupied year round.

3.1. Roadway Network

The proposed project is not proposing to change any of the existing roadways in the area. The internal access road would be built to Kittitas County private road standards.

3.2. Project Trip Generation

Trip generation for the residential project was determined using rates in *Trip Generation*.⁶ Trip generation was determined based on the average rates for Single-Family Residential (Land Use Code 210). The estimated trips associated with the existing single-family home on the site are also included. Table 2 summarizes the proposed project’s total and net vehicle trip generation. As shown, the proposed project is anticipated to generate an additional 120 vehicle trips per day, 10 vehicle trips during the AM peak hour, and 13 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

Table 2. Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project

Single-Family Residential	Dwelling Units	Daily Trips	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Full-Build	14	130	3	8	11	9	5	14
Existing	-1	-10	0	-1	-1	-1	0	-1
Net Increase	13	120	3	7	10	8	5	13

Source: Heffron Transportation, Inc., February 2013.

3.3. Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution pattern for this project was estimated based on the site’s location relative to the commercial and retail activities in South Cle Elum and Cle Elum; and access to I-90. During the PM peak hour, most residential trips are related to residents returning from work; however, some could also be shopping trips or other non-work activities. To analyze a conservative condition, all proposed project trips were assumed to use the study intersection with a similar travel pattern as the forecast volumes at this location. This included about 30% of the traffic to/from the west and 70% to/from the east, both along SR 903 (E 1st Street). The proposed project trips assignment is shown on Figure 4.

The project traffic was added to the 2022 without-project traffic forecasts described previously. The resulting year 2022 with-Big Buck Cluster Plat traffic volumes are also shown on Figure 4.

⁶ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), 9th Edition, 2012.




Figure 4
 PROJECT TRIP ASSIGNMENT AND FUTURE (2022) WITH-PROJECT
 PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

3.4. Traffic Operations

A level of service for the study area intersection was calculated using the 2022-with-project traffic volumes and the methodology described earlier in this report. Table 3 shows the results of the analysis; the without-project results are shown for comparison. The traffic volumes associated with the proposed Big Buck Cluster Plat would degrade the level of service for the northbound approach (driveway) of the study intersection. However, the increase in delay on this approach during the PM peak hour is estimated to be less than a half of a second, which would not be a noticeable change. This approach and the other approaches would continue to operate at acceptable levels with the proposed project complete.

Table 3. Level of Service – Future (Year 2022) PM Peak Hour Conditions

Unsignalized Intersection	2022 w/o Project		2022 w/ Project	
	LOS ¹	Delay ²	LOS	Delay
SR 903 / Montgomery Avenue				
Eastbound Approach	A	0.9	A	1.0
Westbound Approach	A	0.1	A	0.1
Southbound Approach	D	29.3	D	30.5
Northbound Approach	C	24.6	D	25.0

Source: Heffron Transportation, Inc., February 2013.

1. Level of service.
2. Average seconds of delay per vehicle.

3.5. Traffic Safety

The project would result in a small increase in vehicular traffic in the study area. The additional vehicular traffic that would be generated by the project is not expected to result in any new adverse impacts to safety conditions in the study area.

3.6. Site Access

The exact location of the proposed site access roadway is not yet known. When it is designed, it will be required to meet Kittitas County requirements, including meeting minimum sight distances where the new roadway intersects either Big Buck Ridge Road or Big Tail Road.

4. SUMMARY

The project would not result in significant adverse impacts to traffic conditions. No transportation mitigation would be required to satisfy the proposed development of the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat.

REFERENCES

City Heights Draft EIS, Transportation System, April 2010.

City Heights Final EIS, Chapter 2: Comments and Responses, November 2010.

Email correspondence with Christina Wollman to Michelle Brown, February 1, 2013.

Forest Ridge Residential Project – REVISED Transportation Impact Analysis, (Heffron Transportation, Inc., November 19, 2009).

Institute of Transportation Engineers, *Trip Generation*, 9th Edition, 2012.

Transportation Research Board, *Highway Capacity Manual*, 2010.

Washington State Department of Transportation, Collision Data – Obtained February 2013.

Washington State Department of Transportation, *Route Development Plan*, January 2004.

APPENDIX A

LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

Levels of service (LOS) are qualitative descriptions of traffic operating conditions. These levels of service are designated with letters ranging from LOS A, which is indicative of good operating conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, which is indicative of stop-and-go conditions with frequent and lengthy delays. Levels of service for this analysis were developed using procedures presented in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (Transportation Research Board, 2010).

Level of service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of delay. Delay can be a cause of driver discomfort, frustration, inefficient fuel consumption, and lost travel time. Specifically, level of service criteria are stated in terms of the average delay per vehicle in seconds. Delay is a complex measure and is dependent on a number of variables including: the quality of progression, cycle length, green ratio, and a volume-to-capacity ratio for the lane group or approach in question. Table A-1 shows the level of service criteria for signalized intersections from the *Highway Capacity Manual*.

Table A-1. Level of Service Criteria

Level of Service	Average Delay Per Vehicle	General Description
A	Less than 10.0 Seconds	Free flow
B	10.1 to 20.0 seconds	Stable flow (slight delays)
C	20.1 to 35.0 seconds	Stable flow (acceptable delays)
D	35.1 to 55.0 seconds	Approaching unstable flow (tolerable delay—occasionally wait through more than one signal cycle before proceeding.
E	55.1 to 80.0 seconds	Unstable flow (approaching intolerable delay)
F	Greater than 80.0 seconds	Forced flow (jammed)

Source: Transportation Research Board, *Highway Capacity Manual*, 2010.

For unsignalized two-way-stop-controlled, all-way-stop-controlled, and roundabout intersections, level of service is based on the average delay per vehicle. The level of service for a two-way, stop-controlled intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. Delay is related to the availability of gaps in the main street's traffic flow, and the ability of a driver to enter or pass through those gaps. The delay at an all-way, stop-sign (AWSC) controlled intersection is based on saturation headways, departure headways, and service times. Delay at roundabouts is based on entry flow rates and flow rate capacity. Table A-2 shows the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections from the *Highway Capacity Manual*.

Table A-2. Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Delay (seconds per vehicle)
A	Less than 10.0
B	10.1 to 15.0
C	15.1 to 25.0
D	25.1 to 35.0
E	35.1 to 50.0
F	Greater than 50.0

Source: Transportation Research Board, *Highway Capacity Manual*, 2010.

From: [Cory Andrus](#)
To: [Christina Wollman](#); [Jan Ollivier](#)
Cc: wayne@lgh-inc.com; "[Cory Andrus](#)"
Subject: FW: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat
Date: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:55:39 PM
Attachments: [Big Buck Cluster Plat - TIA.pdf](#)

Hi Christina and Jan

We can make changes to the TIA at this point please inform me of any changes needed
Otherwise we will assume this will complete all items needed to get entitlement to build Andrus
Cluster Plat

Thanks Cory

From: Michelle Brown [<mailto:michelle@hefftrans.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:20 PM
To: cory@pro-vac.com
Cc: 'Marni Heffron'
Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat


Hello Cory,

Attached is the transportation impact analysis for your plat. Please let me know if there is anything
that needs to be changed. If not, this document can be sent to Kittitas County for review.

Thank you, and best of luck with your plans.

~Michelle~

Michelle M. Brown
Senior Transportation Engineer
Heffron Transportation, Inc. | www.hefftrans.com
(425) 379-5689 | michelle@hefftrans.com



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships - Building Communities"

October 23, 2012

Cory & Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (LP-07-00040)

Dear Applicant,

We have received your request for an additional extension for submitting additional information to our plat application on October 9, 2012. Community Development Services is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (LP-07-00040). The new deadline for providing the requested information will be **February 21, 2013**.

Kittitas County Code 15A.03.040 stipulates that upon the County's request for additional information, the application "...shall expire after 180 calendar days unless the requested supplemental information is submitted in complete form." To date, 1895 days have elapsed since the County's initial request dated August 16, 2007. Please be advised that Community Development Services not issue any additional extensions due to the language of the code. If the information is not received by the new extension date, the application will be considered "not complete" and will have to be re-filed with the department.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Robert "Doc" Hansen
Planning Official
Kittitas County Community Development Services

CC via email: Wayne Nelson Encompass Engineering
Neil Caulkins Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Jeff Watson

From: Wayne Nelsen <wnelsen@encompasses.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 2:02 PM
To: Jeff Watson
Cc: Cory Andrus
Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40)
Attachments: Big Buck Ridge P-07-40 Extension.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jeff:

Please accept this email as a request for an extension for submitting the required additional information on the above referenced PBCP application. The current deadline expires October 24, 2012 and I've attached a copy of the existing extension for your reference. Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information and thanks for your assistance on this matter.

Wayne Nelsen



"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

June 26, 2012

Cory & Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40)

Dear Cory & Becky,

We have received your request for an additional extension for submitting additional information to our plat application on June 26, 2012. Community Development Services is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40). The new deadline for providing the requested information will be October 24, 2012.

Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress in providing the requested additional information.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
Staff Planner



Cory Andrus  

6622 112th St. E • Puyallup, WA 98373
phone: (253) 435-4328
fax: (253) 435-5788
cell: (253) 405-4974
web: www.pro-vac.com
e-mail: cory@pro-vac.com

DRAIN & SEWER CLEANING >> PIPE JETTING >>
CCTV INSPECTION >> SEPTIC PUMPING >>
ENVIRONMENTAL WASTE DISPOSAL >>

Free Estimates • Competitive Rates • Experienced Operators
New State of the Art Equipment • Quality Work Guaranteed

June 26, 2012

Dan Valoff
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby Street, Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926


Re: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40

Dear Mr. Valoff:

Please accept this letter as a formal request for additional time to provide the required traffic impact analysis related to the above referenced performance based cluster subdivision. It is our intent to have the analysis completed within six months and to reach a resolution between the City of Cle Elum and Kittitas County related to potential mitigation and/or impact fees.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss this further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Cory Andrus

Dan Valoff

From: Jan Ollivier
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 11:10 AM
To: 'Wayne Nelsen'
Cc: Christina Wollman; Dan Valoff; Kirk Holmes
Subject: RE: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40) SEPA Review

Wayne:

The Big Buck applicant should reference the City Heights EIS traffic data - but it can't be used as a substitute for the requirement.

The City Heights traffic study identifies its impacts on Cle Elum streets and the development agreement states their proportional cost to mitigate these impacts. Also, the City Heights traffic study says it considered pipe line projects - but it didn't indicate that Big Buck was considered within that background development growth. So the LOS analysis may or may not include the traffic impacts from Big Buck (an increase of about 134 ADT). And the City Heights impacts would be more widely dispersed due to the layout of their proposal.

We need to know the specific traffic impacts that Big Buck has on the system. Also, the SEPA requirements that were applied to the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat from the Andrus Rezone must be addressed. These were as follows:

- I. Transportation (C) At the time of a project action, the applicant shall submit a stamped traffic analysis from a licensed engineer in the State of Washington considering among other factors, intersection spacing, sight distances, traffic volumes, load bearing capacity of soils, pavement thickness design, etc. Reference current Kittitas County Road Standards.

The City Heights traffic analysis does have data that can be used by Big Buck, and should be included to determine the background traffic growth anticipated at full build out. But there is a lot more information that the applicant must convey to the County that is not provided in another development's traffic analysis. More information is needed to consider the specific development's traffic impacts, to determine whether or not the project can receive approval, and to identify mitigation measures.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Jan Ollivier
Transportation Manager
Kittitas County
509-962-7523

From: Wayne Nelsen [mailto:wnelsen@encompasses.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 12:17 PM
To: Jan Ollivier
Cc: Christina Wollman; Dan Valoff
Subject: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40) SEPA Review

Jan:

I met with Dan and Christina this morning to discuss the SEPA review for Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40). As part of the MDNS issued for the underlying non-project rezone (Z-06-23) of the subject property, a TIA would be required at the time of project action. However, since that MDNS was issued, the Final EIS for City Heights has been adopted, which addresses the exact roadways in question serving Big Buck Ridge. As such, I would suggest that the environmental information already prepared is sufficient for the county to proceed with their threshold determination for Big Buck Ridge. The following information is provided from DOE's SEPA Handbook:

2.7 Use of Existing Documents

It is often possible to use existing documents to satisfy all or part of the requirements of SEPA. Existing environmental documents that analyze all or part of the environmental impacts of a proposal may be adopted, addended, or incorporated by reference. If there are any remaining environmental concerns, they can be addressed in supplemental analysis—such as a supplemental EIS or by an addendum issued with the new threshold determination.

The use of existing documents is particularly important for GMA cities and counties that have completed environmental analysis for their comprehensive plans and development regulations. This analysis should be used as the starting point for review of individual projects, allowing project review to focus on just those aspects that have not yet been addressed. GMA cities and counties also have available the new Planned Action process, where formal SEPA review is completed prior to proponents submitting permit applications for specific projects.

SEPA documents do not have expiration dates. After SEPA is completed, if a proposal is delayed so that new permits must be applied for, environmental review may be limited to verifying that there is no new information, regulatory changes, or changes to the proposal that would require additional review. (This is true even if the applicant has changed.) As long as there are no changes to be addressed, no additional paperwork is required and agencies may proceed with permit decisions [WAC 197-11-600].

2.7.2 Incorporation by Reference

Incorporation by reference [WAC 197-11-625 and 754] is very similar in substance to adopting a document, in that all, or part, of the incorporated document becomes part of the agency environmental documentation for a proposal. Unlike the adoption process that is limited to environmental documents issued under either SEPA or NEPA, any information may be incorporated by reference. This may include any study or report that provides information relevant to a proposal.

To incorporate documents by reference, the document must be identified in the current checklist, threshold determination, or EIS, and the content briefly described. The adoption form is not used.

Thanks for your consideration of this matter and please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Wayne Nelsen
WNelsen@EncompassES.net

Encompass Engineering & Surveying Together With **Baima & Holmberg**

Western Washington Division | 165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 | Issaquah, WA 98027 | Phone: (425) 392-0250 | Fax: (425) 391-3055

Eastern Washington Division | 108 East 2nd Street | Cle Elum, WA 98922 | Phone: (509) 674-7433 | Fax: (509) 674-7419

Encompass Engineering & Surveying, its officers or agents shall not be responsible for the accuracy or completeness of electronic file copies.

Due to the potential that information exchanged by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified, intentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than Encompass Engineering & Surveying shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to Encompass Engineering & Surveying. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. If there is a discrepancy between the hard copy and the electronic copy, the hard copy will govern. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents.

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Institutional Email System and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to public information request.

ms: sge:nb:3:tel:425:0:ext:464:486:7:1:edges:4:14

City of Cle Elum
119 West First Street
Cle Elum, WA 98922



Telephone: (509) 674-2262
Fax: (509) 674-4097
www.cityofcleelum.com

January 13, 2012

Kittitas County Department of Public Works
Attention: Christina Wollman
411 N. Ruby Street, Suite 1
Ellensburg, WA 98926


Re: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)


Dear Ms. Wollman:

Thank you for the opportunity for the City of Cle Elum to provide comments on the above referenced cluster subdivision. As we understand the project, access to the property is via Montgomery Avenue, an existing city street. This area was fully evaluated as part of the EIS prepared for City Heights and the City will not require any additional studies or improvements specific to the proposed development of Big Buck Ridge. However we believe the proportionate share of Road Impacts Mitigation to be \$475 per lot, payable to the City of Cle Elum at time of Short Plat Approval. This mitigation figure is derived from total mitigation (proportional impact based on traffic study and concurrency analysis) prepared for the CESS Environmental Impact Statement.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you should require any additional information.

Sincerely,


Matt Morton
City Administrator



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

January 11, 2012

Cory & Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40)

Dear Cory & Becky,

We have received your request for an additional extension for submitting additional information to our plat application on January 11, 2012. Community Development Services is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40). The new deadline for providing the requested information will be June 27, 2012.

Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress in providing the requested additional information.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
Staff Planner

Cc: Wayne Nelson, Encompass Engineering & Surveying via e-mail

Dan Valoff

From: Wayne Nelsen [wnelsen@encompasses.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 11:16 AM
To: Dan Valoff
Cc: Cory Andrus
Subject: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Dan:

Please accept this email as a written request for an extension to the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. As we discussed at the meeting today, the owners are still working towards resolving the issues related to the Traffic Impact Analysis and therefore, we respectfully request an additional 120 day extension. Please note that the existing extension will expire February 28, 2012, and we would also request that the new extension is granted from that date.

Thanks again for your assistance and please let me know if you need any additional information.

Wayne Nelsen
WNelsen@EncompassES.net


Encompass Engineering & Surveying
Together With
Baima & Holmberg

Western Washington Division | 165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 | Issaquah, WA 98027 | Phone: (425) 392-0250 | Fax: (425) 391-3055

Eastern Washington Division | 108 East 2nd Street | Cle Elum, WA 98922 | Phone: (509) 674-7433 | Fax: (509) 674-7419

Encompass Engineering & Surveying, its officers or agents shall not be responsible for the accuracy or completeness of electronic file copies.

Due to the potential that information exchanged by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified, intentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than Encompass Engineering & Surveying shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to Encompass Engineering & Surveying. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. If there is a discrepancy between the hard copy and the electronic copy, the hard copy will govern. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

September 30, 2011

Cory & Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40)

Dear Cory & Becky,

We have received your request for an additional extension for submitting additional information to our plat application on September 29, 2011. Community Development Services is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40). The new deadline for providing the requested information will be February 28, 2012.

Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress in providing the requested additional information.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
Staff Planner

Cc: Wayne Nelson, Encompass Engineering & Surveying via e-mail

Dan Valoff

From: Wayne Nelsen [wnelsen@encompasses.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 3:19 PM
To: Dan Valoff
Subject: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Dan:

Please accept this email as a written request for an extension to the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. Unfortunately, the circumstances related to the plat have not changed and the owners are continuing to make every effort to proceed in a timely manner. Therefore, we respectfully request that an additional 120 days to be granted in order to complete the required traffic impact analysis in order for CDS to continue processing the plat.

Please note that the existing extension will expire October 28, 2011. Thank you for your assistance and please let me know if you need any additional information.

Wayne Nelsen
WNelsen@EncompassES.net


Encompass Engineering & Surveying
Together With
Baima & Holmberg

Western Washington Division | 165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 | Issaquah, WA 98027 | Phone: (425) 392-0250 | Fax: (425) 391-3055

Eastern Washington Division | 108 East 2nd Street | Cle Elum, WA 98922 | Phone: (509) 674-7433 | Fax: (509) 674-7419

Encompass Engineering & Surveying, its officers or agents shall not be responsible for the accuracy or completeness of electronic file copies.

Due to the potential that information exchanged by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified, intentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than Encompass Engineering & Surveying shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to Encompass Engineering & Surveying. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. If there is a discrepancy between the hard copy and the electronic copy, the hard copy will govern. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents.



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships - Building Communities"

June 27, 2011

Cory & Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Dear Cory & Becky,

Community Development Services is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat (P-07-40). The new deadline for providing the requested information will be October 28, 2011.

Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress in providing the requested additional information.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
Staff Planner

Cc: Wayne Nelson, Encompass Engineering & Surveying via e-mail

Dan Valoff

From: Wayne Nelsen [wnelsen@encompasses.net]
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 11:21 AM
To: Dan Valoff
Subject: RE: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Dan:

Please accept this email as a written request for an extension to the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. The circumstances related to the plat have not changed and the owners are making every effort to proceed in a timely manner. Therefore, we respectfully request that an additional 120 days to be granted in order to complete the required traffic impact analysis in order for CDS to continue processing the plat.

Please note that the existing extension will expire June 30, 2011, so any effort to expedite your response would greatly be appreciated. Thank you for your assistance and please let me know if you need any additional information.

Wayne Nelsen
WNelsen@EncompassES.net

Encompass Engineering & Surveying
Together With
Baima & Holmberg

Western Washington Division | 165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 | Issaquah, WA 98027 | Phone: (425) 392-0250 | Fax: (425) 391-3055
Eastern Washington Division | 108 East 2nd Street | Cle Elum, WA 98922 | Phone: (509) 674-7433 | Fax: (509) 674-7419

Encompass Engineering & Surveying, its officers or agents shall not be responsible for the accuracy or completeness of electronic file copies.

Due to the potential that information exchanged by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified, intentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than Encompass Engineering & Surveying shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to Encompass Engineering & Surveying. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. If there is a discrepancy between the hard copy and the electronic copy, the hard copy will govern. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents.

From: Dan Valoff [mailto:dan.valoff@co.kittitas.wa.us]
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Wayne Nelsen
Subject: RE: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Wayne,
Not a problem, just get me something before the 30th.

Dan Valoff
Staff Planner

Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N Ruby Street Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926
dan.valoff@co.kittitas.wa.us
P: 509.962.7637
F: 509.962.7682



All e-mail sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County e-mail system and may be subject to Public Disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and is subject to archiving and review by someone other than the recipient.

From: Wayne Nelsen [mailto:wnelsen@encompasses.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 9:49 AM
To: Dan Valoff
Subject: Big Buck Ridge (P-07-40)

Dan:

Cory and Becky Andrus have asked me to apply for an extension for their preliminary plat. It expires June 30th, so I wanted to check to see if the 30-day window would be an issue.

Thanks,

Wayne Nelsen
WNelsen@EncompassES.net

Encompass Engineering & Surveying
Together With
Baima & Holmberg

Western Washington Division | 165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 | Issaquah, WA 98027 | Phone: (425) 392-0250 | Fax: (425) 391-3055


Eastern Washington Division | 108 East 2nd Street | Cle Elum, WA 98922 | Phone: (509) 674-7433 | Fax: (509) 674-7419

Encompass Engineering & Surveying, its officers or agents shall not be responsible for the accuracy or completeness of electronic file copies.

Due to the potential that information exchanged by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified, intentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than Encompass Engineering & Surveying shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to Encompass Engineering & Surveying. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. If there is a discrepancy between the hard copy and the electronic copy, the hard copy will govern. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents.

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County email system and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to archiving and review.

message id: 38cb4b916c6dcbdac24bb8/19d004a14



KITITITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITITITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

October 17, 2010

Cory and Becky Andrus
PO Box 785
140 Bigbuck Ridge
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Dear Cory and Becky Andrus,

The Community Development Services Department is granting your request for an extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. The new deadline for providing the requested traffic impact analysis will be June 30, 2011.

Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress on providing the required traffic impact analysis in order to continuing processing the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at:

Phone: 509-962-7523

Email: jan.ollivier@co.kittitas.wa.us

Sincerely,

Jan Ollivier
Interim Planning Manager

cc: Kirk Holmes, Interim Planning Director
Dan Valoff, Planner II
Christina Wollman, Planner II

October 19, 2010



Kittitas County Developmental Services,

Subject: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40

As you can see by the (4) attached photos, Montgomery Ave and Deer Creek Rd have had detours due to road construction. I am unable to provide a TIA at this time. I would like an extension until June 30, 2011. This should allow time for the construction to be finished during the spring months.

Thank You,


Becky Andrus
509-304-7015


pg 1 of 5





P-07-40 245



P-01







KITTTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

June 25, 2010

Cory and Becky Andrus
PO Box 785
140 Bigbuck Ridge
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Dear Cory and Becky Andrus,

The Community Development Services Department is granting your request for a 120 day extension for the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. The new deadline for providing the requested traffic impact analysis will be October 26, 2010.


Thank you for providing information to indicate that you are making progress on providing the required traffic impact analysis in order to continuing processing the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at:

Phone: 509-962-7523

Email: jan.ollivier@co.kittitas.wa.us

Sincerely,



Jan Ollivier
Interim Planning Manager

cc: Kirk Holmes, Interim Planning Director
Dan Valoff, Planner II
Christina Wollman, Planner II

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2010

KITTITAS COUNTY
DEPT OF PUBLICWORKS



Dear Jan,

Based on our discussion with Heffron Transportation Inc, I am requesting a 120 day extension so that they may complete the traffic analysis study as requested by Kittitas County for Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat.

Thank you for your consideration,

Becky Andrus

BECKY ANDRUS

509 304-7015

140 Bigbuck Ridge

Cle Elum, WA 98922

June 14, 2010

Cory Andrus
140 Bigbuck Ridge Road
Cle Elum, WA 98922

E-mail to: cory@pro-vac.com

**Subject: Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat
Proposal for Transportation Planning Services**

Dear Cory,

Thank you for asking Heffron Transportation to assist you by preparing a simple traffic analysis per Kittitas County's request. We understand that the plat will have 14 lots (one of which already has a house), and be located near the proposed Forest Ridge project. Access into Cle Elum will be provided by a loop that connects to Montgomery Avenue and Columbia Avenue.

Kittitas County has requested analysis of the access onto Montgomery Avenue. To do this, we will estimate project trips and visit the site to review sight distance. Unless we hear otherwise, we assume that the proposed plat access would connect to Montgomery where the existing house driveway connects today. In addition, using information we have from other studies in Cle Elum, we will evaluate the project's impact to operations at one off-site intersection (likely E 1st Street/Columbia Avenue). The scope of work and budget estimate for this project are included as Attachment A. Our standard contract provisions are included as Attachment B. We estimate that the outlined scope of work will cost \$3,300 to complete. This does not include attending public meetings or hearings. If needed, our attendance at such hearings would occur on a time-and-materials basis.

Because you are a new client, we request a retainer of \$2,000 to begin work. If the attached scope of work, budget, and standard contract provisions are acceptable, please sign where indicated below and return this proposal to me with the retainer. Receipt of these items will serve as our notice-to-proceed. We estimate that it will take six weeks from notice-to-proceed to complete this work (because of planned vacations). Please call me at (206) 523-3939 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Heffron Transportation, Inc.

In Agreement With Scope, Budget, and Standard Provisions



Marni C. Heffron, P.E., P.T.O.E
President

Signature

LKC/mch

Date: _____


Attachments: Attachment A – Scope of Work and Budget Estimate
Attachment B – Standard Contract Provisions

BIG BUCK RIDGE PLAT - PROPOSAL.DOC

heffron

transportation, inc.

FAX TRANSMITTAL

Date: 6-14-10	# Pages: 5
To: BECKY ANDRUS	Fax: 1-509-962-7682
40 FRONT DESK OR JAN OLLIVIER	
From: Marni Heffron	Fax: (206) 523-4949
Company: Heffron Transportation, Inc.	Phone: (206) 523-3939
<p>Message:</p> <p>THIS FAX IS TO THE OWNER OF THE BIGBUCK RIDGE CLUSTER PLAT. SHE IS AT THE FRONT DESK.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">   </div>	

14



June 14, 2010

Cory Andrus
140 Bigbuck Ridge Road
Cle Elum, WA 98922

E-mail to: cory@pro-vac.com

**Subject: Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat
Proposal for Transportation Planning Services**

Dear Cory,

Thank you for asking Heffron Transportation to assist you by preparing a simple traffic analysis per Kittitas County's request. We understand that the plat will have 14 lots (one of which already has a house), and be located near the proposed Forest Ridge project. Access into Cle Elum will be provided by a loop that connects to Montgomery Avenue and Columbia Avenue.

Kittitas County has requested analysis of the access onto Montgomery Avenue. To do this, we will estimate project trips and visit the site to review sight distance. Unless we hear otherwise, we assume that the proposed plat access would connect to Montgomery where the existing house driveway connects today. In addition, using information we have from other studies in Cle Elum, we will evaluate the project's impact to operations at one off-site intersection (likely E 1st Street/Columbia Avenue). The scope of work and budget estimate for this project are included as Attachment A. Our standard contract provisions are included as Attachment B. We estimate that the outlined scope of work will cost \$3,300 to complete. This does not include attending public meetings or hearings. If needed, our attendance at such hearings would occur on a time-and-materials basis.

Because you are a new client, we request a retainer of \$2,000 to begin work. If the attached scope of work, budget, and standard contract provisions are acceptable, please sign where indicated below and return this proposal to me with the retainer. Receipt of these items will serve as our notice-to-proceed. We estimate that it will take six weeks from notice-to-proceed to complete this work (because of planned vacations). Please call me at (206) 523-3939 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Heffron Transportation, Inc.

In Agreement With Scope, Budget, and Standard Provisions

Marni C. Heffron, P.E., P.T.O.E
President

Signature

LKC/mch

Date: _____

Attachments: Attachment A – Scope of Work and Budget Estimate
Attachment B – Standard Contract Provisions

BIG BUCK RIDGE PLAT - PROPOSAL.DOC

Attachment A



Transportation Impact Analysis for Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat Project

Scope of Work

The study area for this project will focus on the site access driveway and one intersection in the site vicinity. We assume we will use data available from past studies we have performed near your site. The following presents our scope of work.

Task 1 – Determine site trip generation. We will estimate the daily and peak hour trip generation for the 13 additional residential lots. As a worst-case condition, we will assume that these are year-round residences.

Task 2 – Assign trips to roadway network. We will assign the net increase in site trips to the local roadway network based on the travel pattern assumed for the nearby Forest Ridge project and the travel time on the two routes (Montgomery and Columbia) into town.

Task 3 – Evaluate off-site intersection impact. Future background traffic volumes have been forecast as part of previous analyses. We will determine what level of background traffic growth would be applicable for the anticipated build-out of the Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat. We will perform level-of-service analysis for one off-site intersection with the highest impact and/or background level of congestion. No new traffic volume data will be collected for this task.

Task 4 – Evaluate site access. We will visit the site to assess the sight distance for the proposed plat driveway. Unless we hear otherwise, we assume that the proposed plat access would connect to Montgomery where the existing house driveway connects today. We will measure available sight distance and compare that to the county's minimum requirements. If needed, we will recommend mitigation such as re-grading berms or moving the driveway to a location that meets the sight requirements.

Task 5 – Prepare report. We will prepare a transportation impact analysis report summarizing the above information. We will provide you with a draft report to review before it is submitted to the County.

Task 6 – Attend Meeting. We have assumed no additional meetings as part of our work. We will notify you when in town to measure the sight distance and review the area, and we can meet during that visit, if needed.

Attachment A (cont.)
Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat
Proposal for Transportation Impact Analysis



Budget Estimate

The following table presents our budget estimate by task. We expect that the scope of work outlined above would cost approximately \$3,300. All work would be performed on a time-and-materials basis not to exceed this amount. If either the project site plan or year of opening changes during our analysis, adjustments to our budget and/or schedule may be required. If work outside of the outlined scope is required, we will work with you to adjust the scope and budget as needed.

Table 1. Budget Estimate for Bigbuck Ridge Cluster Plat

Tasks	Hour Estimate		Cost
	QC Principal	Project Manager	
Task 1 - Determine site trip generation	0	2	
Task 2- Assign trips to roadway network	0	2	
Task 3 - Evaluate one off-site intersection	0	2	
Task 4 - Visit site and evaluate driveway	0	6	
Task 5 - Prepare report	3	6	
Task 6 - Attend Meeting (none)	0	0	
Total Hours	3	18	
Hourly Labor Rates (for Year 2010)	\$184	\$126	
Total Labor Costs	\$ 552	\$2,268	\$2,820
Expenses:			
Travel			\$180
Graphics (vendor)			\$300
			\$3,300

Attachment B



STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS

Time and Material Costs

All work will be billed on time-and-materials basis. Hourly labor rates that are in effect at the time the work is performed will be applied to the time each employee works on the project. Hourly labor rates are adjusted every year on January 1. Out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Heffron Transportation staff (reimbursables) will be billed at cost. These expenses include: mileage (at the IRS mileage rate in effect at the time of the travel), parking charges, ferry fares, copies, extraordinary telephone expenses, postage/courier, and supplies/reference materials needed for the specific project. Expenses for subcontractors (including traffic counting firms, graphic artist, and other survey firms) will be marked-up by 10% to account for administrative and tax costs to Heffron Transportation.

Invoices and Payments

Invoices for Heffron Transportation's services will be mailed to the client at least every 30 days and no more often than every 15 days. Invoices are due and payable within 15 days. Progress reports and/or copies of incurred direct expenses are provided only upon request. Client agrees to pay an extra fee for the costs of preparation of progress reports, unless specifically included in the proposal.

Upon the client's failure to pay when due, Heffron Transportation, Inc. has all rights as provided by law to collect all amounts that are due. Heffron Transportation Inc.'s services are considered to be provided from a business entity to a business entity. If payments are not made 15 days from the date an invoice is received by the client, the client may be charged a service charge of one and one-half percent (1.5%) of the invoice amount per month, administrative costs, direct costs, and attorney's fees and costs and any other charges and expenses incurred by Heffron Transportation, Inc. as a result of the late payment. Heffron Transportation, Inc. may stop work until payment is current.

Changes to Project or Scope of Work

The proposed budget and schedule may be affected if the project site program or site plan is revised after we begin work. Also, work in addition to that outlined in the scope of work may be required by public agency review staff. A change to the scope of work and/or budget could be accomplished by written amendment, verbal authorization, or e-mail notification. Written or verbal authorization for changes means the client accepts charges which may exceed the proposed budget and which may delay completion. Unless noted below, Heffron Transportation, Inc. will notify the person to whom the proposal is addressed if changes in the scope of work are required. The person(s) authorized to amend the scope of services are to be listed here: *(to be filled in by Client)* _____

Public Meetings and Hearings

Unless specified in the proposal, the scope and budget do not include Heffron Transportation, Inc.'s attendance at public meetings or hearings. Heffron Transportation, Inc. staff will attend such meetings and hearings upon request by the client or client's representative. Costs associated with preparation and attendance will be billed on a time-and-materials basis.


Indemnity

Heffron Transportation, Inc. agrees to indemnify and hold the client harmless against loss or liability arising from the negligent acts or omissions of Heffron Transportation Inc.'s employees and agents. Where such liability, claim, damage, loss, or expense arises from the concurrent negligence of Heffron Transportation, Inc. and the client, the obligations or indemnity shall be effective only to the extent of Heffron Transportation, Inc.'s negligence.

Limitation of Liability

To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Client agrees to limit Heffron Transportation, Inc.'s liability for the Client's damages to the consultant's fee. This limitation shall apply regardless of the cause of action or legal theory pled or asserted.

June 14, 2010



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

February 9, 2010

Becky Andrus
PO Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Dear Mrs. Andrus,

On August 16, 2007 CDS staff contacted you requesting additional information prior to continuing processing the Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat P-07-40. Per the requirements of the SEPA MDNS issued for the Andrus Rezone Z-06-23, a traffic impact analysis (TIA) is required. The TIA must be prepared and stamped by a licensed engineer in the State of Washington. Consultation with Public Works is recommended prior to beginning the TIA. Contact Christina Wollman, Planner II at 509-962-7051 or christina.wollman@co.kittitas.wa.us for specific details regarding the TIA.

A second access is also required. However, this will be a condition of preliminary approval and will not be required until final plat approval.

Please submit this information to the County within 60 days of the letter (April 10, 2010).

If you desire to withdraw your application, please submit a written request to indicate your request to withdraw your application to:

Jan Ollivier, Interim Planning Manager
Community Development Services
411 North Ruby Street, Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Please note that either a written request to withdraw this application or submittal of information requested in this letter is required within 60 days of this letter (April 10, 2010). Failure to respond to this letter will result in the withdrawal of your plat.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at:

Phone: 509-962-7523

Email: jan.ollivier@co.kittitas.wa.us

Sincerely,

Jan Ollivier
Interim Planning Manager

cc: Kirk Holmes, Interim Planning Director
Dan Valoff, Planner II
Christina Wollman, Planner II



KITTITAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dan Valoff, Community Development Services

FROM: Christina Wollman, Planner II

DATE: December 5, 2007

SUBJECT: Additional Information Requested
Big Buck Ridge Plat


Deer Creek Road currently serves more than 40 lots. Per Kittitas County Road Standards 12.01.095(2), a second access is required. The second access shall be identified prior to preliminary approval.

KCRS 12.01.095(2) – Second Ingress-Egress (as clarified by the BOCC on April 2, 2007)

All roads that serve more than 40 lots are required to have two interconnected ingress-egress routes that independently connect to an on-system county road.

If the second access is restricted to emergency access only, it must meet or exceed the following requirements: 60' easement, 20' roadway width, BST/ACP surface, and a paved apron. Access restrictions such as gates or bollards must be approved by the Fire Marshall.

If the second access is to be used for ingress and egress, it must meet the same standards of the first access.



KITITITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITITITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

August 16, 2007

Becky Andrus.
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

RE: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat, File number P-07-40

Dear Ms. Andrus:

It has come to my attention that as part of the Andrus Rezone (Z-06-23) and review of the SEPA Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance, which I have attached, one of the mitigation measures is that at the time of a project action, the applicant shall submit a stamped traffic analysis from a licensed engineer in the State of Washington. It further states that the traffic analysis shall consider among other factors, intersection spacing, sight distance, traffic volumes, load bearing capacity of soils, pavement thickness design, etc.

So in order to comply with the SEPA mitigation, the review of Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat will require the submittal of the above mentioned traffic analysis. Also, the outcome of your road variance request will need to be completed.

When the additional information is received, our review of the application will continue. If you have any questions or need assistance, please contact our office at (509) 962-7637.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
CDS Staff Planner


ATTACHMENT: MDNS FOR ANDRUS REZONE (Z-06-23)

DARRYL PIERCY, DIRECTOR

ALLISON KIMBALL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLAN REVIEW • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • CODE ENFORCEMENT • FIRE INVESTIGATION

11



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

SEPA MITIGATED DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

- File:** Andrus Rezone File No. Z-06-23
- Description:** Zone Change of 25 Acres from Forest & Range-20 to Rural-3
- Proponent:** Becky Andrus
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922
- Location:** East of Deer Creek Rd., South of Big Tail Rd., and North of the City of Cle Elum, within the NE ¼, Section 26, T.20N., R.15E., W.M., Kittitas County, WA. The rezone applies to tax parcel numbers 20-15-26010-0009, 0010.
- Lead Agency:** Kittitas County Community Development Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request. The lead agency for this proposal has also determined that certain mitigation measures are necessary in order to issue a Determination of Non-Significance for this proposal. Failure to comply with the mitigation measures identified hereafter will result in the issuance of a Determination of Significance (DS) for this project. These mitigation measures include the following:

I. Transportation

- A. The project is accessed via a private driveway off of Big Tail Road.
- B. Any future subdivision or development of the properties involved within this rezone will result in the enactment of road standards review and will require road improvements to be made that comply with all applicable agency standards including Kittitas County Road Standards (See Kittitas County Road Standards, as adopted 9/06/05). Kittitas County Department of Public Works requires a "maximum build-out" plan be discussed in a pre-applicant meeting with the applicant to clarify some of these issues.
- C. At the time of a project action, the applicant shall submit a stamped traffic analysis from a licensed engineer in the State of Washington considering among other factors, intersection spacing, sight distances, traffic volumes, load bearing capacity of soils, pavement thickness design, etc. Reference Current Kittitas County Road Standards.

II. Water

- A. Activities such as road widening, stump pulling and clearing, grading and fill work and utility placements may require an NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit issued by the Department of

DARRYL PIERCY, DIRECTOR

ALLISON KIMBALL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLANS EXAMINATION • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • INVESTIGATION • ENFORCEMENT • GIS

11

~~11~~

Ecology prior to start of construction. This permit requires the preparation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

- B. Storm water and surface runoff generated by this project must be retained and treated on site in accordance with regulating agencies' standards, and not be allowed to flow onto rights-of-way.
- C. Withdrawals of groundwater on the subject property will be subject to the rules & regulations adopted and administrated by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

III. Public Services

- A. Any future development must comply with International Fire Code (IFC) and Appendices.

IV. SEPA Review

- A. Any future subdivision or development of the properties involved within this rezone will be subject to additional SEPA review regardless of exemptions.

This MDNS is issued under WAC 197-11-350. The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 15 days. Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge this administrative SEPA action's procedural compliance with the provisions of Chapter 197-11 WAC shall be commenced within 10 working days (on or before 5:00 PM, Friday, August 25, 2006).

Responsible
Official:



Patrick Butler

Title: Staff Planner

Address: Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 North Ruby St., Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926
(509) 962-7506 FAX 962-7682

Date: August 8, 2006

Pursuant to Chapter 15A.07 KCC, this MDNS may be appealed by submitting specific factual objections in writing with a fee of \$300.00 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners, Kittitas County Courthouse Room 110, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Timely appeals must be received no later than 5:00 PM, Friday, August 25, 2006. Aggrieved parties are encouraged to contact the Board at (509) 962-7508 for more information on appeal process.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF KITTITAS STATE OF WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE NO. 2006 - 57

ANDRUS REZONE (Z-06-23)

IN THE MATTER OF AMENDING THE KITTITAS COUNTY ZONING ATLAS FOR A
PORTION OF SECTION 26 OF TOWNSHIP 20 N., RANGE 15 E., FROM
FOREST&RANGE TO RURAL-3

WHEREAS, according to Kittitas County Code Titles 15A & 17, relating to general rezones, adopted pursuant to RCW 36.70B & 36.70 respectively, an open record hearing was held by the Kittitas County Planning Commission on September 21, 2006 for the purpose of considering a zone change consisting of approximately 25.0 acres from Forest&Range to Rural-3 and described as follows:

Located east of Deer Creek Rd., south of Big Tail Rd., and north of the City of Cle Elum, within the NE ¼, Section 26, T.20N., R.15E., W.M., Kittitas County, WA. The rezone applies to tax parcel number(s) 20-15-26010-0009, 0010; and,

WHEREAS, testimony was taken from those persons present who wished to be heard during said open record hearing before the Planning Commission; and,

WHEREAS, due notice of the hearing had been given as required by law, and the necessary inquiry has been made into the public interest to be served by such zone change; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended denial of said proposed rezone in a 3-1 decision; and,

WHEREAS, a closed record public meeting was held by the Board of County Commissioners on November 7, 2006 to consider the Planning Commission's recommendation on this matter; and,

WHEREAS, the following FINDINGS OF FACT have been made concerning said proposed rezone:

1. Becky Andrus, landowner has submitted an application for a general zone change from Forest & Range to Rural-3 for approximately 25.0 acres. The site is located east of Deer Creek Rd., south of Big Tail Rd., and north of the City of Cle Elum, within the NE ¼, Section 26, T.20N., R.15E., W.M., Kittitas County, WA. The rezone applies to tax parcel number(s) 20-15-26010-0009, 0010.
2. The Community Development Services Department issued a Notice of Application pursuant to KCC 15A on June 30, 2006. Said notice solicited comments from jurisdictional agencies and landowners within 300 feet of the subject property as required by Kittitas County Code.
3. Based on the review of the submitted application materials (including an environmental checklist), correspondence received during this comment period and other information on file with CDS, A SEPA

Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance was issued by the Community Development Services Department on August 8, 2006. Notice of said determination was provided to all existing parties of record via United States Mail and was published in the Daily Record as required by State Statute and County Code. No SEPA Appeals were received. The SEPA MDNS has been attached for reference. (See attached exhibit B)

4. An administrative site analysis was completed by the staff planner in compliance with Title 17A. Moderate to steep slopes are located throughout the site, BPA easement crosses a portion of the site, coalmining activities have been a historic practice in the vicinity, but no known shafts exist on site.
5. An open record hearing was held by the Planning Commission on September 21, 2006 to consider this rezone request. Notice of said public hearing was provided to all parties of record via United States Mail and was published in the Daily Record as required by State Statute and County Code. Testimony was taken from those persons present at said hearing that wished to be heard and the necessary inquiry has been made into the public interest to be served by this non-project action.
6. The requested zone change is consistent with the Rural land use designation of the Kittitas County Comprehensive Plan.
7. The proposed requested zone change does meet all seven criteria as listed in KCC 17.98.020 (E).
 - a) *The proposed amendment is compatible with the comprehensive plan.*
 - a. The Comprehensive Plan designation of the subject property is Rural.
 - b. The requested zone change to Rural-3 is compatible with this designation.
 - b) *The proposed amendment bears a substantial relation to the public health, safety or welfare.*
 - a. The zoning south of the subject parcels is currently Rural-3, while zoning to the east has been rezoned to Rural-5.
 - b. The subject property abuts the City of Cle Elum UGA on three sides.
 - c. The rezone allows for the potential of smaller, rural parcels that are consistent with neighboring lot sizes. Smaller parcels are more valuable. The creation of this potential will increase the assessed value of the property and thereby provide more revenue for essential county services, schools, and the Fire District.
 - d. The rezone will encourage and allow for the concentration of rural densities.
 - e. The rezone encourages and allows for rural residential densities an area with immediate access to Big Tail Road.
 - c) *The proposed amendment has merit and value for Kittitas County or a sub-area of the county.*
 - a. It provides for a potential for an increased tax revenue/tax base.
 - b. The rezone allows for a mixture of densities in the County.
 - d) *The proposed amendment is appropriate because of changed circumstances or because of a need for additional property in the proposed zone or because the proposed zone is appropriate for reasonable development of the subject property.* The rezone is necessary for the reasonable development and use of the subject property because:
 - a. The subject property abuts the Cle Elum UGA on three sides.
 - b. The zoning south of the subject parcels is currently Rural-3, parcels abutting the subject property to the east is have recently been rezoned to Rural-5.
 - c. This rezone has the potential to increase the available housing stock.
 - d. The rezone is necessary for the reasonable development and use of the subject property.

- e) *The subject property is suitable for development in general conformance with zoning standards for the proposed zone.*
 - a. The subject property abuts the Cle Elum UGA on three sides.
 - b. Adequate acreage exists to handle development that shall conform with zoning standards of the proposed zone.
- f) *The proposed amendment will not be materially detrimental to the use of properties in the immediate vicinity of the subject property.*
 - a. The surrounding area is composed of a mixture of uses which include residential, and natural resource.
 - b. The proposed amendment abuts the City of Cle Elum UGA on three sides and is a logical extension of density in this specific area.
- g) *The proposed changes in use of the subject property shall not adversely impact irrigation water deliveries to other properties.*
 - a. There is no impact to irrigation.

- 8. Additional conditions are necessary to protect the public's interest. The condition is as follows:
 - a. SEPA review will be required for any future development regardless of the exemption status at time of development. (See attached Exhibit B)
- 9. No public testimony was heard.

NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED by the Board of County Commissioners of Kittitas County, Washington, after due deliberation and in the best interest of the public, does hereby approve said zone change from Forest&Range to Rural-3, known as the Andrus Rezone (Z-06-23), and does hereby authorize the amendment of the Kittitas County Zoning Map as set forth in (attached Exhibit A).

DATED this 21st day of November, 2006 at Ellensburg, Washington.



ATTEST:
 CLERK OF THE BOARD
Julia A. Kjosrvik

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
 KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON

David B. Bowen
 David B. Bowen, Chairman


Alan A. Crankovich
 Alan A. Crankovich, Vice-Chairman

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

 James Hurson WSBA #12686

Perry D. Huston
 Perry D. Huston, Commissioner

Exhibit A: Map Andrus Rezone (Z-06-23)



ANDRUS CORY W ETUX
PO BOX 785
CLE ELUM WA 98922-922-

CLE ELUM HOMESTEAD LLC
PO BOX 654
PORT ORCHARD WA 98366

COOPER PASS LLC
301 W 1ST ST STE B
CLE ELUM WA 98922-922-

FLANAGAN THOMAS F
PO BOX 1024
CLE ELUM WA 98922-922-

MUHLBEIER JAMES A ETUX
21403 SE 16TH PLACE
SAMMAMISH WA 98075-075-

NORTHLAND INVESTMENTS
INC
301 W 1ST ST STE B
CLE ELUM WA 98922-922-

PERSON ALAN K ETUX
12023 434TH AVE SE
NORTH BEND WA 98045

ROSS MICHAEL W ETUX
1300 SKYWALL DR
SULTAN WA 98294-294-

*CDS Labels
Labels 2007
BIGRIDGE SP. 07-
115 (7/23/2007)*

Washington Dept. of Ecology
Polly Zehm
15 W. Yakima Ave. Ste. 200
Yakima, WA 98902-3401

Washington Dept. of Ecology
David F. Dietzman
P.O. Box 47015
Olympia, WA 98501

WA Dept. of Natural Resources
713 E. Bowers Rd.
Ellensburg, WA 98926

WA Dept. Fish and Wildlife
Brent Renfrow/ Mark Teske
201 N. Pearl
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Yakama
P.O. Box
Toppenis

*CDS Labels
Labels 2007
BIGRIDGE
RIDGE PLOT-40
7/23/2007*

Yakama Nation Dept. of Natural Resources
Philip Rigdon
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948

James E Brooks Library
Documents Dept.
400 E. University Way
Ellensburg, WA 98926 MS-7548

Kittitas County Sheriffs Dept.

Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners

Kittitas County Enforcement and Investigation

Kittitas County Environmental Health

Kittitas County Solid Waste Programs

Kittitas County Public Works

Irrigation District (if applicable)

Fire District (Paste from List)

School District (Paste from list)

WSDOT (if applicable)

Neighboring City (if applicable)

US Forest Service (if applicable)

Bonneville Power Administration
(If applicable)

Washington State Department of Archaeology & Historic
Preservation
1063 S. Capitol Way, Suite 106
Olympia, WA 98501

Department of Ecology
Environmental Review Section
PO Box 47703
Olympia, WA 98504-7703

Liz Bryson
Daily Record
401 N Main
Ellensburg, WA 98926


Yakama Nation
Kate Valdez
Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
PO Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948

Johnson Meninick
Cultural Resources Program
PO Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948

Henry Fraser
Yakama Nation Fisheries
MS 7420 CWU
400 E. University Way
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Program
Johnson Meninick, Program Manager
PO Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948

Melissa Bates
20 Elk Haven Rd.
Elum, WA 98922



KITITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITITAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

July 18, 2007

Becky Andrus.
P.O. Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922

RE: Big Buck Ridge Cluster Plat, File number P-07-40

Dear Ms. Andrus:

Community Development Services is in receipt of the referenced application. The application is deemed incomplete and the following additional information is required:

1. The address list of all landowners within 300-feet of the site's tax parcel was not submitted as part of the required attachments to your Long Plat Application.

When the additional information is received and the application is deemed complete, our review of the application will continue. If you have any questions or need assistance, please contact our office at (509) 962-7637.

Sincerely,

Dan Valoff
Planner 1

DARRYL PIERCY, DIRECTOR

ALLISON KIMBALL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

COMMUNITY PLANNING • BUILDING INSPECTION • PLAN REVIEW • ADMINISTRATION • PERMIT SERVICES • CODE ENFORCEMENT • FIRE INVESTIGATION

9

Kittitas County
Department of Public Works

VARIANCE REQUEST

To be completed by applicant

Name: Becky Andrus

Date of application: 05/18/07

▪ Developer

Agent for Developer

Address: 140 Bigbuck Ridge Rd
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Daytime phone: 509-304-7015


Associated Project/Development: Tax parcel 20-15-26010-0009/0010 (25 acres total)

Request (be specific): Administrative determination related to Table 12-1 of the Kittitas County Road Standards, minimum easement width of 60'. A Preliminary Plat Application is being proposed for a 14 lot Performance Based Cluster Plat.


Reason for request: The existing primary access to the subject property is via Deer Creek Road (existing private road), from the end of Montgomery Avenue. In addition, there are two alternative private access routes connecting to Deer Creek Road from separate public right-of-ways (6th Street to the west and Columbia Avenue to the east). Both physically and legally exist as alternative and/or emergency access routes to the subject property and both within 60' easements in their entirety. Please refer to the attached map identifying all three access routes and corresponding easement and/or right-of-way widths. Deer Creek Road is located on a 30' easement for approximately 320 feet from the end of Montgomery Avenue; the remainder of Deer Creek Road is located within a 60' easement. The 320 foot portion of road is in the City of Cle Elum's Urban Growth. A design is being proposed by a previous developer for those improvements within the easement necessary to bring Deer Creek Road in full compliance with the applicable road standards. The remainder of Deer Creek Road will also be improved in full compliance with the applicable road standards. Please take into account that Deer Creek Road is an existing private road, established both legally and physically and has previously been approved and acknowledged by the county to serve adjacent subdivisions, short subdivisions and/or large lot subdivisions, all prior to and since adoption of the new road standards.

List of supporting documents attached: Property Map

Attach map.


Signature of applicant


8



DAN

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

www.co.kittitas.wa.us/health/



Administration
Community Health Services
Health Promotion Services
507 N. Nanum Street, Ste 102
Ellensburg, WA 98926
Phone: (509) 962-7515
Fax: (509) 962-7581

Environmental Health
411 N. Ruby Street, Ste. 3
Ellensburg, WA 98926
Phone: (509) 962-7698
Fax: (509) 962-7052

June 20, 2007

Mark Plog
1505 NW Mall Street
Issaquah, WA 98027

Dear Mr. Plog,

We have received the proposed Big Buck Ridge Plat, located in Section 26, Township 20, Range 15, off of Bigbuck Ridge Road. We have also received the \$625.00 plat submission fee (receipt #051826).

For plat approval both sewage and water availability must be satisfactorily addressed. Refer to WAC 246-272-20501 and 246-272-09501 for septic and well setbacks.

For sewage disposal you have two options:

1. PUBLIC UTILITY SEWER

Submit a signed letter of agreement between the responsible public utility official and the developer/owner or other documentation that provides proof of connection to public sewer.

2. ON SITE SEWAGE

Soil logs will need to be scheduled and dug at a mutually convenient time. The developer/owner shall provide soil logs as per Chapter 246-272 WAC or as amended. The information obtained will be recorded and placed in the plat file for future reference. The information obtained from these soil logs is for plat approval purposes only and does not constitute a site evaluation in conjunction with the issuance of a permit for any specific lot.

Prior to receiving final approval for subdivisions (short and long plats) in Kittitas County, applicants shall be required to show the adequacy of potable water supplies proof of potable water supply can be demonstrated four ways:

1. PUBLIC UTILITY WATER SUPPLY APPLICANTS – shall submit a signed letter of agreement with the responsible public utility official and the developer/owner, granting delivery of potable water for the entire development.
2. GROUP “A” PUBLIC WELL – if you have an existing well and a Department of Ecology issued “water right” for potable usage of the well, Washington State Department of Health (DOH) is the regulatory authority for approving Group A systems. We require written verification that DOH has approved the system prior to final plat approval (see contact information below). If you have not secured a water right for potable use you must contact the Washington State Department of

7

Ecology (Central Region Office) located in Yakima, Washington. Begin the process of obtaining a water right. Their contact number is: (509) 575-2800.

3. GROUP "B" PUBLIC WELLS – As of January 11, 2007, Washington State Department of Health is the regulatory authority for approving Group B Water Systems in Kittitas County. The process for approval includes a source site inspection to approve the location of the proposed well or if the well exists to ensure that it meets the criteria for approval; drilling of the well and/or ensure that the well is located within the subdivision boundaries; completion of the well infrastructure, the workbook and all related documentation including testing and satisfactory results. After all of the aforementioned information is submitted, reviewed, and approved by Washington State DOH, final issuance of the well ID number completes the requirement.

Washington State Department of Health
1500 W. 4th, Suite 305
Spokane, WA 99204
(509) 456-2453
ATTN: Tom Justus, Regional Engineer


4. INDIVIDUAL WELLS – the submittal of well logs or a hydrogeological report with documentation/evidence to support the claim regarding adequate availability of groundwater for the proposed number of potable water wells. This report shall be submitted by a Professional Engineer who practices in the field of hydrology or by a licensed Hydrogeologist.

All applicants for subdivision (short and long plats) utilizing wells shall have a note placed on the face of the final mylars that states:

"Kittitas County relies on its record that a supply of potable water exists. The approval of this division of land includes no guarantee or assurance that there is a legal right to withdraw groundwater within the land division."

Once we have received and reviewed complete information, we will notify Community Development Services through our Environmental Health Checklist that you have satisfactorily addressed health department requirements.

Sincerely,



Cathy Bambrick
Kittitas County Environmental Health Manager

cc: Community Development Services

**KITTITAS COUNTY
WASHINGTON
PLAT
P-07-XX**

DECLARATION
KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT WE THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S) IN FEE SIMPLE OF THE DESCRIBED REAL PROPERTY, DOES HEREBY DECLARE THIS PLAT AND DESCRIPTION AND IN LIEU OF DEDICATION OF ROADS HEREBY GRANTS FOREVER UNTO ALL LESSEES OF LOTS IN THIS PLAT AND ALL FUTURE PLATS IN THIS PLAT AN UNDIVIDED INTEREST IN ALL ROADS SHOWN AS PRIVATE ROADS.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE HAVE SET OUR HANDS THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

NAME _____

NAME _____

NAME _____

NAME _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

STATE OF _____)
COUNTY OF _____) SS

I CERTIFY THAT I KNOW OR HAVE SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE THAT SIGNED THIS DEDICATION AND OR GAITH STATED THAT (HE/SHE) WAS AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THE INSTRUMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGED IT AS THE _____ OF _____

TO BE THE FREE AND VOLUNTARY ACT OF SUCH PARTY FOR THE USES AND PURPOSES MENTIONED IN THE INSTRUMENT.

DATED _____
SIGNATURE OF _____
NOTARY PUBLIC
PRINTED NAME OF _____
NOTARY PUBLIC
TITLE _____
MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES _____

REFERENCE SURVEYS

- (1) SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 27, PAGE 111 BY LS 29269
- (2) SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PAGES 48-50 BY LS 29269
- (3) SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 11, PG. 79 BY LS 2172
- (4) REVISED BIA SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PG. 44-45 BY LS 29268
- (5) LARGE LOT SUB. NO. SP-2003-09 AS FILED IN BK. B, PG. 229-230 BY LS 29268
- (6) BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT AS FILED UNDER APN 200507280018 BY LS 29268
- (7) SHORT PLAT FILED IN BOOK H, PAGES 167 & 168 BY LS 29268

BASIS OF BEARING: SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PAGES 48-50, RECORDS OF KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON

RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE

FILED FOR RECORD THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 2006 A.D., IN BOOK _____ OF SURVEYS PAGE _____ AT THE REQUEST OF _____ GEO DATUM, INC.

COUNTY AUDITOR

CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY TREASURER

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS ARE PAID FOR THE PRECEDING YEARS AND FOR THIS YEAR IN WHICH THE PLAT IS NOW TO BE FILED.

DATED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

KITTITAS COUNTY TREASURER

KITTITAS COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS

EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

COUNTY PLANNING DIRECTOR

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLAT OF _____ HAS BEEN EXAMINED BY ME AND I FIND THAT IT CONFORMS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE KITTITAS COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION.

DATED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

KITTITAS COUNTY PLANNING DIRECTOR

KITTITAS COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLAT OF _____ HAS BEEN EXAMINED BY ME AND I FIND THAT THE SEWAGE AND WATER SYSTEM HEREIN SHOWN DOES MEET AND COMPLY WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

DATED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

KITTITAS COUNTY HEALTH OFFICER

CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY ASSESSOR

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLAT OF BELL CREEK HAS BEEN EXAMINED BY ME AND I FIND THE PROPERTY TO BE IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION FOR PLATING

DATED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

KITTITAS COUNTY ASSESSOR

CERTIFICATE OF BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D., 20____

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON BY CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

CLERK OF THE BOARD

ORIGINAL PARCEL DESCRIPTION

PART OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER AND THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 15 EAST, W.M., KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 26, THENCE NORTH 88°38'56" WEST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER A DISTANCE OF 567.75 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

THENCE CONTINUING NORTH 88°38'56" WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE A DISTANCE OF 766.78 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°25'27" WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER A DISTANCE OF 1,310.73 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE CONTINUING SOUTH 00°25'27" WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER A DISTANCE OF 14.40 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 73°18'17" A DISTANCE OF 788.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00°47'37" EAST PARALLEL TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 26, A DISTANCE OF 1,333.14 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER AND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TAX PARCEL NO. 20-15-26010-0010

OWNER: CORY W & REBECCA L ANDRUS
P.O. BOX 785 CLE ELUM, WA 98922

RECORDING NO.

VER. /PAGE

PORTION OF
NE 1/4 NE 1/4, SEC 26, TWN 20, RGE 15E, W.M.



VICINITY MAP
NTS

Quarter 18.09 BCC - Performance Based Quarter Platting				
Project Name	Big Buck Plat	1/4" Contour	1/4" Contour	1/4" Contour
18.09.01	10	10	10	10
18.09.02	10	10	10	10
18.09.03	10	10	10	10
18.09.04	10	10	10	10
18.09.05	10	10	10	10
18.09.06	10	10	10	10
18.09.07	10	10	10	10
18.09.08	10	10	10	10
18.09.09	10	10	10	10
18.09.10	10	10	10	10
18.09.11	10	10	10	10
18.09.12	10	10	10	10
18.09.13	10	10	10	10
18.09.14	10	10	10	10
18.09.15	10	10	10	10
18.09.16	10	10	10	10
18.09.17	10	10	10	10
18.09.18	10	10	10	10
18.09.19	10	10	10	10
18.09.20	10	10	10	10
18.09.21	10	10	10	10
18.09.22	10	10	10	10
18.09.23	10	10	10	10
18.09.24	10	10	10	10
18.09.25	10	10	10	10
18.09.26	10	10	10	10
18.09.27	10	10	10	10
18.09.28	10	10	10	10
18.09.29	10	10	10	10
18.09.30	10	10	10	10
TOTAL	79			


NEIGHBORING OWNERSHIP

- Cory Andrus
PO Box 785
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-26010-0009
- James Multiseller
21403 SE 16th Place
Sumner, WA 98045
20-15-25000-0005
- Thomas Flanagan
PO Box 1024
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-25059-0001
- Cle Elum Homestead LLC
PO Box 654
Port Orchard, WA 98566
20-15-23051-0003
- Hommark Resources LLC
206 West First Street
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-25058-0003
- Northland Investments LLC
PO Box 923
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-26060-0003
- Northland Investments LLC
PO Box 923
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-26060-0004
- Sophistic Skies LLC
206 West First Street
Cle Elum, WA 98922
20-15-26057-0003
- Alan Pearson
13023 434th Ave SE
North Bend, WA 98045
20-15-25000-0004
- Michael Ross
1500 Skywell Dr
Sultan, WA 98294
20-15-25000-0003



BIG BUCK RIDGE PLAT

CORY & BECKY ANDRUS
P.O. BOX 785
CLE ELUM, WA 98922




GeoDatum inc
SURVEY - CIVIL - STRUCTURAL
1505 NW Mall Street
Issaquah, WA 98027
(425) 837-8083

2006-047 OF 3

6.

KITTITAS COUNTY
WASHINGTON
PLAT
P-07-XX

RECORDING NO. _____ VOL. / PAGE _____
 PORTION OF
 NE 1/4 NE 1/4, SEC 26, TWN 20, RGE 15E, W.M.



- NOTES**
- THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON THE CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE, POLICY NO. 72030-4368 DATED JANUARY 31, 2006 AT 8:00 A.M.
 - INSTRUMENTATION FOR THIS SURVEY WAS A 3-SECOND NIKON DIM S21 TOTAL STATION. PROCEDURES USED IN THIS SURVEY MEET OR EXCEED STANDARDS SET BY WAC 332-130-090.
 - THE TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN IS BASED UPON CONTOURS PROVIDED BY DEKORUS AERIAL MAPPING AND ARE NOT BASED ON AN OLD GROUND SURVEY.
 - ENTIRE PLAT ROAD SHALL ACHIEVE 95% COMPACTION AND SHALL BE INSPECTED AND CERTIFIED BY A LICENSED ENGINEER IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON SPECIFYING THAT THE ROAD MEETS CURRENT KITTITAS COUNTY ROAD STANDARDS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS FOR THIS PLAT.
 - KITTITAS COUNTY WILL NOT ACCEPT PRIVATE ROADS FOR MAINTENANCE AS PUBLIC STREETS OR ROADS UNTIL SUCH STREETS OR ROADS ARE BROUGHT INTO CONFORMANCE WITH CURRENT COUNTY ROAD STANDARDS. THIS REQUIREMENT WILL INCLUDE THE HARD SURFACE PAVING OF ANY STREET OR ROAD SURFACED ORIGINALLY WITH GRAVEL.
 - MAINTENANCE OF THE ACCESS IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO BENEFIT FROM ITS USE. THE COUNTY WILL NOT MAINTAIN THE ACCESS.
 - ANY FURTHER SUBDIVISION OF LOTS TO BE SERVED BY PROPOSED ACCESS MAY RESULT IN FURTHER ACCESS REQUIREMENTS. SEE KITTITAS COUNTY ROAD STANDARDS.
 - MAILBOXES SHALL BE "BREAK-AWAY" DESIGN AND BE APPROVED BY THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE DRAWINGS H-12, SHEET 1-3.
 - KITTITAS COUNTY RELIES ON ITS RECORD THAT A SUPPLY OF POTABLE WATER EXISTS. THE APPROVAL OF THIS DIVISION OF LANDS INCLUDES NO GUARANTEE OR ASSURANCE THAT THERE IS A LEGAL RIGHT TO WITHDRAW GROUNDWATER WITHIN THE LAND DIVISION.
 - ALL PARCELS WITHIN THIS SUBDIVISION ARE CURRENTLY ZONED RURAL-3.
 - ACREAGE IS COMPUTED TO THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROADS EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.
 - EACH LOT TO BE SERVED BY ON SITE SEPTIC SYSTEM
 - NO KNOWN CRITICAL AREAS EXIST ON SITE

BASIS OF BEARINGS
 BASIS OF BEARING: SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PAGES 78-50, RECORDS OF KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

REFERENCE SURVEYS

- SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 27, PAGE 111 BY LS 29269
- SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PAGES 48-50 BY LS 29269
- SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 11, PG. 79 BY LS 7172
- REVISED B.A. SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 28, PG. 44-45 BY LS 29268

LEGEND

- FOUND 1/2" REBAR W/PLASTIC CAP - K. BEUM LS 29269, ON REFERENCE SURVEY #1
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR W/CAP LS 7172, PER REF. SURVEY #3
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR W/PLASTIC CAP - J. BENTLEY LS 29268
- FOUND AS NOTED



BIG BUCK RIDGE PLAT
CORY & BECKY ANDRUS
 P.O. BOX 785
 CLE ELUM, WA 98922

GeoDatum Inc
 SURVEY-CIVIL-STRUCTURAL



1505 NW Mall Street
 Issaquah, WA 98027
 (425) 837-8083

PROJ. # 072 SHEET: 2
 2007-359 OF: 3

6

KITITAS COUNTY
WASHINGTON
PLAT
P-07-XX

RECORDING NO. _____ VOL. / PAGE _____
 PORTION OF
 NE 1/4 NE 1/4, SEC 26, TWN 20, RGE 15E, W.M.



- LEGEND**
- FOUND 1/2" x 24" REBAR w/ PLASTIC CAP
 - SET 3/4" x 24" STEEL ROD w/ 2-1/2" ANCHOR CAP
 - ▲ MONUMENT
 - ◆ WOODEN PIN
 - ◆ GALVANIZED PIPE
 - ◆ 1.58864 / 31976




BIG BUCK RIDGE PLAT
CORY & BECKY ANDRUS
 P.O. BOX 785
 CLE ELUM, WA 98922



GeoDatum Inc.
 SURVEY - CIVIL - STRUCTURAL
 1505 NW Mall Street
 Issaquah, WA 98027
 (425) 837-8083
 PROJECT: SHEET: A
 2007-389 DK: 3

6



KITITITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
 411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
 CDS@CO.KITITITAS.WA.US
 Office (509) 962-7506
 Fax (509) 962-7682

P-07-40

LONG PLAT APPLICATION

(To divide lot into 5 or more lots)

KITITITAS COUNTY ENCOURAGES THE USE OF PRE-APPLICATION MEETINGS. PLEASE CALL THE DEPARTMENT IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SET UP A MEETING TO DISCUSS YOUR PROJECT. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY IN INK. ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE ATTACHED TO THIS APPLICATION PACKET:

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

- Ten large copies of plat with all preliminary drawing requirements complete (reference KCC Title 16 Subdivision Code for plat drawing requirements) and one small 8.5" x 11" copy
- Address list of all landowners within 300 feet of the site's tax parcel. If adjoining parcels are owned by the applicant, the 300 feet extends from the farthest parcel. If the parcel is within a subdivision with a Homeowners or Road Association, please include the address of the association.
- SEPA Checklist (Only required if your subdivision consists of 9 lots or more.
Please pick up a copy of the Checklist if required)

OPTIONAL ATTACHMENTS

(Optional at preliminary submittal, but required at the time of final submittal)

- Certificate of Title (Title Report)
- Computer lot closures

FEES:

\$200 plus \$10 per lot for Public Works Department;
 \$625 plus \$75 per hour over 12.5 hours for Environmental Health Department;
 \$1500 for Community Development Services Department, PLUS \$225 if SEPA Checklist is required
 *One check made payable to KCCDS

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

APPLICATION RECEIVED BY:
 SIGNATURE:

X Katman

DATE:

6/20/07

RECEIPT #

051826



NOTES:

DARRYL PIERCY, DIRECTOR
 ALLISON KIMBALL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
 COMMUNITY PLANNING BUILDING INSPECTION PLAN REVIEW ADMINISTRATION PERMIT SERVICES CODE ENFORCEMENT FIRE INVESTIGATION

5

1. Name, mailing address and day phone of land owner(s) of record:

Name: Becky Andrus
Mailing Address: PO Box 785
City/State/ZIP: Cle Elum, WA 98922
Day Time Phone: 509-304-7015
Email Address: corbecllc@msn.com

2. Name, mailing address and day phone of authorized agent (if different from land owner of record):

Agent Name: _____
Mailing Address: _____
City/State/ZIP: _____
Day Time Phone: _____
Email Address: _____

3. Contact person for application (select one):

Owner of record Authorized agent

All verbal and written contact regarding this application will be made only with the contact person.

4. Street address of property:

Address: 140 Bigbuck Ridge Rd
City/State/ZIP: Cle Elum, WA 98922

5. Legal description of property:

Part of the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter and the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 26, Township 20 North, Range 15 East, W.M., Kittitas County Washington

6. Tax parcel number(s): 20-15-26010-0009, - 0010

7. Property size: 25 total (acres)

8. Narrative project description: Please include the following information in your description: describe project size, location, water supply, sewage disposal and all qualitative features of the proposal; include every element of the proposal in the description (be specific, attach additional sheets as necessary):

~~The project consists of a cluster subdivision pursuant to Chapter 16.09 KCC. The subject property is 25 acres in area and will be divided into 14 one-acre single-family residential lots and 11 acres of open space. Water supply is proposed from a Group B water system and each lot will be served by on-site sewage disposal. Access to the site is from Deer Creek Road (private road) via Montgomery Ave. Private road improvements will be completed in conformance with Chapter 12.12 KCC. A summary of the public benefit ratings system has been included with this application. Please refer to the attached preliminary plat for property location and other additional information.~~

9. Are Forest Service roads/easements involved with accessing your development? Yes No (Circle)
If yes, explain: _____

10. What County maintained road(s) will the development be accessing from? Montgomery Ave.

11. Application is hereby made for permit(s) to authorize the activities described herein. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete, and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities. I hereby grant to the agencies to which this application is made, the right to enter the above-described location to inspect the proposed and or completed work.

12. Are there any other pending applications associated with the property associated with this application?
 Yes No


Signature of Authorized Agent:
X Buffy Andrews

Date:
6/19/07

Signature of Land Owner of Record
(Required for application submittal):
X Buffy Andrews

Date:
6/19/07

5



KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US
Office (509) 962-7506
Fax (509) 962-7682

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

FEE \$225.00

PURPOSE OF CHECKLIST:

The State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW. Requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR APPLICANTS:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposals. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts or your proposal are significant, requiring preparation if an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "don not know" or "does not apply" Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

USE OF CHECKLIST FOR NONPROJECT PROPOSALS:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS.

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant" and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer" and "affected geographic are" respectively.

FOR STAFF USE

A. BACKGROUND

1. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Preliminary approval within approximately 120 days.

2. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
None proposed.

3. List any environmental information you know about that had been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
None known or currently required.

4. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
None known.

DARRYL PIERCY, DIRECTOR
ALLISON KIMBALL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY PLANNING BUILDING INSPECTION PLAN REVIEW ADMINISTRATION PERMIT SERVICES CODE ENFORCEMENT FIRE INVESTIGATION

5. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The proposed subdivision requires approval from Kittitas County.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
+30%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Topsoil, sandstone, shale, silt, clay and rock out croppings.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity?

None known or observed.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filing or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Grading and/or filling is necessary as part of the private road improvements necessary to meet Kittitas County standards. Total volumes associated with this project should be less than 1,500 cubic yards. All suitable cut and fill material will be utilized on site; no import/export of fill is anticipated.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Erosion could occur during the wet season if soils are left exposed during normal construction activities. An erosion control plan will be prepared and any necessary erosion control measures will be employed prior to and during construction activities.

g. About what percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Less than 5% of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

An erosion control plan will be prepared and any necessary erosion control measures will be employed prior to and during construction activities. Erosion control will likely include silt fence, straw check dams and covering of exposed soils if necessary.

2. AIR

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e. dust, automobiles, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Operation of machinery during construction will produce minimal emissions and exhaust from future residential traffic will occur.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

None known or observed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

All equipment and vehicles should comply with applicable emission regulations.

3. WATER

a. Surface

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what streams or river it flows into.

No water bodies are on site.

2) Will the project require any work over, in or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

None proposed or necessary.

3) Estimate the fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands, and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None proposed or necessary.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

None proposed or necessary.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

None known.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No discharge of waste materials is proposed.

b. Ground

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to surface waters? If so, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Future residential construction will require withdrawal of water for domestic water supply (not to exceed 5,000 gpd) and discharge will include on-site septic systems. Fourteen single-family residential lots are proposed.

2) Describe waste materials that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

The proposed lots will be served by on-site septic systems.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known).

Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters?

If so, describe.

Potential runoff will likely be generated from future impervious surfaces commonly associated with single-family residential development. Runoff will be directed into existing drainage courses.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Waste materials could enter the ground through future septic systems.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Impervious surfaces likely will not exceed 5% of the total site area.

4. PLANTS

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- wet soil plants: cattails, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation: _____

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Vegetation will be removed during clearing and grading of the roadway.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known or observed on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Existing vegetation will be preserved to the maximum extent practical, including the dedication of 11 acres of open space.

5. ANIMALS

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

✓ birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds other:
✓ mammals: deer, beaver, elk, beavers, other:
_____ fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: _____

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

No threatened or endangered species have been observed or known to exist on site.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
None known.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.
The proposed development will include 11 acres of open space.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the competed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Electric, wood stove and solar will likely be used for any future development. Natural gas does not currently exist on or near the site, but may in the future.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, describe.

None known or anticipated.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

Not applicable at this time.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
None at this time.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

None known or anticipated.

- b. Noise
- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example, traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
- None known.
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
- Normal construction activities will produce noise at the time of development. Long-term noise will occur as a result of future residential traffic and home sites.
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.
- None proposed or necessary.

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
- The site is located within an area of rural residential development and forest resource lands. The subject property has a single family home on it.
- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.
- None known.
- c. Describe any structures on the site.
- A single-family home.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
- None.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
- Rural-3
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
- Rural.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
- Not applicable.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an:
- environmentally sensitive area?
- None known.
- i. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
- None.
- j. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? Fourteen single-family residences.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any.
- None proposed or necessary.
- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any.
- The proposed subdivision is consistent with the comprehensive plan, current zoning and the existing neighborhood.

9. HOUSING
- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing. _____

 No units are proposed at the time. However, future single-family residences will likely be middle to high-income.
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? _____
 Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing. _____
 None.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any. _____
 None proposed or necessary. _____
10. AESTHETICS
- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? _____

 Future homes will likely not exceed 35 feet.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? _____
 None.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any. _____
 None proposed or necessary. _____
11. LIGHT AND GLARE
- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? _____

 Reflection from future single-family residences may occur.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? _____
 None known or anticipated.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? _____
 None known or anticipated.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any. _____
 None proposed or necessary.
12. RECREATION
- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? _____

 Many recreational opportunities exist within the immediate vicinity, including: hiking, camping, biking, fishing, hunting, snowmobiling, skiing and horseback riding.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? _____
 If so, describe. _____
 None known or anticipated.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
A private trail.

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
None known or observed.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
None known or observed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any.
None proposed or necessary at this time.

14. TRANSPORTATION

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
The site is currently accessed from Montgomery Ave. Existing and proposed private roads will be improved in accordance with Kittitas County Standards.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
None known.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
All parking will occur on the respective lot.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
Existing roadways will be improved in conformance with the applicable Kittitas County Road Standards and are proposed to be private.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
None known.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur. Up to 140 trips per day may be generated. _____

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any. None proposed or necessary at this time. _____

15. PUBLIC SERVICE

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. _____

There will be an incremental increase in the need for public services proportionate to 14 single-family residences.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. None proposed or necessary. _____

16. UTILITIES

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse services, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other. _____

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the services, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. Telephone and power will be extended on site. _____

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Bucky Andrews

Date: 6/19/07

THE REMAINING QUESTIONS ARE EXCLUSIVELY FOR REZONE APPLICANTS AND FOR AMENDMENTS TO COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND CODE. UNLESS THESE APPLY TO YOU, THIS IS THE END OF THE SEPA CHECKLIST.

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST QUESTIONS FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS ONLY. WHEN ANSWERING THESE QUESTIONS, BE AWARE THE EXTENT OF THE PROPOSAL, OR THE TYPE OF ACTIVITIES LIKELY TO RESULT FROM THE PROPOSAL, WOULD AFFECT AN ITEM AT A GREATER INTENSITY OR AT A FASTER RATE THAN IF THE PROPOSAL WERE NOT IMPLEMENTED. RESPOND BRIEFLY AND IN GENERAL TERMS (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY)

FOR STAFF USE

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life: Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands? Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses? Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impact.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s).

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

File Original and First Copy with
Department of Ecology
Second Copy — Owner's Copy
Third Copy — Driller's Copy

WATER WELL REPORT

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No. _____

Start Card No. W079094

UNIQUE WELL I.D. # ACW-606

(1) OWNER: Name Ray Owens Address 109W 4TH St. CleElum WA 98922

(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County Kittitas 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec 25 T. 20 N. R. 15 WM.

(2a) STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) Main St. to Columbia CDEF

(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Irrigation Industrial Municipal
 DeWater Test Well Other

(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one) 1
 Abandoned New well Method: Dug Bored
 Deepened Cable Driven
 Reconditioned Rotary Jetted

(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 6" inches.
 Drilled 300 feet. Depth of completed well 300 ft.

(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:
 Casing installed: 6" Diam. from +2 ft. to -55 ft.
 Welded 4" Diam. from -40 ft. to -300 ft.
 Lined Threaded Diam. from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Perforations: Yes No
 Type of perforator used Skillean
 SIZE of perforations 1/8 in. by 6 in.
48 perforations from -280 ft. to -300 ft.
 _____ perforations from _____ ft. to _____ ft.
 _____ perforations from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Screens: Yes No
 Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type _____ Model No. _____
 Diam. _____ Slot size _____ from _____ ft. to _____ ft.
 Diam. _____ Slot size _____ from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Gravel packed: Yes No Size of gravel _____
 Gravel placed from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 20 ft.
 Material used in seal Bentonite
 Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No
 Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
 Method of sealing strata off _____

(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type: _____ H.P.

(8) WATER LEVELS: Land surface elevation above mean sea level _____ ft.
 Static level 120 ft. below top of well Date 8/8/97
 Artesian pressure _____ lbs. per square inch Date _____
 Artesian water is controlled by _____ (Cap, valve, etc.)



(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
 Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom? _____
 Yield: _____ gal./min. with _____ ft. drawdown after _____ hrs.

Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level

Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)
 Date of test _____
 Beller test _____ gal./min. with _____ ft. drawdown after _____ hrs.
 Airspout 50 gal./min. with stem out at 298 ft. for 1 hrs.
 Artesian flow _____ g.p.m. Date _____
 Temperature of water _____ Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No

(10) WELL LOG OR ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION
 Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of information.

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
Clay Brown	0	25
Clay Gray Small Gravels	25	55
Shale Green	55	160
Shale Gray	160	200
Shale Gray Soft Fractured	200	240
Shale Gray Medium	240	260
Shale Gray With Water	260	300



Work Started 8/7/97 19. Completed 8/8/97 19

WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:

I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.

NAME H2O WELL SVC INC. 1-800-772-4901
 (PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPE OR PRINT)
 Address 582 W Hayden Ave Hayden Lake ID 8383
 (Signed) Jim McLeslie License No. 2257
 (WELL DRILLER)
 (Jim McLeslie)

Contractor's Registration No. H2OWESI101DW Date 8/8/97 19

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

3

The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

118962

WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No W 160806
 Unique Well I D # AGW674
 Water Right Permit No

STATE OF WASHINGTON

(1) OWNER Name ANDRUS, CORY W		Address P O BOX 648 SO CLR ELUM, WA 98943																																																																							
(2) LOCATION OF WELL County KITTITAS		NE 1/4 NE 1/4 Sec 26 T 20 N, R 15E W4																																																																							
(2a) STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) OFF COLUMBIA ST, NORTH CLR ELUM		A																																																																							
(3) PROPOSED USE DOMESTIC		(10) WELL LOG																																																																							
(4) TYPE OF WORK Owner's Number of well (If more than one) Method ROTARY NEW WELL		Formation Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change in formation																																																																							
(5) DIMENSIONS Drilled 699 ft Diameter of well 6 inches Depth of completed well 699 ft		MATERIAL																																																																							
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS Casing installed 6 Dia from +1 5 ft to 38 5 ft Dia from ft to ft Dia from ft to ft Perforations NO Type of perforator used SIZE of perforations perforations from ft to ft perforations from ft to ft perforations from ft to ft Screens NO Manufacturer's Name Type Model No Dia slot size from ft to ft Dia slot size from ft to ft Gravel packed NO Gravel placed from ft to ft Surface seal YES Material used in seal BRNTONITE Did any strata contain unusable water? NO Type of water? Depth of strata ft Method of sealing strata off SHAL METHOD 1		BROWN SATURATED CLAY BRN SANDSTONE WITH CLAY GRAY SANDSTONE BRN SANDSTONE HARD GRAY SHALE BRN SANDSTONE GRAY SANDSTONE BRN SANDSTONE GRAY SANDSTONE BLUE GRAY CLAY SHALE GRAY SANDSTONE BLACK SHALE SOFT GRAY SANDSTONE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY BLACK SHALE GRAY SANDSTONE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY BLACK SHALE WATER BEARING SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY SOFT BLACK SHALE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY LIGHT GRAY SANDSTONE BLACK SHALE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY BLACK SHALE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY LIGHT GRAY SANDSTONE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY LIGHT GRAY SANDSTONE HARD SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY HARD GRAY SANDSTONE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY BLACK SHALE SHALE WITH GRAY CLAY																																																																							
		<table border="1"> <tr> <th>FROM</th> <th>TO</th> </tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>16</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>29</td></tr> <tr><td>29</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>35</td><td>43</td></tr> <tr><td>43</td><td>45</td></tr> <tr><td>45</td><td>54</td></tr> <tr><td>54</td><td>71</td></tr> <tr><td>71</td><td>80</td></tr> <tr><td>80</td><td>91</td></tr> <tr><td>91</td><td>98</td></tr> <tr><td>98</td><td>114</td></tr> <tr><td>114</td><td>119</td></tr> <tr><td>119</td><td>140</td></tr> <tr><td>140</td><td>149</td></tr> <tr><td>149</td><td>157</td></tr> <tr><td>157</td><td>202</td></tr> <tr><td>202</td><td>213</td></tr> <tr><td>213</td><td>313</td></tr> <tr><td>313</td><td>319</td></tr> <tr><td>319</td><td>350</td></tr> <tr><td>350</td><td>376</td></tr> <tr><td>376</td><td>389</td></tr> <tr><td>389</td><td>416</td></tr> <tr><td>416</td><td>425</td></tr> <tr><td>425</td><td>468</td></tr> <tr><td>468</td><td>497</td></tr> <tr><td>497</td><td>531</td></tr> <tr><td>531</td><td>558</td></tr> <tr><td>558</td><td>607</td></tr> <tr><td>607</td><td>616</td></tr> <tr><td>616</td><td>694</td></tr> <tr><td>694</td><td>696</td></tr> <tr><td>696</td><td>699</td></tr> </table>		FROM	TO	0	5	5	16	16	29	29	35	35	43	43	45	45	54	54	71	71	80	80	91	91	98	98	114	114	119	119	140	140	149	149	157	157	202	202	213	213	313	313	319	319	350	350	376	376	389	389	416	416	425	425	468	468	497	497	531	531	558	558	607	607	616	616	694	694	696	696	699
FROM	TO																																																																								
0	5																																																																								
5	16																																																																								
16	29																																																																								
29	35																																																																								
35	43																																																																								
43	45																																																																								
45	54																																																																								
54	71																																																																								
71	80																																																																								
80	91																																																																								
91	98																																																																								
98	114																																																																								
114	119																																																																								
119	140																																																																								
140	149																																																																								
149	157																																																																								
157	202																																																																								
202	213																																																																								
213	313																																																																								
313	319																																																																								
319	350																																																																								
350	376																																																																								
376	389																																																																								
389	416																																																																								
416	425																																																																								
425	468																																																																								
468	497																																																																								
497	531																																																																								
531	558																																																																								
558	607																																																																								
607	616																																																																								
616	694																																																																								
694	696																																																																								
696	699																																																																								
(7) PUMP Manufacturer's Name		Work started 05/02/02																																																																							
Type SUBMERSIBLE R P		Completed 05/07/02																																																																							
(8) WATER LEVELS Land surface elevation above mean sea level ft Static level 188 ft below top of well Date 05/07/02 Artesian Pressure lbs per square inch Date Artesian water controlled by CAP		WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.																																																																							
(9) WELL TESTS Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level Was a pump test made? NO If yes, by whom? Yield gal/min with ft drawdown after hrs Recovery data Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level Date of test / / Bailer test gal/min ft drawdown after hrs Air test 75 l gal/min w/ stem set at 698 ft for 7 5 hrs Artesian flow g p m Date Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? NO		NAME TUNWATER DRILLING, INC (Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or print) ADDRESS P O BOX 702 [SIGNED] <i>Bull</i> License No 1249 Contractor's Registration No TUNWADP 011 LZ Date 05/08/02																																																																							



The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

113982

WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No W 155335
 Unique Well I D # AGM659
 Water Right Permit No

STATE OF WASHINGTON

(1) OWNER Name WOOD, JAMES B Address 3902 288TH ST SO ROY, WA 98580

(2) LOCATION OF WELL County KITTITAS SR 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec 25 T 20 N, R 15E WM
 (2a) STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) OFF COLUMBIA AVE, CLB BLUM F

(3) PROPOSED USE DOMESTIC (10) WELL LOG

(4) TYPE OF WORK
 NEW WELL Owner's Number of well (If more than one) Method ROTARY
 Formation Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change in formation

(5) DIMENSIONS
 Drilled 271 ft Diameter of well 6 inches
 Depth of completed well 270 ft

(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
 Casing installed 6" Dia from +2 ft to 42 ft
 WELDED W/LINER 4 5" Dia from 30 ft to 270 ft

Perforations YES
 Type of perforator used SKILL SAW
 SIZE of perforations 125 in by 7 in
 123 perforations from 230 ft to 270 ft
 perforations from ft to ft
 perforations from ft to ft

Screens NO
 Manufacturer's Name Model No
 Type Diam slot size from ft to ft
 Diam slot size from ft to ft

Gravel packed NO
 Gravel placed from ft to Size of gravel ft

Surface seal YES To what depth? 19 ft
 Material used in seal BENTONITE
 Did any strata contain unusable water? NO
 Type of water? Depth of strata ft
 Method of sealing strata off SEAL METHOD 1

(7) PUMP Manufacturer's Name Type SUBMERSIBLE H P

(8) WATER LEVELS
 Land surface elevation above mean sea level ft
 Static level 102 ft below top of well Date 02/05/02
 Artesian Pressure lbs per square inch Date
 Artesian water controlled by CAP

(9) WELL TESTS Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
 Was a pump test made? NO If yes, by whom?
 Yield gal/min with ft drawdown after hrs

Recovery data
 Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level

Date of test / /
 Bailer test gal/min ft drawdown after hrs
 Air test 52 gal/min w/ stem set at 269 ft for 1 25 hrs
 Artesian flow g p m Date
 Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? NO

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
BROWN CLAY	0	3
BRN SANDSTONE	3	5
BROWN CLAY BROKEN GRAVEL	5	27
GRAY CLAY SHALE	27	30
BROKEN GREEN ROCK (M)	30	31
GRAY CLAY SHALE	31	36
SOFT BLACK SHALE	36	41
GRAY SANDSTONE	41	77
BRN SANDSTONE	77	90
GRAY SANDSTONE	90	113
BLACK COAL	113	117
GRAY SANDSTONE	117	138
BLACK COAL	138	142
GRAY SANDSTONE	142	212
BLACK COAL SHALE	212	223
GRAY SANDSTONE	223	252
WATER BEARING	242	244
HARD GREEN BROKEN	252	254
ROCK(S) WATER BEARING	252	254
GRAY SANDSTONE	254	255
BLACK COAL SHALE	255	259
GRAY SANDSTONE	259	271

Work started 02/04/02 Completed 02/05/02

WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION
 I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief

NAME TUMWATER DRILLING, INC
 (Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or print)

ADDRESS P.O. BOX 777
 (SIGNED) *[Signature]* License No 1249

Contractor's
 Registration No TUMWADY 011 LZ Date 02/06/02




The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

WATER WELL REPORT

Original & 1st copy Ecology 2nd copy owner 3rd copy

Construction/Decommission () in circle) 139604
 Construction
 Decommission ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION Notice of Intent Number



CURRENT Notice of Intent No W149592

Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No AGM 967

Water Right Permut No _____

Property Owner Name Jim Muhlbeier/Dave Berry

PROPOSED USE Domestic Industrial Municipal
 DeWater Irrigation Test Well Other

Well Street Address Montgomery lot 5

City Cle Elum County Kittitas

TYPE OF WORK Owners number of well (if more than one)
 New Well Reconditioned Method Dug Bored Driven
 Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted

Location SW 1/4 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec. 23 Twn 20 R. 15 EWM circle or one WWM

DIMENSIONS Diameter of well 8" 6 inches, drilled 7 1/2 ft
 Depth of completed well 7 1/2 ft

Lat/Long (s, l, r still) Lat Deg _____ Lat Min/Sec _____
 Long Deg _____ Long Min/Sec _____

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS Casing Welded 8" Diam from 1.3 ft to 277 ft
 Installed Liner installed 4" Diam from -10 ft to 7 1/2 ft
 Threaded _____ Diam from _____ ft to _____ ft

Tax Parcel No 2015 3000 0005 9

CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION PROCEDURE
 Formation Describe by color character size of material and structure and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated with at least one entry for each change of information Indicate all water encountered (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

Perforations Yes No
 Type of perforator used skill saw
 SIZE of perms 1/4 in by 12 in and no of perms 100 from 520 ft to 712 ft

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
top soil	0	2
Brown sandstone	2	30
gray shale with clay	30	55
white black sandstone	55	60
coal	60	68
gray shale with clay	68	124
hard gray rock	124	130
white black sandstone	130	160
hard shale with clay	160	196
hard sandstone white black	196	284
gray shale with clay	284	320
hard shale with clay	320	341
coal	341	344
brown white sandstone	344	369
gray shale with coal	369	389
coal	389	404
white black sandstone	404	444
gray shale with clay	444	507
hard gray rock	507	582
hard white black sandstone	582	639
dark shale white coal	639	679
white black sandstone	679	694
broken sandstone big	694	712

Screens Yes No K Pac Location _____
 Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type _____ Model No _____
 Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft
 Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft

Gravel/Filter packed Yes No Size of gravel/sand _____
 Materials placed from _____ ft to _____ ft

Surface Seal Yes No To what depth? 26 ft
 Materials used in seal Bentonite
 Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No
 Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
 Method of sealing strata off _____

PUMP Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type _____ HP _____

WATER LEVELS Land surface elevation above mean sea level _____ ft
 Static level 145 ft below top of well Date 9/18/03
 Artesian pressure _____ lbs per square inch Date _____
 Artesian water is controlled by _____ (cap valve etc)

WELL TESTS Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
 Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes by whom? _____
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)

Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

 Date of test _____
 Bailer test _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Airtest 272 gal/min with stem set at 705 ft for 272 hrs
 Artesian flow _____ gpm Date _____
 Temperature of water _____ Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
top soil	0	2
Brown sandstone	2	30
gray shale with clay	30	55
white black sandstone	55	60
coal	60	68
gray shale with clay	68	124
hard gray rock	124	130
white black sandstone	130	160
hard shale with clay	160	196
hard sandstone white black	196	284
gray shale with clay	284	320
hard shale with clay	320	341
coal	341	344
brown white sandstone	344	369
gray shale with coal	369	389
coal	389	404
white black sandstone	404	444
gray shale with clay	444	507
hard gray rock	507	582
hard white black sandstone	582	639
dark shale white coal	639	679
white black sandstone	679	694
broken sandstone big	694	712

Start Date 9-10-03 Completed Date 9-18-03

WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief

Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) Mike Moresfeld
 Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature [Signature]
 Driller or Trainee License No 2361

Drilling Company Water Man Well Drilling Inc
 Address 106 Bernman Ln
 City, State, Zip Selah Wa 98942


If trainee, licensed driller's Signature and License no _____

Contractor's Registration No WATERW002208 Date 9/23/03
 Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer ECY 050 | 20 (Rev 4/01)

3

The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

WATER WELL REPORT



Original & 1st copy Ecology 2nd copy owner 3rd copy driller

Notice of Intent No W149591

Construction/Decommission (circle) 139605
 Construction
 Decommission ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION Notice of Intent Number

Ecology Well ID Tag No AGM 968

Water Right Permit No _____

Property Owner Name Ken McKim

PROPOSED USE Domestic Industrial Municipal
 DeWater Irrigation Test Well Other

Well Street Address Montgomery rd lot 7

TYPE OF WORK Owners number of well (if more than one) _____
 New Well Reconditioned Method Dug Bored Driven
 Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted

City Cle Elum County Kittitas

Location NW 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec 23 Twn 20 R 15 EWM circle or one WWM

DIMENSIONS Diameter of well 8 1/2 inches drilled 12 1/2 ft
 Depth of completed well 324 ft

Lat/Long (s,lr still REQUIRED) Lat Deg _____ Lat Min/Sec _____
 Long Deg _____ Long Min/Sec _____

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
 Casing Welded 8 Diam from +2 ft to 126 ft
 Installed Liner installed 4 Diam from -10 ft to 324 ft
 Threaded _____ Diam from _____ ft to _____ ft

Tax Parcel No Lt 7B 20153000 0007

CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION PROCEDURE
 Formation Describe by color character size of material and structure and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated with at least one entry for each change of information Indicate all water encountered (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

Perforations Yes No
 Type of perforator used _____
 SIZE of perfs 12 in by 5 in and no of perfs 400 from 240 ft to 324 ft

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
to D Soil	0	10
Gray shell with clay	10	33
White Black sandstone	33	124
Shell with clay	124	144
gray shell with clay	144	170
Coil shell	170	174
gray shell with clay	174	200
White Black sandstone	200	215
Coil shell	215	218
Clay shell gray	218	220
Hard gray Rock	220	222
gray shell with clay	222	255
White Black sandstone	255	280
Shell gray Hard	280	290
White sandstone Black	290	295
Shell Brown	295	304
White Black sandstone	304	324

Screens Yes No K Pac Location _____
 Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type _____ Model No _____
 Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft
 Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft

Gravel/Filter packed Yes No Size of gravel/sand _____
 Materials placed from _____ ft to _____ ft

Surface Seal Yes No To what depth? 125 ft
 Materials used in seal Bentonite
 Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No
 Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
 Method of sealing strata off _____

PUMP Manufacturer's Name _____
 Type _____ HP _____

WATER LEVELS Land surface elevation above mean sea level _____ ft
 Static level 95 ft below top of well Date _____
 Artesian pressure _____ lbs per square inch Date _____
 Artesian water is controlled by _____ (cap valve etc)

WELL TESTS Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
 Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes by whom? _____
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)

Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

 Date of test _____
 Bailer test _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
 Airtest 12 gal/min with stem set at 320 ft for 25 hrs
 Artesian flow _____ g p m Date _____
 Temperature of water _____ Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No

Start Date 9-2-03 Completed Date 9-9-03

WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief

Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) Mike Morefield

Drilling Company Water Man Well Drilling Inc.

Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature _____

Address 106 Berriman Lane

Driller or Trainee License No 2361

City State Zip Selah Wa 98942

If trainee, licensed driller's Signature and License no Mike Morefield 2361

Contractor's Registration No WATERW002208 Date 9/12/03

Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer ECY 050 1 20 (Rev 4/01)

The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report.

File Original with
Department of Ecology
Second Copy - Owner's Copy
Third Copy - Driller's Copy

WATER WELL REPORT

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Office of Intent W113546
UNIQUE WELL ID # A FH 666

Water Right Permit No _____

99110

(1) OWNER Name Ben Goldie Address P.O. Box 305 Little cr. ranch Cle Elum

(2) LOCATION OF WELL County Kittitas NE 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec 25 T20 N R 15 WM

(2a) STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) _____
TAX PARCEL NO _____

(3) PROPOSED USE Domestic Industrial Municipal
 Irrigation Test Well Other
 DeWater

(4) TYPE OF WORK Owner's number of well (if more than one) _____
 New Well Method _____
 Deepened Dug Bored
 Reconditioned Cable Driven
 Decommission Rotary Jetted

(5) DIMENSIONS Diameter of well 10 1/2 inches
Drilled 140 feet Depth of completed well 180 ft

(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Casing Installed
 Welded _____ Diam from 0 ft to 75 ft
 Liner installed _____ Diam from -6 ft to 180 ft
 Threaded _____ Diam from _____ ft to _____ ft

Perforations Yes No
Type of perforator used SAN SKILLSAW
SIZE of perforations 6" Long in by 1/8" wide in
102 perforations from 140 ft to 180 ft

Screens Yes No K-Pac Location _____
Manufacturer's Name _____ Model No _____
Type _____
Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft
Diam _____ Slot Size _____ from _____ ft to _____ ft

Gravel/Filter packed Yes No Size of gravel/sand _____
Material placed from _____ ft to _____ ft

Surface seal Yes No To what depth? 18 ft
Material used in seal BEADONITE
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No
Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
Method of sealing strata off _____

(7) PUMP Manufacturer's Name _____
Type _____ HP _____

(8) WATER LEVELS Land surface elevation above mean sea level _____ ft
Static level 36 ft below top of well Date 7/16/01
Artesian pressure _____ lbs per square inch Date _____
Artesian water is controlled by _____
(Cap, valve etc)

(9) WELL TESTS Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom? _____
Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
Yield _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)
Time Water Level Time Water Level

Date of test _____
Bailer test _____ gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
Airtest 15 gal/min with _____ ft drawdown after _____ hrs
Artesian flow _____ g p m Date _____
Temperature of water _____ Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No

(10) WELL LOG or DECOMMISSIONING PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION
Formation Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of information. Indicate all water encountered

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
CLAY SOIL	0	7
Brown Cemented GRAVEL	7	29
Grey Cemented GRAVEL & SHALE	29	75
Grey GRANITE	75	90
Grey SHALE	90	104
BLACK SHALE WITH WATER	104	109
Grey SHALE	109	120
WHITE SAND ROCK & Grey SHALE	120	148
Brown SHALE WITH WATER	148	154
Grey SHALE	154	165
BLACK SHALE & SAND ROCK	165	180

Recovered _____
AUG 01 2001
CENTRAL REGION OFFICE

Work Started 7-15-01 Completed 7-18-01

WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION
I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief
Type or Print Name Bill Bolvard License No 0997
(Licensed Driller/Engineer)
Trainee Name _____ License No _____
Drilling Company Water Man Well Drilling
(Signed) Steve Wilt License No 1335
(Licensed Driller/Engineer)
Address 106 Berriman Ln Selah WA 985
Contractor's Registration No WATERW002208 7/20/01

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

Ecology is an Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action employer. For special accommodation needs, contact the Water Resources Program at (360) 407-6600. The TDD number is (360) 407-6006



Lot Closure Report for: Big Buck Ridge Plat

Lot Name: Lot 1
Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
Lot Perimeter: 880.80
Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682322.2917	1534536.8731	S89°12'23"E	290.40	
	682318.2695	1534827.2452	S00°47'37"W	75.91	
	682242.3667	1534826.1938	S00°47'37"W	74.09	
	682168.2839	1534825.1676	N89°12'23"W	241.17	
	682171.6242	1534584.0208	N89°12'23"W	49.23	
	682172.3061	1534534.7955	N00°47'37"E	92.39	
	682264.6872	1534536.0752	N00°47'37"E	57.61	
End	682322.2917	1534536.8731			
Error of Closure		1 : 0			
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0000			
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000			

Lot Name: Lot 2
Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
Lot Perimeter: 838.56
Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682467.1330	1535019.3256	N88°38'56"W	190.01	
	682471.6133	1534829.3684	S00°47'37"W	153.36	
	682318.2680	1534827.2443	S00°47'37"W	75.91	
	682242.3653	1534826.1929	S88°38'56"E	190.01	
	682237.8850	1535016.1501	N00°47'37"E	229.27	
End	682467.1330	1535019.3256			
Error of Closure		1 : 0			
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0000			
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000			

Lot Name: Lot 3
Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
Lot Perimeter: 838.56
Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)



Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	682467.1330	1535019.3256	S00°47'37"W	229.27
	682237.8850	1535016.1501	S88°38'56"E	190.01
	682233.4047	1535206.1072	N00°47'37"E	229.27
	682462.6527	1535209.2828	N88°38'56"W	190.01
End	682467.1330	1535019.3256		
Error of Closure		1 : 0		
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0000		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000		

Lot Name: Lot 4
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 838.56
 Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	682242.3644	1534826.1938	S88°38'56"E	190.01
	682237.8841	1535016.1510	S00°47'37"W	229.27
	682008.6361	1535012.9754	N88°38'56"W	190.01
	682013.1164	1534823.0183	N00°47'37"E	80.63
	682093.7387	1534824.1350	N00°47'37"E	74.55
	682168.2815	1534825.1676	N00°47'37"E	74.09
End	682242.3644	1534826.1938		
Error of Closure		1 : 0		
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0000		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000		

Lot Name: Lot 5
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 838.56
 Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	682004.1550	1535202.9306	N88°38'56"W	190.01
	682008.6353	1535012.9734	N00°47'37"E	229.27
	682237.8833	1535016.1490	S88°38'56"E	190.01
	682233.4030	1535206.1061	S00°47'37"W	229.27
End	682004.1550	1535202.9306		
Error of Closure		1 : 0		
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0000		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000		

Lot Name: Lot 6
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 843.99
 Closing Direction: S89°13'42"W
 Closing Distance: 0.0071

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	681753.0015	1535199.4516	N00°47'37"E	251.18	
	682004.1574	1535202.9306	N88°38'56"W	190.01	
	682008.6377	1535012.9734	S00°47'37"W	207.40	
	681801.2576	1535010.1008	S75°42'11"E	45.39	
	681790.0486	1535054.0850	S75°42'11"E	150.02	
End	681753.0016	1535199.4587			
Error of Closure		1 : 118054			
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0001			
Departure in X (Easting):		-0.0071			

Lot Name: Lot 7
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 851.23
 Closing Direction: N87°25'04"W
 Closing Distance: 0.0032

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682013.1155	1534823.0183	S88°38'56"E	190.01	
	682008.6352	1535012.9755	S00°47'37"W	207.40	
	681801.2551	1535010.1028	N75°42'11"W	114.35	
	681829.4935	1534899.2944	N75°42'11"W	169.67	
	681871.3931	1534734.8793	N22°49'25"E	98.09	
	681961.8030	1534772.9279	N44°18'41"E	71.71	
End	682013.1154	1534823.0215			
Error of Closure		1 : 267720			
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0001			
Departure in X (Easting):		-0.0032			

Lot Name: Lot 8
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 837.35
 Closing Direction: N16°49'00"W
 Closing Distance: 0.0137

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682093.7414	1534824.1351	N89°12'23"W	241.17	
	682097.0818	1534582.9882	S00°47'37"W	186.03	
	681911.0696	1534580.4116	S00°47'37"W	0.32	
	681910.7496	1534580.4072	S75°42'11"E	159.41	
	681871.3838	1534734.8801	N22°49'25"E	98.09	
	681961.7937	1534772.9287	N44°18'41"E	71.71	
	682013.1060	1534823.0223	N00°47'37"E	80.63	
End	682093.7283	1534824.1391			
Error of Closure		1 : 61142			
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0131			
Departure in X (Easting):		-0.0040			

Lot Name: Lot 9
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 962.80
 Closing Direction: N09°21'12"W
 Closing Distance: 0.0159

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681946.8549	1534438.7621	N00°25'27"E	319.15
	682265.9962	1534441.1248	S89°12'23"E	94.96
	682264.6809	1534536.0757	S00°47'37"W	92.39
	682172.2998	1534534.7960	S89°12'23"E	49.23
	682171.6179	1534584.0213	S00°47'37"W	74.55
	682097.0750	1534582.9887	S00°47'37"W	186.03
	681911.0629	1534580.4121	S00°47'37"W	0.32
	681910.7429	1534580.4076	N75°42'11"W	0.07
	681910.7602	1534580.3398	N75°42'11"W	146.10
End	681946.8392	1534438.7647		
Error of Closure		1 : 60531		
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0157		
Departure in X (Easting):		-0.0026		

Lot Name: Lot 10
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 904.05
 Closing Direction: N05°34'33"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0060

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681946.8549	1534438.7621	S00°25'27"W	324.08
	681622.7838	1534436.3629	S87°39'46"E	140.09
	681617.0708	1534576.3364	N00°47'37"E	293.71
	681910.7526	1534580.4045	N75°42'11"W	0.07
	681910.7699	1534580.3366	N75°42'11"W	146.10
End	681946.8489	1534438.7615		
Error of Closure		1 : 149979		
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0060		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0006		

Lot Name: Lot 11
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 877.45
 Closing Direction: N86°26'41"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0048

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681617.0742	1534576.3400	S84°38'59"E	155.49
	681602.5756	1534731.1526	N00°47'37"E	268.84
	681871.3899	1534734.8762	N75°42'11"W	159.41
	681910.7557	1534580.4033	S00°47'37"W	293.71

End 681617.0739 1534576.3352
 Error of Closure 1 : 182695
 Departure in Y (Northing): 0.0003
 Departure in X (Easting): 0.0048

Lot Name: Lot 12
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 881.17
 Closing Direction: S37°18'21"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0058

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681871.3931	1534734.8777	S00°47'37"W	268.84
	681602.5789	1534731.1541	S84°38'59"E	63.57
	681596.6513	1534794.4471	S69°19'13"E	108.05
	681558.4942	1534895.5354	N00°47'37"E	271.03
	681829.4982	1534899.2893	N75°42'11"W	169.67
End	681871.3977	1534734.8742		
Error of Closure		1 : 151687		
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0046		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0035		

Lot Name: Lot 13
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 885.85
 Closing Direction: N45°18'30"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0045

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681801.2553	1535010.1018	N75°42'11"W	114.35
	681829.4937	1534899.2933	S00°47'37"W	271.03
	681558.4897	1534895.5394	S69°19'13"E	165.17
	681500.1610	1535050.0673	N00°47'37"E	289.91
	681790.0432	1535054.0828	N75°42'11"W	45.39
End	681801.2521	1535010.0986		
Error of Closure		1 : 194829		
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0032		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0032		

Lot Name: Lot 14
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.00
 Lot Perimeter: 902.69
 Closing Direction: S24°01'08"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0062

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681500.1631	1535050.0661	S69°19'13"E	155.12
	681445.3834	1535195.1916	N00°47'37"E	22.75

	681468.1313	1535195.5067	N00°47'37"E	284.90
	681753.0039	1535199.4527	N75°42'11"W	150.02
	681790.0510	1535054.0790	S00°47'37"W	289.91
End	681500.1688	1535050.0636		
Error of Closure		1 : 145210		
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0057		
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0025		

Lot Name: Open Space 1
 Lot Area (Acres): 1.50
 Lot Perimeter: 1197.83
 Closing Direction: N73°09'35"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0074

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682474.5915	1534703.0946	N88°38'56"W	260.45	
	682480.7327	1534442.7170	S00°25'27"W	214.74	
	682265.9986	1534441.1273	S89°12'23"E	94.96	
	682264.6833	1534536.0782	N00°47'37"E	57.61	
	682322.2878	1534536.8761	S89°12'23"E	290.40	
	682318.2655	1534827.2483	N00°47'37"E	153.36	
	682471.6108	1534829.3724	N88°38'56"W	64.36	
	682473.1284	1534765.0303	N88°38'56"W	30.98	
	682473.8589	1534734.0589	N88°38'56"W	30.98	
End	682474.5894	1534703.0875			
Error of Closure		1 : 161868			
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0021			
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0071			

Lot Name: Open Space 2
 Lot Area (Acres): 0.41
 Lot Perimeter: 631.44
 Closing Direction: N90°00'00"E
 Closing Distance: 0.0000

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance	
Begin	682168.2839	1534825.1677	N89°12'23"W	241.17	
	682171.6243	1534584.0208	S00°47'37"W	74.55	
	682097.0814	1534582.9883	S89°12'23"E	241.17	
	682093.7411	1534824.1351	N00°47'37"E	74.55	
End	682168.2839	1534825.1677			
Error of Closure		1 : 0			
Departure in Y (Northing):		0.0000			
Departure in X (Easting):		0.0000			

Lot Name: Open Space 3
 Lot Area (Acres): 9.09
 Lot Perimeter: 2559.00
 Closing Direction: S22°41'43"W
 Closing Distance: 0.0150

Course Data:(Mapcheck Through Radius Points Method)

Point	Northing	Easting	Direction	Distance
Begin	681602.5757	1534731.1540	N84°38'59"W	155.49
	681617.0743	1534576.3414	N87°39'46"W	140.09
	681622.7873	1534436.3680	S00°25'27"W	452.26
	681170.5396	1534433.0199	S00°25'58"W	14.74
	681155.8001	1534432.9085	S73°18'17"E	788.37
	680929.3159	1535188.0457	N00°47'37"E	516.13
	681445.3964	1535195.1945	N69°19'13"W	155.12
	681500.1760	1535050.0690	N69°19'13"W	165.17
	681558.5048	1534895.5411	N69°19'13"W	108.05
	681596.6620	1534794.4528	N84°38'59"W	63.57
End	681602.5895	1534731.1598		
Error of Closure		1 : 170827		
Departure in Y (Northing):		-0.0138		
Departure in X (Easting):		-0.0058		

CRB 111-3

KITTITAS COUNTY CDS
411 N. Ruby Suite #2
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926

CASH RECEIPT

Date 6/20/07 051826

Received From Rebecca Andrus

Address 140 Big Buck Ridge Rd / P.O. Box 785
Clifton WA 98922

For Long Pk + App (340.00) PW, (625.00) FH, (1500.00) DS
(225.00) SPK Dollars \$ 2690.00

ACCOUNT		HOW PAID	
AMT. OF ACCOUNT		CASH	
AMT. PAID	<u>2690.00</u>	CHECK	<u>2690.00</u>
BALANCE DUE		MONEY ORDER <input type="checkbox"/>	
		CREDIT CARD <input type="checkbox"/>	

BIG BUCK RIDGE RAT
By K.A. B...

①